



ES32078 Economics of banking
Module outline

Dear all

welcome to the module 'Economics of Banking', which I am teaching. This module aims to give you an overview of some key issues in both commercial and investment banking; the intention is to allow you to understand some of the practices that can be observed in banks and understand why they have developed, what these practices achieve, but also their limitations and shortcomings.

This module outline explains to you in detail what to expect from my teaching, the contents that is covered, the resources available to support your learning, and information on the assessment; please read this information carefully. If you have any questions about the module, whether it is about its organisation, you require additional support to understand some of its contents, or you seek additional feedback on seminar problems, please feel free to attend my office hours.

I look forward to teaching this module and working with you to achieve your best.

Andreas Krause

Contents

1 Aims and objectives	7
2 Teaching arrangements	9
3 Topics covered	13
4 Reading list	16
5 Assessment	18
6 Disability adjustment	24
A Appendix: Assessment brief	25

The module ES32078 Economics of banking is available as an optional module to students in semester 1 of their final year on the undergraduate programmes of BSc(Hons) Economics, BSc(Hons) Economics and Mathematics, and BSc(Hons) Economics and Politics.

Students successfully passing the assessment will gain 6 credits at FHEQ level 6 towards their degree. The module is taught during semester 1 and requires a total of 100 notional study hours, of which 25 notional study hours are allocated to lectures and seminars, while 75 notional study hours are allocated to independent study, mostly comprising the preparation of lectures and seminars. Taking into account revision time, students are expected to study independently for approximately 5 hours per teaching week for this module.

1 Aims and objectives

This module aims to introduce students to the basic concepts in commercial banking as well as investment banking. It will cover the role of commercial and investment banks, how lending decisions are made by commercial banks, as well as the practices of investment banks helping companies to raise funds.

The learning objectives go beyond only learning about the practices of working in commercial and investment banks. Apart from learning about banking, this module will also develop transferable skills that prepare students for the workplace in general, not only in the banking industry. It is therefore not only of essence to learn the details of the models discussed, but gain an overall understanding of their implications for the behaviour of banks.

Knowledge

An important part in this module is, of course, to learn about banking. We will look at some practices which are common in banks and the main focus will be on understanding why these practices have become widespread, which conditions are required for them to become relevant, and what their implications are. This will give students a deeper understanding and knowledge of banking overall and in particular an appreciation of the conflicts of interests between banks and their clients/customers, along with how the market has found solutions to address such conflicts of interest.

Understanding

Apart from the specific knowledge in banking, by working through the models, students will also be able to gain a better understanding of economic models in general. While the specific elements of the models relate to banks, many approaches can easily be transferred to other areas in economics and beyond. There are general principles common from economics applied, especially the need to provide incentives to pursue a specific action, or prevent a specific action being chosen. If an interested party cannot control the decision of another individual, he might be able to provide incentives to ensure the individual takes the action he desires.

Analysis

We will look at many realistic problems which can be addressed using the economic models discussed in this module. Students will learn how economic models can be used to explain realistic scenarios without having to resort to a detailed mathematical analysis. Using intuition and economic reasoning, informed by the models discussed, students will learn how to approach such problems and provide a meaningful answer based on economic reasoning. This will be achieved with only limited information being available, often even without key information being given, requiring additional assumptions to be made, which in the circumstances are reasonable.

Communication

All too often economic ideas are only communicated using mathematical formulae based on abstract ideas. In this module students will be required to express their ideas in plain English that can be communicated to a non-specialist audience; students will have to provide an analysis of practical problems and instead of relying on a mathematical analysis will have to use a non-technical approach when providing an answer, not least as specific quantitative information is not provided, making a mathematical analysis impossible.

Subject specific knowledge is essential in this module, but students need to be able to properly understand, apply, and communicate their subject-specific knowledge as well.

2 Teaching arrangements

Key information	
Lecturer	Dr Andreas Krause
Email contact	mnsak@bath.ac.uk
Lectures	Tuesdays, 9.15-11.05 in CB1.12
Seminars	Thursdays, 10.15-11.05 in 3E3.8 or Fridays, 14.15-15.05 in 8W3.14
Office hours	Fridays, 11.30-14.00 in 3E4.26
Module webpage	https://people.bath.ac.uk/mnsak/

This module is taught over ten weeks with two hours of lectures each week and one hour of seminars bi-weekly. During lectures, the key concepts and ideas of the topics in this module are presented, where in each lecture a new topic is covered. Having acquired the necessary knowledge during lectures, students are then offered seminars in which this knowledge is applied to specific problems. Students are expected to attempt these problems prior to the seminar and discuss any problems they faced in the seminar. The problems discussed during seminars are representative of exam questions and attendance at seminars is an essential part of gaining subject knowledge and to learn communicating this subject knowledge. For this reason, active participation in seminars is an important preparation for the assessment.

