



Financial analysts

- Financial analysts play an important part in making investment banks visible to investors and companies alike.
- They assess the value of companies and provide investment recommendations; such recommendations, in connection with the analysis, is valuable to investors.
- In relation to companies, providing such analyst reports will showcase their expertise and attract clients seeking advise from the investment bank.
- However, analyst coverage has been found to be overly positive and for this reason come under regulatory scrutiny.
- We will explore the reason for overly biased analyst reports and what effect the regulatory effort had.

Financial analysts Slide 1 of 13

Positive analyst coverage

- ► Financial analysts provide an assessment of the future prospects of securities for investors
- ► These recommendations are overall overly positive
- ► It is common to attribute this apparent bias to a desire by investment banks to attract future business from companies
- ► The reaction to the bias becoming obvious was to introduce regulation seeking to break the link between analyst recommendations and future investment bank income

Financial analysts Slide 2 of 13

Positive analyst coverage

- → Financial analysts publish reports and forecasts about the future prospects of companies. There is strong evidence that these assessments are not biased and do not reflect the reality of companies.
- The role of financial analysts is to provide information for investors that is helpful in informing their decision-making. They also provide information in the form of a target price for the stock at some point in the future, which we can interpret as a forecast of the price.
- The recommendation, and thus the forecasts, are shown empirically to be overly positive, thus the forecasts is positively biased.
- ▶ A common explanation of this positive bias is that investment banks seek to please the companies with positive coverage in the hope of obtaining additional investment banking business in return.
- With this bias being well-known and persistent, attempt have been made to severe the ties between financial analysts attracting investment banking business and recommendations to investors.
- → We will explore the issue of why a positive bias exists and also how regulation has failed to address this issue successfully.

Financial analysts Slide 2 of 13

Further explanations for positively biased analyst recommendations

- ► Many behavioural explanations have been offered, for example a cognitive bias where analysts are not sufficiently critical of companies
- Investment banks and individual analysts selecting which companies to cover will select those they are most positive about
- Financial analysts might only obtain access to information if they are reporting positively about a company

Financial analysts Slide 3 of 13

Further explanations for positively biased analyst recommendations

- ightarrow A wide range of explanations for the observed positive bias of financial analysts have been offered.
 - Apart from the aim of attracting investment banking business, a positive bias in analyst recommendations has also been explained with theories
 from behavioural finance. This approach explains the positive bias with traits of human behaviour rather than a conscious decision of financial
 analysts to provide a biased recommendation.
 - One such explanation is a cognitive bias in which financial analysts get too close to the company and readily accept the positive frame in which
 companies explain their decisions, they lack critical distance due to the company due to their frequent interactions and familirity with people
 and processes.
- A selection bias can also be used to explain the overly positive recommendations. Financial analysts will select companies to cover they believe are having good prospects. Hence only reports by those seeing a company positively are written by these financial analysts, but those reports of financial analysts that are critical of the company will never be written as these financial analysts do not cover the company.
- Another reason for a positive bias might be that it is necessity as information will be provided by the company only if they can be assured that it is used in a positive way. Hence financial analysts provide more positive reports in order to gain access to better information.
- ightarrow We do not look at explanations from behavioural finance, but focus on clear conflicts of interest.

Financial analysts Slide 3 of 13

Access to information

- ► Financial analysts are not only using publicly available information to compile their reports and recommendations
- Access to senior managers at analyst conferences and the ability to interact with them provides additional insights
- ► Companies prefer positive coverage, so might be more willing to enable access to financial analysts with positive recommendations
- ► We will explore how such privileged access can be optimal, even if a bias in the recommendation is required

Financial analysts Slide 4 of 13

Access to information

- → A key requirement for financial analysts is to have access to information. We will see how the requirement to access information might affect the recommendations of financial analysts.
- Financial analysts use more than publicly available information; relying only on publicly available information would not add much value for most investors, hence financial analysts have to go beyond assembling this publicly available information.
- A key advantage financial analysts have their access to senior managers at analyst conferences. Having direct interactions with such managers and seeing their reactions to questions can provide subtle but important impressions on the confidence of managers or their ability. Key soft information like this can add to the overall assessment of the company.
 - Companies prefer that financial analysts write positively about them and their managers, firstly as positivity is always preferred, but also because it allows for a higher share price, from which managers' option plan benefit and it makes them less vulnerable to hostile take over as the price that has to be paid increases.
 - Companies might seek to 'purchase' positive recommendations by giving financial analysts better access to information.
 - We will explore how such an arrangement does not have to be detrimnetal, but can benefit the company and the investors following such recommendations.
 - These benefits exist despite analyst recommendations showing a positive bias.
- ightarrow We will now see how biased recommendations can be better for investors than unbiased recommendations.

Copyright (C) 2024 by Andreas Krause

Financial analysts Slide 4 of 13



Financial analysts Slide 5 of 13

→ The model we are going to discuss is based on Chapter 8.1 of the book 'Theoretical Foundations of Investment Banking'. A more detailed description of the model, additional steps for its solution, and a more in-depth discussion of results can be found there.

