

 Companies are generally better informed about their investments than outside investors

- Companies are generally better informed about their investments than outside investors
- Communicating their information reliably is not easily possible

- Companies are generally better informed about their investments than outside investors
- Communicating their information reliably is not easily possible
- Companies can use debt to signal their assessment of the investment

- Companies are generally better informed about their investments than outside investors
- Communicating their information reliably is not easily possible
- Companies can use debt to signal their assessment of the investment

► We have two types of companies, one who generates a high asset value from investments and the other a low value

We have two types of companies, one who generates a high asset value from investments and the other a low value:  $V_H > V_L$ 

- We have two types of companies, one who generates a high asset value from investments and the other a low value:  $V_H > V_L$
- ► The company knows in advance the outcome of their investments

- We have two types of companies, one who generates a high asset value from investments and the other a low value:  $V_H > V_L$
- ► The company knows in advance the outcome of their investments, but investors do not have this information

- We have two types of companies, one who generates a high asset value from investments and the other a low value:  $V_H > V_L$
- ► The company knows in advance the outcome of their investments, but investors do not have this information
- After the investment has been completed, the results are publicly revealed

- We have two types of companies, one who generates a high asset value from investments and the other a low value:  $V_H > V_L$
- ► The company knows in advance the outcome of their investments, but investors do not have this information
- After the investment has been completed, the results are publicly revealed
- In order to finance the investment, the company can use debt or equity

- We have two types of companies, one who generates a high asset value from investments and the other a low value:  $V_H > V_L$
- ► The company knows in advance the outcome of their investments, but investors do not have this information
- After the investment has been completed, the results are publicly revealed
- In order to finance the investment, the company can use debt or equity
- ► If the company takes on debt that is higher than the outcome of their investment, it will fail

- We have two types of companies, one who generates a high asset value from investments and the other a low value:  $V_H > V_L$
- ► The company knows in advance the outcome of their investments, but investors do not have this information
- After the investment has been completed, the results are publicly revealed
- ▶ In order to finance the investment, the company can use debt or equity
- ► If the company takes on debt that is higher than the outcome of their investment, it will fail

Managers receive salaries that are dependent on the value of the company as perceived by the market

- Managers receive salaries that are dependent on the value of the company as perceived by the market
- ▶ They receive a fraction of the asset value the market infers in time period 1
- lacksquare  $\Pi_M^i=\gamma\hat{V}$

- Managers receive salaries that are dependent on the value of the company as perceived by the market
- ► They receive a fraction of the asset value the market infers in time period 1 and then gain a fraction of the value revealed after the investment has been completed

- Managers receive salaries that are dependent on the value of the company as perceived by the market
- ► They receive a fraction of the asset value the market infers in time period 1 and then gain a fraction of the value revealed after the investment has been completed
- $\Pi_M^i = \gamma \hat{V} + \gamma V_i$
- ▶ If the company defaults when having taken a loan exceeding the value after completing the investment, they will receive no compensation for that time period

- Managers receive salaries that are dependent on the value of the company as perceived by the market
- ► They receive a fraction of the asset value the market infers in time period 1 and then gain a fraction of the value revealed after the investment has been completed
- ▶ If the company defaults when having taken a loan exceeding the value after completing the investment, they will receive no compensation for that time period
- $\qquad \qquad \Pi_M^i = \gamma \hat{V}$

- Managers receive salaries that are dependent on the value of the company as perceived by the market
- ► They receive a fraction of the asset value the market infers in time period 1 and then gain a fraction of the value revealed after the investment has been completed
- ▶ If the company defaults when having taken a loan exceeding the value after completing the investment, they will receive no compensation for that time period
- $\qquad \qquad \Pi_M^i = \gamma \hat{V}$

▶ We define a threshold debt level such that is between the asset values for the two types of companies

lacktriangle We define a threshold debt level such that is between the asset values for the two types of companies:  $V_H>D^*>V_L$ 

- We define a threshold debt level such that is between the asset values for the two types of companies:  $V_H > D^* > V_L$
- Suppose now that if a company takes on debt above the threshold,  $D > D^*$ , then outside investors assess it as having high value