Prerequisite knowledge

Students are required to have a basic knowledge of microeconomics covering utility theory, equilibria, moral hazard, and adverse selection; no knowledge of econometrics beyond basic statistics is required. While most topics will involve the use of theoretical models and the associated use of mathematics, no advanced mathematical knowledge is needed. Emphasis will be laid on an intuitive understanding of the model, its derivation and the interpretation of results rather than their mathematical derivation and detailed mathematical analysis. To fully comprehend the models discussed, students will be required to understand the ideas behind constrained optimisation as well as basic matrix algebra, however, there is no requirement for students to conduct such mathematical operations themselves.

Lectures

Lectures are used to convey the main theories used in banking. During lectures we will discuss the motivation of these theories, the idea how to derive the main results, discuss the intuition behind them, and the implications such results may have. It is

essential to attend all lectures as these provide students with the subject knowledge necessary to understand and critically evaluate problems in banking.

As lectures are quite fast-paced and cover different aspects within a topic, it is beneficial if student have familiarised themselves with the material beforehand. This might be achieved through consulting the readings provided for each topic and thereby gaining some knowledge of the context and main ideas, helping them to understand the context of the topic better. After each lecture students should carefully work through the material covered, consulting their notes as well as the readings required, to deepen their understanding. Only once students have obtained a good understanding of the theories learnt, are they able to apply their knowledge to solve actual problems; the application of the theory is then practiced in bi-weekly seminars.

Each lecture lasts approximately 90 minutes and there will be one such lecture in each week; lectures will be recorded through Re:View and recordings will be made available to students shortly after the lecture, subject to technical availability. The schedule of lectures and seminars shown below shows the topic that will be covered in each of these lectures.

Seminars

Seminars are taught bi-weekly and last approximately 50 minutes; students are allocated to one of the two seminar sessions, which cover the same contents. Therefore students should only attend one of the two seminars as per their allocation. In each seminar problems are discussed that relate to topics which have previously been presented in lectures. Students should attempt these problems before attending the seminar; there are benefits even if not succeeding with solving the problem completely. Furthermore, having engaged with the problem beforehand, allows students to understand the suggested solutions more easily.

In the discussion of problems, student input is required. It is expected that students make suggestions that lead towards a solution, or provide parts of a solution. By providing their suggestions, or comparing the suggestions of other students with their own ideas, students can obtain feedback on their progress in the module. This feedback will allow them to self-assess whether they have obtained sufficient knowledge from the lectures and where any deficits might be found.

It is strongly advised that after each seminar students reflect on their solutions and the solution discussed in class. If their solutions were incorrect, it might be necessary to revisit the corresponding lecture to deepen the understanding of the material. Attendance at seminars and engagement with the problems are an essential preparation for the examination. The questions in the examination will be similar in nature and style to the problems discussed in seminars.

Lecture and seminar schedule

	Lecture	Seminar
Week 1	Topic 1	<i>No seminar</i>
Week 2	Topic 2	<i>No seminar</i>
Week 3	Topic 3	<i>No seminar</i>
Week 4	Topic 4	<i>No seminar</i>
Week 5	Topic 5	Topics 1 & 2
Week 6		Reading week
Week 7	Topic 6	Topics 3 & 4
Week 8	Topic 7	Topics 5 & 6
Week 9	Topic 8	<i>No seminar</i>
Week 10	Topic 9	Topics 7 & 8
Week 11	Topic 10	Topics 9 & 10
		Christmas break
Week 15		Revision week

Which problems are relevant for each topic in seminars is listed together with the reading list below. While indicative answers to all problems will be provided to allow students comparing their answers at a later stage, these might not cover all aspects or go beyond the contents covered in lectures. Students are responsible for taking their own notes and are strongly advised to not rely on the indicative answer instead of attending seminars as the learning effect will be significantly diminished.

Seminars will not be recorded to allow students to provide wrong answers without having these answers replayed by other students. Students who are unavoidably absent during a seminar, can attend my office hours, as outlined below, to gain feedback on their solutions if the indicative answers provided are not sufficient.

More problems than can be discussed in seminars are provided to students, this is due to time constraints in seminars. Having additional problems allows students to practice their knowledge in preparation of the assessment; they can compare their solutions with the indicative answers provided and for any additional clarifications attend office hours.

Office hours

Office hours are available weekly during teaching weeks and in revision week. Office hours allow students to clarify questions they have regarding lectures or seminars on a one-to-one basis. Students are expected to have worked through the relevant lectures, associated readings, and seminars to resolve their question through self-study. If this is not leading to a question being resolved, students can attend office hours to obtain

individual support on this specific question. It provides them with an opportunity to obtain individual feedback on their progress and identify gaps in their knowledge or skills. Students are expected to come prepared and ask specific questions, office hours are not designed to provide private tuition.

