Andreas Krause

Portfolio insurance

Aim of portfolio insurance

Aim of portfolio insurance

- Measuring risk and adjusting portfolios to achieve a certain level of risk does not prevent losses

Aim of portfolio insurance

- Measuring risk and adjusting portfolios to achieve a certain level of risk does not prevent losses
- In many cases investors want to ensure a certain minimum value of their investment at the end of their time horizon

Aim of portfolio insurance

- Measuring risk and adjusting portfolios to achieve a certain level of risk does not prevent losses
- In many cases investors want to ensure a certain minimum value of their investment at the end of their time horizon
- This can be driven by regulatory requirements

Aim of portfolio insurance

- Measuring risk and adjusting portfolios to achieve a certain level of risk does not prevent losses
- In many cases investors want to ensure a certain minimum value of their investment at the end of their time horizon
- This can be driven by regulatory requirements or the need to meet given obligations

Aim of portfolio insurance

- Measuring risk and adjusting portfolios to achieve a certain level of risk does not prevent losses
- In many cases investors want to ensure a certain minimum value of their investment at the end of their time horizon
- This can be driven by regulatory requirements or the need to meet given obligations, for example pension payments

Aim of portfolio insurance

- Measuring risk and adjusting portfolios to achieve a certain level of risk does not prevent losses
- In many cases investors want to ensure a certain minimum value of their investment at the end of their time horizon
- This can be driven by regulatory requirements or the need to meet given obligations, for example pension payments
- In principle derivatives can be used to achieve this aim as they allow to hedge these risks

Aim of portfolio insurance

- Measuring risk and adjusting portfolios to achieve a certain level of risk does not prevent losses
- In many cases investors want to ensure a certain minimum value of their investment at the end of their time horizon
- This can be driven by regulatory requirements or the need to meet given obligations, for example pension payments
- In principle derivatives can be used to achieve this aim as they allow to hedge these risks
- In many cases derivatives are not readily available

Aim of portfolio insurance

- Measuring risk and adjusting portfolios to achieve a certain level of risk does not prevent losses
- In many cases investors want to ensure a certain minimum value of their investment at the end of their time horizon
- This can be driven by regulatory requirements or the need to meet given obligations, for example pension payments
- In principle derivatives can be used to achieve this aim as they allow to hedge these risks
- In many cases derivatives are not readily available or the number of instruments that need to be used is prohibitively high

Aim of portfolio insurance

- Measuring risk and adjusting portfolios to achieve a certain level of risk does not prevent losses
- In many cases investors want to ensure a certain minimum value of their investment at the end of their time horizon
- This can be driven by regulatory requirements or the need to meet given obligations, for example pension payments
- In principle derivatives can be used to achieve this aim as they allow to hedge these risks
- In many cases derivatives are not readily available or the number of instruments that need to be used is prohibitively high

Buy-and-hold strategy

Buy-and-hold strategy

- An investor wants to ensure that the final value of his investment exceeds some threshold, expressed relative to his current value
- $V_T \geq \alpha V_0$

Buy-and-hold strategy

- An investor wants to ensure that the final value of his investment exceeds some threshold, expressed relative to his current value
- $V_T \geq \alpha V_0$
- The investor invests into the risk-free asset so this final value is ensured is ensured: $B_t = \alpha V_0 e^{-r(T-t)}$

Buy-and-hold strategy

- An investor wants to ensure that the final value of his investment exceeds some threshold, expressed relative to his current value
- $V_T \geq \alpha V_0$
- The investor invests into the risk-free asset so this final value is ensured is ensured: $B_t = \alpha V_0 e^{-r(T-t)}$

$\Rightarrow$ $B_T = \alpha V_0$

Buy-and-hold strategy

- An investor wants to ensure that the final value of his investment exceeds some threshold, expressed relative to his current value
- $V_T \geq \alpha V_0$
- The investor invests into the risk-free asset so this final value is ensured is ensured: $B_t = \alpha V_0 e^{-r(T-t)}$

$\Rightarrow$ $B_T = \alpha V_0$

- The remainder is invested into the risky asset: $C_t = V_t - B_t = V_t - \alpha V_0 e^{-r(T-t)}$