- We define a threshold debt level such that is between the asset values for the two types of companies:  $V_H > D^* > V_L$
- Suppose now that if a company takes on debt above the threshold,  $D > D^*$ , then outside investors assess it as having high value
- If a company takes on debt below the threshold,  $D \leq D^*$ , then outside investors assess it as having low value

- We define a threshold debt level such that is between the asset values for the two types of companies:  $V_H > D^* > V_L$
- Suppose now that if a company takes on debt above the threshold,  $D > D^*$ , then outside investors assess it as having high value
- If a company takes on debt below the threshold,  $D \leq D^*$ , then outside investors assess it as having low value

► Managers of high-value companies obtain the high remuneration in time period 1 if they take on high debt

$$\blacktriangleright \ \Pi_M^H = \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} \gamma V_H & \text{if} \quad V_H > D^{\gamma} \end{array} \right.$$

► Managers of high-value companies obtain the high remuneration in time period 1 if they take on high debt and low remuneration if they take on low debt

- ► Managers of high-value companies obtain the high remuneration in time period 1 if they take on high debt and low remuneration if they take on low debt
- ▶ In time period 2 the type is revealed and they receive the high remuneration

- ► Managers of high-value companies obtain the high remuneration in time period 1 if they take on high debt and low remuneration if they take on low debt
- ▶ In time period 2 the type is revealed and they receive the high remuneration

$$\qquad \qquad \blacksquare_M^H = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \gamma V_H + \gamma V_H & \text{if} \quad V_H > D^* \\ \gamma V_L + \gamma V_H & \text{if} \quad V_H \leq D^* \end{array} \right.$$

▶ Managers of low-value companies obtain the high remuneration in time period 1 if they take on high debt

$$\blacktriangleright \ \Pi_M^H = \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} \gamma V_H & \text{if} \quad V_H > D^* \end{array} \right.$$

- ▶ Managers of high-value companies obtain the high remuneration in time period 1 if they take on high debt and low remuneration if they take on low debt
- ▶ In time period 2 the type is revealed and they receive the high remuneration

► Managers of low-value companies obtain the high remuneration in time period 1 if they take on high debt and low remuneration if they take on low debt

- Managers of high-value companies obtain the high remuneration in time period 1 if they take on high debt and low remuneration if they take on low debt
- ▶ In time period 2 the type is revealed and they receive the high remuneration

- ► Managers of low-value companies obtain the high remuneration in time period 1 if they take on high debt and low remuneration if they take on low debt
- ► In time period 2 the type is revealed and they receive the low remuneration if they had low debt

Copyright by Andreas Kraus

- ▶ Managers of high-value companies obtain the high remuneration in time period 1 if they take on high debt and low remuneration if they take on low debt
- ▶ In time period 2 the type is revealed and they receive the high remuneration

- ► Managers of low-value companies obtain the high remuneration in time period 1 if they take on high debt and low remuneration if they take on low debt
- ► In time period 2 the type is revealed and they receive the low remuneration if they had low debt
- ► If the low-value company had taken on high debt, it would fail to repay its debt and the manager receives no remuneration
- $\blacksquare \Pi_M^H = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \gamma V_H + 0 & \text{if} \quad V_H > D^* \\ \gamma V_L + \gamma V_L & \text{if} \quad V_H \le D^* \end{array} \right.$

Copyright by Andreas Kraus

#### Manager remuneration and debt levels

- ► Managers of high-value companies obtain the high remuneration in time period 1 if they take on high debt and low remuneration if they take on low debt
- ▶ In time period 2 the type is revealed and they receive the high remuneration

- ▶ Managers of low-value companies obtain the high remuneration in time period 1 if they take on high debt and low remuneration if they take on low debt
- In time period 2 the type is revealed and they receive the low remuneration if they had low debt
- ▶ If the low-value company had taken on high debt, it would fail to repay its debt and the manager receives no remuneration

Copyright by Andreas Kraus

A manager of the high-value company would choose a high debt level if  $\gamma V_H + \gamma V_H \geq \gamma V_L + \gamma V_H$ 