Students who are unavoidably absent from a seminar, for example due to illness, are invited to visit the office hours with their proposed solutions, or attempts at finding a solution, for a brief discussion that will help them to catch-up with the material covered. For lectures missed for the same reason, students are referred to the recordings that are provided.

Office hours are normally in-person, but in special circumstances where a student cannot reasonably attend in person, a meeting through Teams can be arranged during office hours, please contact the lecturer a few days in advance if this applies; please note that students must join the Teams meeting using their university username. For in-person office hours, no time slots can be booked, students can attend at any time during the office hours. Should the office hours fall into a time where students have other lectures or seminars, please contact the lecturer in advance to make alternative arrangements.

Short questions, for example a clarification, can also be addressed directly after the lectures or seminars in the room by approaching the lecturer. Similarly, brief questions, requiring a brief answer, can be asked by emailing the lecturer and an answer would normally be provided by the next office hours. However, in most cases asking questions by email is not effective and students might be asked to attend office hours instead; usually only questions of clarification are suitable, while providing explanations of theories or solutions to problems is in most cases not effective.

Resources available

Students are provided with the following resources to help them learn and achieve the learning outcomes:

- module outline (*this document*),
- lecture slides with extensive notes,
- problems for seminars with indicative answers,
- readings accompanying the lecture and seminar material.

On the module webpage, students will find all resources as listed above. The lecture slides are available in two versions, one consists of the final version of each slide, while the other version includes the dynamic uncovering and colouring of text as used during

lectures. Each topic has its own set of files, consisting of a file providing some context to the topic and the files for each model.

3 Topics covered

Banks can generally be divided into commercial banks and investment banks. The type of activities of these two types of banks are quite different and while universal banks combine both types of banks, they are mainly operated separately, such that these activities can be treated individually. Commercial banks, often simply referred to as banks, provide loans to the general public - individuals, companies, governments, and international organisations - and accept deposits from the general public. Through the provision of loans, banks are essential for companies to finance investments, but also for individuals to make larger purchases such as property and cars. We will see how the provision of loans affects the incentives of borrowers and banks alike, but also how banks address any adverse incentives. A bank's customers are not only borrowers, but also depositors who might have concerns about the risks banks are taking, affecting the safety of their deposits. We will be looking at the possibility of deposits being withdrawn from banks as well as the way deposit insurance can re-assure depositors.

Investment banks are acting as intermediaries with the aim to facilitate large capital market transactions, such as mergers or the issuance of securities. To aid this facilitation, they have also extended the range of services they offer to providing information to investors, developing new securities, managing assets on behalf of clients, amongst others. This module will explore the key services investment banks provide - providing advice in mergers and acquisitions, underwriting securities and providing financial analyst coverage. The focus will be on the conflicts of interests between the investment bank and their clients. We will see how the often opposing interests are more aligned by using contractual arrangements that reconcile these differing interests as much as possible. While an important role of investment bankers is to value securities, this aspect will not be covered in this module. The focus will instead exclusively be on the conflicts of interest between investment banks and their clients, taking the ability of investment bankers to conduct a valuation as given.

We will now briefly outline the specific topics in commercial and investment banking that will be covered in this module.

Commercial banking

Topic 1: The role of commercial banks Banks can be seen as pure intermediaries between borrowers and depositors. In such a view, banks might be beneficial by reducing the costs for borrowers and depositors negotiating a large number of smaller loans

directly. In addition, banks allow deposits to be withdrawn at any time, while loans are given for a fixed longer term. We will explore how banks can reduce negotiation costs but also how they can provide long-term loans using short-term deposits.

Topic 2: Credit rationing A common notion in economics is that in equilibrium supply meets demand. Should there be an imbalance between demand and supply, the price would adjust until this imbalance vanishes, assuming there are no other exogenous constraints. However, in banking we find situations in which borrowers are applying for a loan and only a smaller loan is approved by the bank; offering to pay a higher loan rate will not increase the size of the loan offered. We will investigate why banks would offer smaller loans than companies seek, regardless of the loan rate a borrower is willing to pay.

Topic 3: Collateral provision In many instances, banks require collateral when providing a loan, most notably in the form of mortgages who use the property financed as collateral, which is transferred to the bank in case the loan is not repaid; as such collateral will reduce the losses of banks. We will look at incentives of borrowers to provide collateral and how banks can use borrowers' willingness to provide collateral to extract additional information about the risk of borrowers. In addition, banks might use collateral they have obtained from borrowers to secure loan themselves. We will analyse why borrowers providing the original collateral would agree to such a practice.

Topic 4: Relationship banking Customers are in most instances loyal to their bank and return to their bank frequently, allowing it to build up information about their customers that frequently include information that can only be gained through personal and repeated interactions. Such information is not easily available to competitors such that a bank might gain an informational advantage; we will look at the implications of such a situation and how competition between banks affects the outcome. Having obtained a loan previously, which is still outstanding, might induce banks to approve further loans with the aim that the new investments the borrower makes, will secure not only the new loan, but also the already existing loan; such practices are only possible with an ongoing relationship. We will explore all these aspects in detail.