Buy-and-hold strategy

- An investor wants to ensure that the final value of his investment exceeds some threshold, expressed relative to his current value
- $V_T \geq \alpha V_0$
- The investor invests into the risk-free asset so this final value is ensured is ensured: $B_t = \alpha V_0 e^{-r(T-t)}$

$\Rightarrow$ $B_T = \alpha V_0$

- The remainder is invested into the risky asset: $C_t = V_t - B_t = V_t - \alpha V_0 e^{-r(T-t)}$
- This implies usually a low investment into risky assets

Buy-and-hold strategy

- An investor wants to ensure that the final value of his investment exceeds some threshold, expressed relative to his current value
- $V_T \geq \alpha V_0$
- The investor invests into the risk-free asset so this final value is ensured is ensured: $B_t = \alpha V_0 e^{-r(T-t)}$

$\Rightarrow$ $B_T = \alpha V_0$

- The remainder is invested into the risky asset: $C_t = V_t - B_t = V_t - \alpha V_0 e^{-r(T-t)}$
- This implies usually a low investment into risky assets or even a short position

Buy-and-hold strategy

- An investor wants to ensure that the final value of his investment exceeds some threshold, expressed relative to his current value
- $V_T \geq \alpha V_0$
- The investor invests into the risk-free asset so this final value is ensured is ensured: $B_t = \alpha V_0 e^{-r(T-t)}$

$\Rightarrow$ $B_T = \alpha V_0$

- The remainder is invested into the risky asset: $C_t = V_t - B_t = V_t - \alpha V_0 e^{-r(T-t)}$
- This implies usually a low investment into risky assets or even a short position

Maximum loss of the risky asset

Maximum loss of the risky asset

- Assume the risky asset cannot make losses exceeding a certain threshold before it can be liquidated

Maximum loss of the risky asset

- Assume the risky asset cannot make losses exceeding a certain threshold before it can be liquidated
- This risk of such a loss may evaluated using Value-at-Risk or other risk measures

Maximum loss of the risky asset

- Assume the risky asset cannot make losses exceeding a certain threshold before it can be liquidated
- This risk of such a loss may evaluated using Value-at-Risk or other risk measures
- With Value-at-Risk as a risk measure this threshold would be the reasonable loss the investor could make

Maximum loss of the risky asset

- Assume the risky asset cannot make losses exceeding a certain threshold before it can be liquidated
- This risk of such a loss may evaluated using Value-at-Risk or other risk measures
- With Value-at-Risk as a risk measure this threshold would be the reasonable loss the investor could make, the Value-at-Risk itself

Maximum loss of the risky asset

- Assume the risky asset cannot make losses exceeding a certain threshold before it can be liquidated
- This risk of such a loss may evaluated using Value-at-Risk or other risk measures
- With Value-at-Risk as a risk measure this threshold would be the reasonable loss the investor could make, the Value-at-Risk itself

An alternative investment strategy

An alternative investment strategy

- The amount not invested into the risk-free asset, $C_t = V_t - B_t$, is called a cushion

An alternative investment strategy

- The amount not invested into the risk-free asset, $C_t = V_t - B_t$, is called a cushion and the investment into the risk-free asset is the floor

An alternative investment strategy

- The amount not invested into the risk-free asset, $C_t = V_t - B_t$, is called a cushion and the investment into the risk-free asset is the floor
- The investor will always be guaranteed to obtain the floor

An alternative investment strategy

- The amount not invested into the risk-free asset, $C_t = V_t - B_t$, is called a cushion and the investment into the risk-free asset is the floor
- The investor will always be guaranteed to obtain the floor, plus any accumulated interest

An alternative investment strategy

- The amount not invested into the risk-free asset, $C_t = V_t - B_t$, is called a cushion and the investment into the risk-free asset is the floor
- The investor will always be guaranteed to obtain the floor, plus any accumulated interest
- The investment strategy is to invest mC_t into the risky asset

An alternative investment strategy

- The amount not invested into the risk-free asset, $C_t = V_t - B_t$, is called a cushion and the investment into the risk-free asset is the floor
- The investor will always be guaranteed to obtain the floor, plus any accumulated interest
- The investment strategy is to invest mC_t into the risky asset and $B_t = V_t - mC_t$ into the risk-free asset