Copyright © 2024 by Andreas Krause

Financial analysts Slide 5 of 13

- ► Financial analysts publish overly positive recommendation with the aim of getting access to information
- Despite the bias, analyst recommendations are better due to access to additional information
- ? Regulation requires that information is disclosed equally and publicly, how can companies provide better access to some investment banks?
- ! Soft information can be gained through personal interactions; information might also be obtained on how confident managers are about their future prospects

Financial analysts Slide 6 of 13

- → Now that we have derived the main results of the model, as far as relevant for us, we will briefly discuss some implications as well as limitations of this model. This will allow us to interpret the model in its context of the initial problem and enables us to apply it appropriately in a realistic context.
- ▶ We found that analyst reports are positively biased as only this allows them access to more detailed company information. It is companies that induce a positive bias by withholding information from those analysts that seek to provide a truthful assessment of the company's prospects. Their analyst reports would suffer from a lack of detailed information that other analysts might have, albeit at the price of not assessing them objectively.
- The forecast error reduces despite this bias due to the improved information, which benefits investors relying on this information. While the bias reduces the benefits of the more precise information financial analysts have access to, the effect of the more precise information outweighs this effect.
- ► Companies are required allowed to give access information equally to all financial analysts and all information needs to be properly disclosed. Nevertheless there can be informational advantage to some financial analysts.
- While pure fact have to be disclosed, impressions on management ability and the confidence managers have about projects they are leading can be invaluable information to provide context for the disclosed information. Managers can be more or less openly discussing these aspects with financial analysts.
- → Financial analyst recommendations are overly positive in order to gain access to better information; this bias is benefiacial to those using the recommendation despite the bias due to better-quality information.

Copyright (C) 2024 by Andreas Krause

Financial analysts Slide 6 of 13

Companies seeking positive coverage

- Providing analyst coverage and selling their reports is not profitable to investment banks
- Analyst coverage is often provided as part of the agreement after the issue of securities
- ► Analyst coverage also allows contact to the senior management of companies with the aim to secure future business
- Companies are more likely to seek advice from investment banks that see them positively

Financial analysts Slide 7 of 13

Companies seeking positive coverage

- Investment banks cannot obtain much revenue from producing and selling analyst reports, the market for such reports will be limited to only a few institutional investors. Hence investment banks are keen to generate additional revenue with analyst reports.
- When agreeing on an IPO or other major transactions a companies is advised on by the investment bank, it is common to include continuing financial analyst coverage as an additional benefit of seeking advice. This is an indirect revenue as analyst coverage can be seen as one component in companies choosing an investment bank.
- ► The contact financial analysts have also opens up a communication channel to the senior management of companies, which can be used to obtain additional business from the company.
- Companies are more likely to seek advice from investment banks that are seen as supportive of their strategy and thus provide positive recommendations. While companies biasing decisions in this way can be seen as a behavioural decision, we take this trait as given.
- → We will now see how the desire to attract additional business can induce a bias in the recommendations by financial analysts.

Financial analysts Slide 7 of 13



Financial analysts Slide 8 of 13

→ The model we are going to discuss is based on Chapter 8.2 of the book 'Theoretical Foundations of Investment Banking'. A more detailed description of the model, additional steps for its solution, and a more in-depth discussion of results can be found there.

Copyright (C) 2024 by Andreas Krause

Financial analysts Slide 8 of 13

- ► In order to gain future business from clients, investment banks publish positively biased analyst reports
- This bias is limited by the effect it has on the value analyst reports have to investors
- ? Apart from profitability concerns, could investment banks bias their analyst reports as much as they want?
- ! If analyst reports are too biased, they will lose credibility and investors will not trust that companies have been advised well

Financial analysts Slide 9 of 13

- → Now that we have derived the main results of the model, as far as relevant for us, we will briefly discuss some implications as well as limitations of this model. This will allow us to interpret the model in its context of the initial problem and enables us to apply it appropriately in a realistic context.
- Investment banks bias their recommendations and damage their reputation for competence in order to attract additional business from companies they are covering. Such business is only forthcoming if investment banks are more positive than is objectively justified. This is the result of investment banks competing for investment banking business.
- The size of the bias is limited due to the costs in form of lost reputation.
- As modelled, the loss in value of the analyst reports due to higher forecast errors limited the size of the bias, which as the optimal trade off between investor value and additional business gained.
- ► The issue is not only the loss in reputation, but also that the effect of a positive analyst report on the stock price is lost. If the bias is too large, the report becomes worthless to investors and the report will not give the positive effects to the company they had hoped for and insisted for on a positive bias.
- → Financial analysts seek to support the investment bank gaining additional business and in do so by biasing their recommendations.