Topic 5: Bank runs and deposit insurance Depositors can withdraw at any time, forcing banks to use their cash reserves to repay deposits or even to liquidate assets. We will analyse how a coordinated withdrawal of deposits at a bank can emerge. In order to prevent such bank runs, deposit insurance is in place in many countries. We will look at the implications that deposit insurance has on bank behaviour and also why it is optimal for banks to not provide deposit insurance for all deposits.

Investment banking

Topic 6: Investment banks as information providers It is common in economic theory to assume that an intermediary is uninformed and has no active role in the transaction between a buyer and seller of securities. Investment banks are, however, often better informed than either the buyer or seller of securities. We will explore how this informational advantage of investment banks affects the buying and selling of securities, but also the issue of securities in the first place.

Topic 7: Advice in mergers & acquisitions Investment banks advising companies on mergers and acquisitions are commonly only paid if the transaction is completed. We will explore how this contractual arrangement, a contingent contract, affects the advice investment banks provide and then extend this contractual arrangement by introducing a break-up fee and see how this might alleviate some of the incentive mismatches between the investment bank and their client.

Topic 8: The process of underwriting The contractual arrangements in the issuance of new securities are particularly complex. Investment banks commonly co-operate with other investment banks in a syndicate to attract the widest possible range of investors to purchase the newly issued securities. Furthermore they engage in a book-building process where selected investors provide non-binding offers to purchase the security, which then forms the basis of the offer price. We will explore the optimality of these contractual arrangements for the investment banks, but also their clients.

Topic 9: Underpricing security issues Newly issued securities are commonly underpriced, that is issued at prices well below the market price. We will explore some of the reasons why such underpricing might well be optimal for the investment bank, despite their remuneration obtained from the issuer being lower, and will also explore the consequences for the issuers of securities.

Topic 10: Financial analysts Financial analysts provide information to investors about the prospects of companies; this information is normally biased in the sense that the company's prospects are presented overly positive. We will explore the reason why this positive bias is observed and see that regulation seeking to address the incentive problem at the heart of this bias is not effective; other measures need to be taken to improve the quality of analyst coverage.

4 Reading list

For meeting the learning outcomes of this module, students do not need to go beyond the contents provided in the lectures and seminars. The specific readings for each topic are given in the table below; all readings are taken from the following two textbooks:

Required readings

The readings comprise parts of the following books:

1. Andreas Krause: Theoretical Foundations of Commercial Banking, *Manuscript*, 2025
2. Andreas Krause: Theoretical Foundations of Investment Banking, SpringerNature, 2024

The first book is available from the module webpage as part of the resources for this module.

For each topic, specific chapters from these textbooks are required as outlined below. The table also specifies which problems are relevant for each topic.

Detailed reading list and problems by topic

	Reading	Problems
Topic 1	[1, Chs. 3.1, 4.1]	8, 9*, 22*, 23
Topic 2	[1, Chs. 8.1, 8.2]	72*, 73, 74*, 75, 78
Topic 3	[1, Chs. 9.2.1, 9.3]	76, 90*, 94, 96*, 98
Topic 4	[1, Chs. 11.1.2, 11.2.3, 11.3.1]	116, 117, 128*, 129, 130*, 132, 136
Topic 5	[1, Chs. 15.1.1, 15.1.2, 18.1.1, 18.2.1]	141, 142*, 151, 152, 179*, 180, 189, 198
Topic 6	[2, Chs. 1, 3, 4.2]	2*, 3*, 4, 7
Topic 7	[2, Chs. 2.1, 6.2, 6.3]	10*, 13, 15, 36*
Topic 8	[2, Chs. 2.2, 7.1.2, 7.2.2]	16*, 19, 20*, 37
Topic 9	[2, Chs. 7.3.1, 7.3.4]	26*, 27, 29, 38*
Topic 10	[2, Chs. 2.3, 8, 10]	42, 43*, 45, 48*

The problems and their numberings are taken from the respective textbooks, [1] and [2]. Those problems that are discussed in seminars are indicated by a star (*) and the remaining problems can be solved by students independently; indicative answers are provided so that students can self or peer-assess their solutions.

Additional readings

Some students might be interested in going beyond the requirements of this module and learn more about the practical aspects of commercial or investment banking.