An alternative investment strategy

- The amount not invested into the risk-free asset, $C_t = V_t - B_t$, is called a cushion and the investment into the risk-free asset is the floor
- The investor will always be guaranteed to obtain the floor, plus any accumulated interest
- The investment strategy is to invest mC_t into the risky asset and $B_t = V_t - mC_t$ into the risk-free asset

Worst case scenario

Worst case scenario

- The worst case scenario is that the risky asset loses its maximum value and the risk free investment yields its return for certain
- $V_{t+1} \geq V_t - \gamma m C_t + r \left(V_t - m C_t \right)$

Worst case scenario

- The worst case scenario is that the risky asset loses its maximum value and the risk free investment yields its return for certain
- $V_{t+1} \geq V_t - \gamma m C_t + r\left(V_t - mC_t\right)$

$\Rightarrow V_{t+1} = C_{t+1} + B_{t+1} \geq V_t - \gamma m C_t + r\left(V_t - mC_t\right)$

Worst case scenario

- The worst case scenario is that the risky asset loses its maximum value and the risk free investment yields its return for certain
- $V_{t+1} \geq V_t - \gamma m C_t + r\left(V_t - mC_t\right)$

$$\Rightarrow V_{t+1} = C_{t+1} + B_{t+1} \geq V_t - \gamma m C_t + r\left(V_t - mC_t\right)$$
$$= C_t + B_t - \gamma m C_t + r\left(C_t + B_t - mC_t\right)$$

Worst case scenario

- The worst case scenario is that the risky asset loses its maximum value and the risk free investment yields its return for certain
- $V_{t+1} \geq V_t - \gamma m C_t + r\left(V_t - mC_t\right)$

$$\Rightarrow V_{t+1} = C_{t+1} + B_{t+1} \geq V_t - \gamma m C_t + r\left(V_t - mC_t\right)$$
$$= C_t + B_t - \gamma m C_t + r\left(C_t + B_t - mC_t\right)$$
$$= C_t\left(1 + r - m\left(\gamma + r\right)\right) + \left(1 + r\right) B_t$$

Worst case scenario

- The worst case scenario is that the risky asset loses its maximum value and the risk free investment yields its return for certain
- $V_{t+1} \geq V_t - \gamma m C_t + r\left(V_t - mC_t\right)$

$$\Rightarrow V_{t+1} = C_{t+1} + B_{t+1} \geq V_t - \gamma m C_t + r\left(V_t - mC_t\right)$$
$$= C_t + B_t - \gamma m C_t + r\left(C_t + B_t - mC_t\right)$$
$$= C_t\left(1 + r - m\left(\gamma + r\right)\right) + \left(1 + r\right) B_t$$

- If we keep the investments constant, then $B_{t+1} = \left(1 + r\right) B_t$

Worst case scenario

- The worst case scenario is that the risky asset loses its maximum value and the risk free investment yields its return for certain
- $V_{t+1} \geq V_t - \gamma m C_t + r\left(V_t - mC_t\right)$

$$\Rightarrow V_{t+1} = C_{t+1} + B_{t+1} \geq V_t - \gamma m C_t + r\left(V_t - mC_t\right)$$
$$= C_t + B_t - \gamma m C_t + r\left(C_t + B_t - mC_t\right)$$
$$= C_t\left(1 + r - m\left(\gamma + r\right)\right) + \left(1 + r\right) B_t$$

- If we keep the investments constant, then $B_{t+1} = \left(1 + r\right) B_t$

$$\Rightarrow C_{t+1} \geq C_t\left(1 + r - m\left(\gamma + r\right)\right)$$

Worst case scenario

- The worst case scenario is that the risky asset loses its maximum value and the risk free investment yields its return for certain
- $V_{t+1} \geq V_t - \gamma m C_t + r\left(V_t - mC_t\right)$

$$\Rightarrow V_{t+1} = C_{t+1} + B_{t+1} \geq V_t - \gamma m C_t + r\left(V_t - mC_t\right)$$
$$= C_t + B_t - \gamma m C_t + r\left(C_t + B_t - mC_t\right)$$
$$= C_t\left(1 + r - m\left(\gamma + r\right)\right) + \left(1 + r\right) B_t$$

