



Chapter 15.3

Misrepresentation of trading outcomes

Outline

- Problem and model assumptions
- Incentives for traders
- Incentives for managers
- Equilibrium outcome
- Summary

■ Problem and model assumptions

■ Incentives for traders

■ Incentives for managers

■ Equilibrium outcome

■ Summary

Hiding losses and reporting profits

- ▶ Traders may hide losses from trading or exaggerate profits
- ▶ Apart from fraud, this might be achieved by underreporting risks, delaying the realisation of losses, or recognising unrealised profits
- ▶ The aim for traders is to increase their remuneration

Reporting the value of trading positions

- ▶ The position of a trader is worth V_H with probability π , or $V_L < V_H$ otherwise
- ▶ Traders are remunerated with a fraction w_T of this value
- ▶ A trader can report any value, regardless of the actual outcome, misrepresentations happens with probability p
- ▶ Monitoring of traders by managers or audits will reveal any misrepresentations

■ Problem and model assumptions

■ Incentives for traders

■ Incentives for managers

■ Equilibrium outcome

■ Summary

Outcomes for traders

- ▶ Assume the low value V_L is realised
- ▶ If reporting the value truthfully, traders receive $\Pi_T = w_T V_L$
- ▶ If they do not report truthfully, they might receive $w_T V_H$
- ▶ Their misrepresentation will be caught if the manager monitors (probability λ_M) or an audit takes place (probability λ_A)
- ▶ If misrepresentation is detected, they are fined F_T and receive no remuneration
- ▶ This fine can represent the cost of dismissal or reduction in future bonuses

Trader profits with misrepresentation

- ▶ If the trader is monitored by his manager, he is fined F_T
- ▶ If the trader is not monitored, he will be fined F_T if an audit takes place
- ▶ If no auditing takes place, the trader is not detected and receives $w_T V_H$
- ▶ Trader profits: $\hat{\Pi}_T = -\lambda_M F_T + (1 - \lambda_M) (-\lambda_A F_T + (1 - \lambda_A) w_T V_H)$

Truthful reporting

- ▶ If $\Pi_T \geq \hat{\Pi}_T$, the outcome is reported truthfully
- ▶ This requires $\lambda_M \geq \lambda_M^* = \frac{w_T((1-\lambda_A)V_H - V_L) - \lambda_A F_T}{(1-\lambda_A)(F_T + w_T V_H)}$
- ▶ If managers are sufficiently likely to monitor, traders will report truthfully

■ Problem and model assumptions

■ Incentives for traders

■ Incentives for managers

■ Equilibrium outcome

■ Summary

Outcome for managers with monitoring

- ▶ Managers receive a fraction w_M of what a trader declares
- ▶ Monitoring traders costs C
- ▶ Traders misrepresent a low value V_L as V_H with probability p
- ▶ If the high outcome is realised, the manager gets $w_M V_H - C$
- ▶ If the low outcome is realised, he will receive $w_M V_L - C$
- ▶ $\Pi_M = \pi (w_M V_H - C) + (1 - \pi) (w_M V_L - C)$

Outcome for managers without monitoring

- ▶ If traders misrepresent the outcome and the manager does not monitor, he will be fined F_M if an audit detects this
- ▶ If the high outcome is realised, he receives $w_M V_H$
- ▶ if the low outcome is realised, and outcome is misrepresented, then is fined F_M if an audit takes place, without an audit receives $w_M V_H$
- ▶ If the outcome is not misrepresented, he receives $w_M V_L$
- ▶ $\hat{\Pi}_M = \pi w_M V_H + (1 - \pi) (p (-\lambda_A F_M + (1 - \lambda_A) w_M V_H) + (1 - p) w_M V_L)$

Monitoring incentives

- ▶ Managers will monitor if $\Pi_M \geq \hat{\Pi}_M$
- ▶ This requires $p \geq p^* = \frac{C}{\lambda_A(1-\pi)(F_M + w_M V_H)}$
- ▶ If misrepresentation of outcomes is sufficiently common, managers will monitor

■ Problem and model assumptions

■ Incentives for traders

■ Incentives for managers

■ **Equilibrium outcome**

■ Summary

Equilibrium monitoring and misrepresentation

- ▶ If $\lambda_M > \lambda_M^*$, traders report truthfully, hence $p = 0$
- ▶ Of $p = 0$, then $\Pi_M < \hat{\Pi}_M$ and monitoring does not occur, $\lambda_M = 0$
- ▶ If $\lambda_M = 0$, then $\hat{\Pi}_T > \Pi_T$ and all traders misrepresent outcomes, hence $p = 1$
- ▶ This again would induce managers to monitor
- ▶ Equilibrium requires $\lambda_M = \lambda_M^*$ and $p = p^*$

Equilibrium misrepresentation of outcomes

- ▶ We can combine these equilibrium conditions
- ▶
$$p^* = \frac{(1-\lambda_M^*)(F_T+w_TV_H)C}{(1-\pi)(F_M+w_MV_H)(w_T(V_H-V_L)-\lambda_M^*(F_T+w_TV_H))}$$
- ▶ Misrepresentations happen in equilibrium, but is reducing in monitoring
- ▶ Auditing reduces misrepresentations directly, but also reduces monitoring efforts, hence they are imperfect substitutes

■ Problem and model assumptions

■ Incentives for traders

■ Incentives for managers

■ Equilibrium outcome

■ Summary

Incentives to misrepresent outcomes

- ▶ Traders have an incentive to misrepresent outcomes and this cannot be eliminated, even if monitoring or auditing is detecting this behaviour well
- ▶ Any sanctions will only reduce the likelihood of misrepresentation as these are weighed against the benefits
- ▶ Increasing the auditing of managers will reduce their monitoring efforts as it affects the misrepresentations by traders

Complicit managers

- ▶ Misrepresentation of trading outcomes is inevitable
- ▶ The incentives of higher remuneration for managers after misrepresentation lead to limited monitoring, allowing misrepresentations to occur
- ▶ Managers are complicit in such behaviour as they benefit, too



This presentation is based on
Andreas Krause: Theoretical Foundations of Investment Banking, Springer Verlag 2024
Copyright © 2024 by Andreas Krause

Picture credits:

Cover: The wub, CC BY-SA 4.0 <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0>, via Wikimedia Commons, https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Canary_Wharf_from_Greenwich_riverside.2022-03-18.jpg

Back: Seb Tyler, CC BY 3.0 <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0>, via Wikimedia Commons, https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Canary_Wharf_Panorama_Night.jpg

Andreas Krause
Department of Economics
University of Bath
Claverton Down
Bath BA2 7AY
United Kingdom

E-mail: mnsak@bath.ac.uk