A more generic overview of commercial banks and their business practices can be found in a wide variety of textbooks on banking. Books that might be helpful include the following:

1. Shelagh Heffernan: Modern Banking, Wiley, New York, NY, 2005
2. Benton E. Gup and James W. Kolari: Commercial banking, 3rd edition, Wiley, New York, NY, 2005
3. John Goddard and John O. S. Wilson: Banking, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2016
4. Xavier Freixas and Jean-Charles Rochet: Microeconomics of banking, 3rd edition, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 2023
5. Hans Keiding: Economics of banking, Palgrave Macmillan, London, 2016
6. Jin Cao: The economics of banking, Routledge, Abingdon, 2022
7. Kent Matthews, John Thompson and Tiantian Zhang: The Economics of Banking, 4th edition, World Scientific Publishing, Singapore, 2024

The latter four books are textbooks on the economics of banking that cover similar topics as in this module and might be useful supplements to the required readings on commercial banking. The first two books describe in more detail the banking market and the practical aspects of banking.

Students interested in the practices of investment banks may find the following books helpful:

1. Michel Fleuriet: Investment banking explained, McGrawHill, New York NY, 2008
2. Giuliano Ianotta: Investment Banking. Springer Verlag, Heidelberg, 2010
3. Joshua Rosenbaum and Joshua Pearl: Investment Banking, 3rd edition, Wiley, New York NY, 2009
4. K. Thomas Liaw: The Business of Investment Banking, 3rd edition, Wiley, New York NY, 2012
5. Stefano Caselli, Gimede Gigante, and Andrea Tortoroglio: Corporate and Investment Banking, Bocconi University Press, Milan, 2021
6. David P. Stowell: Investment Banks, Hedge Funds, and Private Equity, 4th edition, Academic Press, London, 2023

These books are not required for the successful completion of this module, but provide more in-depth practical insights into commercial and investment banking for those interested. They cover in particular valuation practices as used by investment banks in mergers & acquisitions advice, underwriting of share offerings (IPOs), as well as the basis for the recommendations made in analyst reports.

5 Assessment

The assessment consists of an in-person examination lasting two hours during the assessment period. The examination will be open-book and students are allowed to take any non-electronic material into the examination. Students will not be provided with any other material beyond the question paper and an answer booklet; anything else students may want to or need to rely on, is to be brought to the examination by the student.

The exam paper will cover the entire contents of this module and students are not given a choice of questions to answer, all questions are compulsory. The exam will consist of 6 questions, each requiring to solve an applied problem using their knowledge from this module. All questions carry the same weight. The difficulty of questions will vary to allow for an assessment of the degree to which the learning outcomes have been met and the final mark to reflect the standards achieved. In the exam paper, questions will not be ordered by their level of difficulty or by topic. Furthermore, as with the seminar problems, some questions will require the application of more than one model for a complete answer, but these are not necessarily more difficult than questions requiring the use of only a single model.

The style of questions in the exam paper will be similar to the problems discussed during seminars; it is therefore that seminar attendance is an integral part of preparing for the examination. As in the problem sets, students will not be asked to derive a model, whether a model we have discussed in lectures or a new model. Instead, students are required to apply one or several models and their results to the problem on hand.

Knowing and understanding the contents of the lectures is sufficient for the examination and students can achieve any mark. It is not necessary to read beyond the contents of the lectures, although this can be beneficial as it will help students to gain a better and deeper understanding of the topic; this may make answering exam questions easier. Students wishing to go beyond the contents of this module are free to do so in the examination, but there is no inherent advantage in doing so, nor is there a disadvantage; the answer will be assessed in the same way and to the same standards as for students who restrict themselves to answers based on the module contents. When answering questions and using exclusively contents from the module, no referencing is required; however should a student go beyond the contents of the

module, an appropriate reference must be provided.

Assessment preparation

Although the examination is open-book, allowing students to make use of any non-electronic material they wish, students are strongly advised to prepare in the same way they would prepare for closed-book examinations. Students are required to identify the correct models to apply to the questions given, and they will only be able to do this successfully if they have a good overview of these models. Knowledge of the framework used for each model and its key results are also essential to assess whether a model is useful in the context of the question and to develop initial ideas on how to apply this model. Where the use of notes and other material will be useful is to obtain the details of model assumptions and more specific aspects of the insights these models provide; these aspects can be looked up during the exam rather than be memorised beforehand.

By experience, if students decide that due to the open-book examination they can rely completely on looking up models to decide which one(s) are applicable and then read up on the key results of these models, they will not have sufficient time to answer all questions adequately. The number of questions is chosen such that students who are well prepared and have knowledge of all models and their key results will be able to finish all questions by working continuously throughout the length of the examination; this includes looking up any details of the models they are required to apply. Time is, however, not sufficient to read up on the models themselves in the first place. Therefore, as part of their revision, students should have a sound understanding of all models discussed in the lectures and seminars.