Financial analysts Slide 9 of 13

Preventing biased analyst reports

- ► Financial analysts are regulated with the aim to improve the quality of their reports
- As they bias their reports such that investment banks can attract income from clients, their remuneration is supposed to be unaffected by such income
- ► Focussing solely on the accuracy of their reports is supposed to eliminate this bias
- ▶ We will investigate whether such regulation is effective6-¿

Financial analysts Slide 10 of 13

Preventing biased analyst reports

- → The bias in the recommendations of financial analysts has attracted attention by regulators who seek to impose restrictions that reduce this bias and increase the value of analyst recommendations to investors.
- The aim of any regulation is the quality of analyst reports, usually measured by the size any bias in recommendations. It is seen as helping investors reaching better investment decisions.
- The main idea is that as one of the reasons for the bias in recommendations is that financial analysts seek to attract business from companies they are covering, this link needs to be broken and financial analysts cannot be paid according to how much additional business they generate.
- ▶ Instead they should be paid only based on the quality of their recommendation; this should eliminate any incentive to change their recommendations to account for the preferences of companies for positive analyst coverage.
- Such regulation should be effective and is known as Chinese Walls, as there is no connection between the financial anlyst and other investment banking business.
- → We will show that such Chinese Walls are not having the desired effect on analyst recommendations.

Financial analysts Slide 10 of 13



Financial analysts Slide 11 of 13

→ The model we are going to discuss is based on Chapter 10 of the book 'Theoretical Foundations of Investment Banking'. A more detailed description of the model, additional steps for its solution, and a more in-depth discussion of results can be found there.

Copyright © 2024 by Andreas Krause
Financial analysts
Slide 11

- ► Chinese Walls are ineffective as investment banks can set benchmark salaries that indirectly reward biased reports
- ► Information on analyst quality is more likely to be effective, provided companies prefer investment banks that have high-ability analysts
- ? Is remuneration the only motivation for analysts to provide biased or unbiased reports?
- ! An analyst refusing to publish biased reports that benefit the investment bank may find it difficult to retain his job and competitors will also unlikely seek to employ him

Financial analysts Slide 12 of 13

- → Now that we have derived the main results of the model, as far as relevant for us, we will briefly discuss some implications as well as limitations of this model. This will allow us to interpret the model in its context of the initial problem and enables us to apply it appropriately in a realistic context.
- Investment banks can easily set the remuneration of financial analysts such that it circumvents the intended aim of separating financial analysts from the remainder of the investment banking business.
- What is effective is if the ability of financial analysts is known to companies as then biased analysts are showing a lower ability than unbiased analysts and they will seek to avoid this by providing less biased recommendations.
 - This is only effective if companies prefer investment banks with more capable financial analysts, rather than less capable analysts with a more biased recommendation.
- The regulation required remuneration to be unaffected by other investment banking business as this was seen as the key motivation for financial analysts and investment banks. Do financial analysts have other concerns?
- ▶ If the attraction of additional business depends on biased analyst recommendations, investment banks could use the threat of dismissal to those financial analysts that do not comply with their request for more positive coverage. Once they have a reputation for not being willing to accommodate the overall demands of the investment banks, financial analysts will also find it difficult to find employment with other investment banks.
- Hence the regulation of financial analysts with Chinese Walls alone is not effective. It needs to be supplemented by information about the ability of financial analysts and even this is only effective if companies are more concerned about the ability of financial analysts covering them than obtaining a positive bias.

Copyright (C) 2024 by Andreas Krause

Financial analysts Slide 12 of 13

Summary of key results

- ► Financial analysts are important in attracting additional business to investment banks and a positive bias in recommendations is used to this end
- ► This bias can be limited if information on the quality of analyst forecasts is available and companies seek investment banks with strong analysts
- Regulatory efforts of severing the link between gaining investment banking business and analyst remuneration are not effective

Financial analysts Slide 13 of 13

Summary of key results

- ightarrow We can now summarize the key results we have obtained about the biased recommendations of financial analysts and the attempts at regulating them.
- Financial analysts can be important to obtain additional business for investment banks due to their close contacts with senior managers of companies that are potential clients.
- They use overly positive recommendations to pitch for this additional business.
- The extent of this bias can be limited if the ability of financial analysts is known
 - But this is only helpful if companies prefer to be covered by able financial analysts rather than those less able but providing a biased recommendation. Hence it comes back again to the preferences of companies for the type of analyst coverage they obtain.
- Regulatory efforts undertaken so far to reduce the bias in analyst recommendations are not effective and the recommendations by financial analysts are remaining excessively positive.
- → In order to obtain information and gain additional business from companies, financial analysts positively bias their recommendations.

Copyright ② 2024 by Andreas Krause



Copyright © by Andreas Krause

Cover: The wub. CC BY-SA 4.0 https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0. via Wikimedia Commons. https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Canary-Wharf-from.Greenwich.riverside.2022-03-18.jpg Back: Seb Tyler, CC BY 3.0 https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0, via Wikimedia Commons. https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File-Canary_Wharf_Panorama_Night.jpg

Andreas Krause Department of Economics University of Bath Claverton Down Bath BA2 7AY United Kingdom

E-mail: mnsak@bath.ac.uk