- If we keep the investments constant, then $B_{t+1} = \left(1 + r\right) B_t$

$$\Rightarrow C_{t+1} \geq C_t\left(1 + r - m\left(\gamma + r\right)\right)$$

Optimal investment into the risky asset

Optimal investment into the risky asset

- If the investor starts with a cushion $C_0 = V_0 - \alpha V_0 e^{-rT}$

Optimal investment into the risky asset

- If the investor starts with a cushion $C_0 = V_0 - \alpha V_0 e^{-rT} = \left(1 - \alpha e^{-rT}\right) V_0$

Optimal investment into the risky asset

- If the investor starts with a cushion $C_0 = V_0 - \alpha V_0 e^{-rT}$, a positive cushion ensures that the total investments meet the condition that $V_T \geq \alpha V_0$

Optimal investment into the risky asset

- If the investor starts with a cushion $C_0 = V_0 - \alpha V_0 e^{-rT}$, a positive cushion ensures that the total investments meet the condition that $V_T \geq \alpha V_0$

$\Rightarrow 1 + r - m(\gamma + r) > 0$

Optimal investment into the risky asset

- If the investor starts with a cushion $C_0 = V_0 - \alpha V_0 e^{-rT}$, a positive cushion ensures that the total investments meet the condition that $V_T \geq \alpha V_0$

$\Rightarrow 1 + r - m(\gamma + r) > 0$

$\Rightarrow m < \frac{1+r}{\gamma+r} \approx \frac{1}{\gamma}$

Optimal investment into the risky asset

- If the investor starts with a cushion $C_0 = V_0 - \alpha V_0 e^{-rT}$, a positive cushion ensures that the total investments meet the condition that $V_T \geq \alpha V_0$

$\Rightarrow$ $1 + r - m(\gamma + r) > 0$

$\Rightarrow$ $m < \frac{1+r}{\gamma+r} \approx \frac{1}{\gamma}$

- As assets can at most lose all their value

Optimal investment into the risky asset

- If the investor starts with a cushion $C_0 = V_0 - \alpha V_0 e^{-rT}$, a positive cushion ensures that the total investments meet the condition that $V_T \geq \alpha V_0$

$\Rightarrow$ $1 + r - m(\gamma + r) > 0$

$\Rightarrow$ $m < \frac{1+r}{\gamma+r} \approx \frac{1}{\gamma}$

- As assets can at most lose all their value, $\gamma < 1$

Optimal investment into the risky asset

- If the investor starts with a cushion $C_0 = V_0 - \alpha V_0 e^{-rT}$, a positive cushion ensures that the total investments meet the condition that $V_T \geq \alpha V_0$

$\Rightarrow$ $1 + r - m(\gamma + r) > 0$

$\Rightarrow$ $m < \frac{1+r}{\gamma+r} \approx \frac{1}{\gamma}$

- As assets can at most lose all their value, $\gamma < 1$ and $m > 1$

Optimal investment into the risky asset

- If the investor starts with a cushion $C_0 = V_0 - \alpha V_0 e^{-rT}$, a positive cushion ensures that the total investments meet the condition that $V_T \geq \alpha V_0$

$\Rightarrow$ $1 + r - m(\gamma + r) > 0$

$\Rightarrow$ $m < \frac{1+r}{\gamma+r} \approx \frac{1}{\gamma}$

- As assets can at most lose all their value, $\gamma < 1$ and $m > 1$
- The investor can invest more into the risk-free asset than in a buy-and-hold strategy

Optimal investment into the risky asset

- If the investor starts with a cushion $C_0 = V_0 - \alpha V_0 e^{-rT}$, a positive cushion ensures that the total investments meet the condition that $V_T \geq \alpha V_0$

$\Rightarrow$ $1 + r - m(\gamma + r) > 0$

$\Rightarrow$ $m < \frac{1+r}{\gamma+r} \approx \frac{1}{\gamma}$

- As assets can at most lose all their value, $\gamma < 1$ and $m > 1$
- The investor can invest more into the risk-free asset than in a buy-and-hold strategy