It is also essential that students practice answering problems prior to the examination. While attendance at the seminars will allow them to gain an understanding of the approach to be taken and how to structure an answer, how to make the argument they apply more sound, and how much detail to provide, it is the practice of writing answers to seminar problems that have not been discussed in the seminars and then comparing these answers with the indicative answers provided, that gives students the skills required and confidence for the examination. It is strongly suggested that students initially attempt seminar problems without having looked at the indicative answers to maximize the learning effect; it is for this reason that a separate file of seminar problems without indicative answers is provided.

Exam technique

The exam will last two hours and consist of six questions; students are not given a choice of questions to answer. The questions are not ordered by difficulty and also not

ordered by topic; thus no inferences can be made from the position of the question in the exam paper about the level of difficulty or which models are applicable to it. In order to maximize their marks, students may answer questions in any order they wish. With six questions, the average time spent on each question will be 20 minutes and while some questions might be answered in less time and others may take a little bit longer, students should make sure they are not significantly outside of this time frame. Students taking considerably more than 20 minutes answering a question (this would not include excessive time spent thinking about a solution) might consider that they either have taken a wrong approach or provided levels of detail that are not necessary; in the examination, please focus on the key ideas and arguments only. If, on the other hand, students spend considerably less than 20 minutes on a question, they might want to consider whether they have covered all aspects relevant to this question and gone into sufficient depth and detail in their answer.

In terms of the length of an answer, again from experience, it is sufficient to write approximately two paragraphs (200-300 words). This is not a guideline of how much students should write, but might give an indication of the typical length; some problems might require a much shorter answer while others might necessitate a longer reply. These differences arise from a variety of factors, for example a more difficult problem might not require much detail, but a very succinct solution, while other, sometimes, but not always easier, questions might require more aspects to consider that are more straightforward. The indicative answers to the seminar problems are approximately half the length of what students typically write. The reason is that students tend to be less succinct and provide more evidence from the problem itself to support their analysis of the problem than has been done in the indicative answers, where this evidence is merely hinted at; for this reason, they are not labelled 'solutions' but instead 'indicative answers'.

When providing an answer to a question, students must make sure they answer the question asked, it is not sufficient to describe a model (even if the correct model). Students must address the specific question asked, using an appropriate model to apply to the circumstances and support their analysis with evidence from the problem to show that key assumptions of the model are met (or not). It is then essential to show how the results of the model are answering the question and provide a rationale for this result. Students are not required to derive a model, and there is no benefit in doing so, nor are they required to reproduce formulae from these models in their answer. What is required is that students use these results, apply the appropriate formulae as part of their answer. The answer should be in plain English and be comprehensible to a non-specialist audience, which has knowledge of basic economics, finance, and banking. References to models do not need to be made as long as these models were discussed in this module; students using models not discussed in this module are required to provide an adequate reference. How to provide an answer to exam questions will be practiced extensively in the seminars.

Assessment criteria

When marking the exam, attention will be paid to the following aspects, in no particular order of importance:

- choice of an appropriate model/framework that can be used to address the problem, with additional well justified assumptions being made as appropriate and needed,
- presence of a coherent argument being made (within the framework of the model chosen) that leads from observations to meaningful results on any particular point being made, as far as relevant to the problem,
- a well-argued overall conclusion that brings together the different arguments made throughout the answer into a single final result, or two opposing results conditional upon certain aspects discussed before, as appropriate,
- avoidance of the discussion of irrelevant aspects that do not directly contribute to the answer,
- consideration of alternative explanations and approaches to the one chosen and justification of the chosen approach.

The marking of answers like these is necessarily subjective, thus no firm rules or right/wrong answers can exist. If students make a mistake, for example using an incorrect model, giving a wrong solution, students will only lose marks for the initial mistake and any subsequently wrong outcomes ('follow-up mistakes') will attract full marks, provided they are correct conditional on their initial mistake. Roughly answers might be characterized as follows:

Fail Students choose the wrong theory or model and typically do not apply it to the problem at hand, but rather describe the theory itself. It may also be a case of choosing the correct theory or model but not showing how it and its results relate to the problem in the problem or misinterpreting its relevance.

Third class Students choose a theory or model that is wrong but make an effort to show its relevance. Alternatively, students might choose the correct model but fail to argue its relevance convincingly or make substantive mistakes in its application.

Lower Second class Students choose the correct theory or model, but their arguments to its relevance are incomplete or partially wrong. Students may have all elements in place, but not connected to form a coherent argument for their analysis.

Upper Second class Students use theories and models well to support their arguments, but may not properly consider alternative theories and other explanations that do not directly emerge from conventional theories. Explanations using models and theories show some gaps or inconsistencies.

First class Students support their arguments well with existing theories or models, consider alternatives to the models chosen as well as look beyond the models themselves by considering alternative explanations. Explanations are free of errors and gaps in arguments are minimal.