- A manager of the high-value company would choose a high debt level if  $\gamma V_H + \gamma V_H \geq \gamma V_L + \gamma V_H$
- $\Rightarrow V_H \ge V_L$

- A manager of the high-value company would choose a high debt level if  $\gamma V_H + \gamma V_H \geq \gamma V_L + \gamma V_H$
- $\Rightarrow V_H \ge V_L$
- ⇒ A manager of high-value companies would take high debt levels

- A manager of the high-value company would choose a high debt level if  $\gamma V_H + \gamma V_H \geq \gamma V_L + \gamma V_H$
- $\Rightarrow V_H \ge V_L$
- ⇒ A manager of high-value companies would take high debt levels
- A manager of the low-value company would choose a low debt level if  $\gamma V_H \leq \gamma V_L + \gamma V_L$

- A manager of the high-value company would choose a high debt level if  $\gamma V_H + \gamma V_H \geq \gamma V_L + \gamma V_H$
- $\Rightarrow V_H \ge V_L$
- ⇒ A manager of high-value companies would take high debt levels
- A manager of the low-value company would choose a low debt level if  $\gamma V_H \leq \gamma V_L + \gamma V_L$
- $\Rightarrow V_H \leq 2V_L$

- A manager of the high-value company would choose a high debt level if  $\gamma V_H + \gamma V_H \geq \gamma V_L + \gamma V_H$
- $\Rightarrow V_H \ge V_L$
- ⇒ A manager of high-value companies would take high debt levels
- A manager of the low-value company would choose a low debt level if  $\gamma V_H \leq \gamma V_L + \gamma V_L$
- $\Rightarrow V_H \leq 2V_L$
- ⇒ A manager of low-value companies would take low debt levels

- A manager of the high-value company would choose a high debt level if  $\gamma V_H + \gamma V_H \geq \gamma V_L + \gamma V_H$
- $\Rightarrow V_H \ge V_L$
- ⇒ A manager of high-value companies would take high debt levels
- A manager of the low-value company would choose a low debt level if  $\gamma V_H \leq \gamma V_L + \gamma V_L$
- $\Rightarrow V_H \leq 2V_L$
- ⇒ A manager of low-value companies would take low debt levels if the differences between company types is not too big

- A manager of the high-value company would choose a high debt level if  $\gamma V_H + \gamma V_H \geq \gamma V_L + \gamma V_H$
- $\Rightarrow V_H \ge V_L$
- ⇒ A manager of high-value companies would take high debt levels
- A manager of the low-value company would choose a low debt level if  $\gamma V_H \leq \gamma V_L + \gamma V_L$
- $\Rightarrow V_H \leq 2V_L$
- ⇒ A manager of low-value companies would take low debt levels if the differences between company types is not too big

► The managers' choices are consistent with the way the market interprets their choices

- ► The managers' choices are consistent with the way the market interprets their choices
- High-value companies take on high levels of debt

- ► The managers' choices are consistent with the way the market interprets their choices
- High-value companies take on high levels of debt
- ► Low-value companies take on low levels of debt

- ► The managers' choices are consistent with the way the market interprets their choices
- High-value companies take on high levels of debt
- Low-value companies take on low levels of debt
- By investigating the debt level, company types can be distinguished

- ► The managers' choices are consistent with the way the market interprets their choices
- High-value companies take on high levels of debt
- Low-value companies take on low levels of debt
- ▶ By investigating the debt level, company types can be distinguished



#### Copyright (1) by Andreas Krause

Picture credits

Cover: Premier regard, Public domain, via Wikimedia Commons, https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:DALL-E\_J-inancial\_markets.(1).jpg

ack: Rhododendrites, CC BY-SA 4.0 https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0, via Wikimedia Commons, https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/0/04/Manhattan-at-night.south-of-Rockefeller-Center-panorama-(11263p).jpj

Andreas Krause Department of Economics University of Bath Claverton Down Bath BA2 7AY United Kingdom

E-mail: mnsak@bath.ac.uk