

Informed companies

- Companies are generally better informed about their investments than outside investors
- Communicating their information reliably is not easily possible
- Companies can use debt to signal their assessment of the investment

Uncertain investment outcomes

- We have two types of companies, one who generates a high asset value from investments and the other a low value: $V_H > V_L$
- ► The company knows in advance the outcome of their investments, but investors do not have this information
- After the investment has been completed, the results are publicly revealed
- In order to finance the investment, the company can use debt or equity
- ► If the company takes on debt that is higher than the outcome of their investment, it will fail

Manager remuneration

- Managers receive salaries that are dependent on the value of the company as perceived by the market
- ► They receive a fraction of the asset value the market infers in time period 1 and then gain a fraction of the value revealed after the investment has been completed
- $\qquad \qquad \Pi_M^i = \gamma \hat{V} + \gamma V_i$
- ▶ If the company defaults when having taken a loan exceeding the value after completing the investment, they will receive no compensation for that time period
- $\qquad \qquad \Pi_M^i = \gamma \hat{V}$

Debt threshold

- We define a threshold debt level such that is between the asset values for the two types of companies: $V_H > D^* > V_L$
- Suppose now that if a company takes on debt above the threshold, $D > D^*$, then outside investors assess it as having high value
- If a company takes on debt below the threshold, $D \leq D^*$, then outside investors assess it as having low value

Manager remuneration and debt levels

- ▶ Managers of high-value companies obtain the high remuneration in time period 1 if they take on high debt and low remuneration if they take on low debt
- ▶ In time period 2 the type is revealed and they receive the high remuneration

- ▶ Managers of low-value companies obtain the high remuneration in time period 1 if they take on high debt and low remuneration if they take on low debt
- In time period 2 the type is revealed and they receive the low remuneration if they had low debt
- ▶ If the low-value company had taken on high debt, it would fail to repay its debt and the manager receives no remuneration

Copyright by Andreas Kraus

Manager choice of debt level

- A manager of the high-value company would choose a high debt level if $\gamma V_H + \gamma V_H \geq \gamma V_L + \gamma V_H$
- $\Rightarrow V_H \ge V_L$
- ⇒ A manager of high-value companies would take high debt levels
- A manager of the low-value company would choose a low debt level if $\gamma V_H \leq \gamma V_L + \gamma V_L$
- $\Rightarrow V_H \leq 2V_L$
- ⇒ A manager of low-value companies would take low debt levels if the differences between company types is not too big

Separation of company types

- ► The managers' choices are consistent with the way the market interprets their choices
- High-value companies take on high levels of debt
- Low-value companies take on low levels of debt
- By investigating the debt level, company types can be distinguished



Copyright (1) by Andreas Krause

Picture credits

Cover: Premier regard, Public domain, via Wikimedia Commons, https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:DALL-E_J-inancial_markets.(1).jpg

ack: Rhododendrites, CC BY-SA 4.0 https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0, via Wikimedia Commons, https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/0/04/Manhattan-at-night.south-of-Rockefeller-Center-panorama-(11263p).jpj

Andreas Krause Department of Economics University of Bath Claverton Down Bath BA2 7AY United Kingdom

E-mail: mnsak@bath.ac.uk