Illustration of an answer not meeting the learning outcomes

Good answers can be found in the indicative solutions to the seminar problems. Here is an example for an answer that would not meet the learning outcomes of this module. Consider problem 22 from topic 9 and the following answer which has been generated by ChatGPT:

The lead underwriter could justify the underpricing in several ways, emphasizing the strategic importance of ensuring a successful IPO and the longer-term benefits of the pricing strategy. Here's how the underwriter might defend their approach:

- **Market Dynamics and Risk Mitigation**

- ***Uncertainty and Risk Compensation:*** The underwriter could argue that the high initial returns (or "pop") compensate investors for the risks associated with participating in an IPO. IPOs are inherently risky, and offering a discount ensures that investors are incentivized to participate, despite the uncertainty surrounding the new public company's future performance.
- ***Ensuring High Demand:*** By setting the price lower, the underwriter aimed to create a strong demand for the shares. This high demand helps ensure that the IPO is fully subscribed or even oversubscribed, reducing the risk of an unsuccessful offering. A successful IPO is crucial for the company's reputation and future capital-raising efforts.

- **Building Long-Term Relationships**

- ***Loyalty and Recurring Business:*** The underwriter might highlight the importance of maintaining strong relationships with their long-standing clients, who are often institutional investors. These investors not only bring stability to the IPO but are also likely to support future equity offerings by the company. Rewarding them with a favorable allocation helps maintain these relationships, which is beneficial for all parties in the long run.

- **Repeat Participation:** Loyal clients are more likely to participate in future IPOs, providing a reliable base of demand. Their continued participation is essential for the underwriter's business model, as it ensures a steady flow of capital for future deals.

- **Price Discovery and Market Reception**

- **Initial Price Discovery:** The underwriter could argue that the underpricing was part of the price discovery process. The IPO price is an estimate based on available information, and the true market value often emerges only after trading begins. A significant price increase post-IPO suggests strong market demand and positive investor sentiment, which can be advantageous for the company's public image.
- **Positive Market Reception:** A strong post-IPO performance signals to the market that the company is healthy and desirable, potentially leading to a higher long-term valuation. This initial underpricing can be viewed as an investment in the company's market perception, which can attract more investors over time.

- **Ensuring Liquidity**

- **Encouraging Active Trading:** By underpricing the shares, the underwriter helps ensure that there will be active trading in the stock after the IPO. High liquidity is important because it attracts more investors and helps stabilize the stock price, reducing volatility in the early days of trading.
- **Avoiding a Price Drop:** If the IPO is priced too high and the stock price falls after trading begins, it could damage the company's reputation and discourage future investments. Underpricing helps to mitigate this risk by setting a price that is likely to rise, rather than fall, in the open market.

- **Aligning Interests**

- **Aligning Interests of Stakeholders:** The underwriter could explain that their role is to balance the interests of the issuing company, the investors, and the broader market. Ensuring that all parties feel they have received fair value is crucial for the long-term success of the IPO market. While the company may feel that they left money on the table, the benefits of a successful and well-received IPO may outweigh the initial perceived loss.

Conclusion

The underwriter's primary defense would center on the argument that underpricing, while seemingly costly in the short term, is essential for managing the risks of an IPO, ensuring its success, and maintaining healthy relationships with key institutional investors. This approach can ultimately lead to a stronger market presence and higher long-term valuation for the company.

This answer, while overall correct, does not make use of specific models and explores how they are relevant to this case. Instead, the answer lacks depth and makes statements that are not justified by economic reasoning. The answer also does not address the specifics of the company in the problem itself, thus is just a generic discussion of the benefits of underpricing. In contrast, the indicative answer makes explicit reference to models discussed in this module and goes into more depth rather than staying generic as in the answer shown here.

6 Disability adjustment

Any student with a disability, whether formally diagnosed or not, is invited to contact the lecturer at the earliest possibility to discuss any adjustments that might help the student to participate fully in this module, as long as these can reasonably be made. Such adjustments might be in addition to any provisions in the Disability Access Plan.

A Appendix: Assessment brief

A.1 Assessment summary

A.1.1 Unit and assessment information

Module credit: 5 credits

Assessment weighting: 100%

Exam date: TBC

Result release: TBC

A.1.2 Learning outcomes

The exam will be assessed on all learning outcomes, namely

- understand and analyse the decisions of banks and their customers,
- assess the importance of conflicts of interest between banks and their customers,
- apply knowledge to analyse real-world problems and make suggestions how to address them.

A.1.3 Course-wide relevance

This assessment will enhance the following skills:

- the facility to apply subject-specific knowledge into a range of complex situations,
- a critical awareness of current issues and frameworks in banking,
- the ability to acquire and analyse data, information and situations,
- the ability to evaluate relevance and validity of models, and to synthesise it in the context of the theory of finance as applied to financial intermediaries such as banks.

These assessment and skills will be particularly relevant for other modules:

- ES32033 Final year project,
- MN22165 Intermediate corporate finance for managers.