Constant proportion portfolio insurance

Constant proportion portfolio insurance

- As the investment consists of a fixed multiple m of the cushion invested into the risky asset, it is called the Constant Proportion Portfolio Insurance

Constant proportion portfolio insurance

- As the investment consists of a fixed multiple m of the cushion invested into the risky asset, it is called the Constant Proportion Portfolio Insurance (CPPI)

Constant proportion portfolio insurance

- As the investment consists of a fixed multiple m of the cushion invested into the risky asset, it is called the Constant Proportion Portfolio Insurance (CPPI)
- As the cushion changes every time period due to the value of the risky asset changing, the amounts invested into risky assets changes constantly

Constant proportion portfolio insurance

- As the investment consists of a fixed multiple m of the cushion invested into the risky asset, it is called the Constant Proportion Portfolio Insurance (CPPI)
- As the cushion changes every time period due to the value of the risky asset changing, the amounts invested into risky assets changes constantly
- This requires a continuous adjustment of the wights between risky and risk-free assets

Constant proportion portfolio insurance

- As the investment consists of a fixed multiple m of the cushion invested into the risky asset, it is called the Constant Proportion Portfolio Insurance (CPPI)
- As the cushion changes every time period due to the value of the risky asset changing, the amounts invested into risky assets changes constantly
- This requires a continuous adjustment of the wights between risky and risk-free assets

CPPI as a hedging tool

CPPI as a hedging tool

- As the minimum value of the investment at the end of the time horizon is ensured, but its value can be higher, CPPI is comparable to hedging the portfolio with a Put option having a strike price of αV_0

CPPI as a hedging tool

- As the minimum value of the investment at the end of the time horizon is ensured, but its value can be higher, CPPI is comparable to hedging the portfolio with a Put option having a strike price of αV_0
- As not the entire investment is in the risky asset, the payoff at maturity will be different to that of a hedge with put options

CPPI as a hedging tool

- As the minimum value of the investment at the end of the time horizon is ensured, but its value can be higher, CPPI is comparable to hedging the portfolio with a Put option having a strike price of αV_0
- As not the entire investment is in the risky asset, the payoff at maturity will be different to that of a hedge with put options, but no premium is payable either

CPPI as a hedging tool

- As the minimum value of the investment at the end of the time horizon is ensured, but its value can be higher, CPPI is comparable to hedging the portfolio with a Put option having a strike price of αV_0
- As not the entire investment is in the risky asset, the payoff at maturity will be different to that of a hedge with put options, but no premium is payable either
- Transaction costs of adjusting the portfolio constantly can be prohibitive

CPPI as a hedging tool

- As the minimum value of the investment at the end of the time horizon is ensured, but its value can be higher, CPPI is comparable to hedging the portfolio with a Put option having a strike price of αV_0
- As not the entire investment is in the risky asset, the payoff at maturity will be different to that of a hedge with put options, but no premium is payable either
- Transaction costs of adjusting the portfolio constantly can be prohibitive
- CPPI can be used as an alternative to the use of derivatives

CPPI as a hedging tool

- As the minimum value of the investment at the end of the time horizon is ensured, but its value can be higher, CPPI is comparable to hedging the portfolio with a Put option having a strike price of αV_0
- As not the entire investment is in the risky asset, the payoff at maturity will be different to that of a hedge with put options, but no premium is payable either
- Transaction costs of adjusting the portfolio constantly can be prohibitive
- CPPI can be used as an alternative to the use of derivatives or where derivatives are not available

CPPI as a hedging tool

- As the minimum value of the investment at the end of the time horizon is ensured, but its value can be higher, CPPI is comparable to hedging the portfolio with a Put option having a strike price of αV_0
- As not the entire investment is in the risky asset, the payoff at maturity will be different to that of a hedge with put options, but no premium is payable either
- Transaction costs of adjusting the portfolio constantly can be prohibitive
- CPPI can be used as an alternative to the use of derivatives or where derivatives are not available

Andreas Krause
Department of Economics
University of Bath
Claverton Down
Bath BA2 7AY
United Kingdom

E-mail: mnsak@bath.ac.uk