These skills will enhance your employability as follows

- evaluate the current standing of theories and empirical evidence and suggest improvements for the operation and regulation of banks,
- operate effectively both independently as well as within teams and assume leadership roles where appropriate,
- be self-directed and able to act autonomously in planning and implementing,
- the facility to communicate including presenting and marketing themselves and their ideas.

A.2 Assessment details

A.2.1 Task: Unseen exam

The exam will consist of six questions across the entire syllabus of this module. All questions are compulsory and carry equal weights.

A.2.2 Time limit

Exam duration: 2 hours

A.2.3 Exam instructions

The exam will take place in person at the University of Bath (dates/times/rooms TBC). Answers will be handwritten by students (special arrangements will be provided for students with DAPs, if applicable). During this exam students are not permitted to communicate with any person(s) except an invigilator or an assigned support worker. Students must keep your Library card on your desk at all times.

Before the exam starts, students must fill in the details on the front of their answer book/cover and sign in the section on the right of their answer book/cover, peel away the adhesive strip and seal. Students have to take care to enter the correct candidate number as detailed on their desk labels. Students must not turn over their question paper until instructed to by the chief invigilator.

This is an open book exam. Students may bring and use any non-electronic material; there is no limit on what students may bring or how much they can bring. Only calculators provided by the University may be used.

A.2.4 Use of Generative AI

This assignment is classified as Type A for the use of Generative AI. This means the use of GenAI is not permitted.

A.3 Detailed instructions

A.3.1 Exam questions

Students have to answer all six questions. If students cannot answer a question with the information given, then they need to state explicitly any additional assumptions they need to make in order to answer it.

A.3.2 Sample exam material

Seminar questions are representative of exam questions. Some of these questions will be discussed during the seminars and will allow students to learn what is required

for the examination and additional questions are indicated in the module outline. Indicative answers are provided for all questions to allow student to self or peer-assess their answers.

A.3.3 Specific assessment criteria

The below table shows the assessment criteria which are relevant to the assessment of this module, together with a descriptor for certain mark ranges.

A Appendix: Assessment brief

Criteria	First (85- 100%)	First (70- 84%)	2:1 (60- 69%)	2:2 (50- 59%)	3rd (40- 49%)	Marginal Fail (35- 39%)	Fail (0-34%)
<p>Subject knowledge & Understanding (Weight 50%*) The ability to select and integrate a broad range of reliable and relevant sources, appropriate to the level of study. This may involve:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Demonstrating engagement with both taught and independently sourced material drawing on a range of perspectives to develop a broad and well-informed understanding of the topic. • Clearly identifying when external sources are being used (whether quoted, paraphrased, or summarised) so the reader can distinguish between the writer's own ideas and those of others. • Using this knowledge purposefully to support explanation, interpretation, or exploration of ideas. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Demonstrates exceptional familiarity with both taught and independently sourced material, including a wide range of perspectives. Selects an extensive and diverse range of highly reliable and authoritative sources, with outstanding relevance to the topic. • External sources are consistently integrated with exceptional clarity, making it easy to distinguish between the writer's voice and that of others. • Shows a comprehensive understanding through clear, nuanced, and accurate explanation or interpretation of key ideas. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Demonstrates excellent familiarity with taught and independently sourced material, including a wide range of perspectives. Selects a well-judged and highly relevant range of reliable sources aligned to the topic and purpose. • External sources are clearly identified throughout, with only minor lapses in clarity when distinguishing them from the writer's own ideas. • Shows an advanced understanding through clear, accurate and thoughtful explanation or interpretation of key ideas. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Demonstrates very good familiarity with taught and independently sourced material. Selects a very good range of generally reliable sources, though some may be more generic or less precisely aligned. • External sources are generally identified clearly, though occasional ambiguity may make it harder to tell which ideas are original. • Shows a proficient understanding through mostly clear and accurate explanation or interpretation of key ideas. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Demonstrates sound familiarity with taught material, with some use of independently sourced content. Selects a limited or uneven range of sources, with occasional issues of reliability or relevance. • Clarity in identifying external sources is inconsistent; in some places, it is unclear where external ideas have been used or how they relate to the writer's own thinking. • Shows a developing but uneven understanding of key ideas; explanations or interpretations are sometimes clear and accurate but may lack depth or consistency. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Demonstrates basic familiarity with taught content. Selects a small number of sources, some of which may be weak, outdated, or only loosely relevant, though there is some engagement with appropriate material. • Identification of external sources is minimal or unclear; ideas from others are often poorly integrated or indistinguishable from the writer's own thinking. • Shows a limited and often confused understanding; explanations are unclear, inaccurate, or largely disconnected from the topic. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Demonstrates limited familiarity with taught content. Shows minimal evidence of appropriate reading; sources are often irrelevant, inappropriate, or missing. • Identification of external sources is minimal or unclear; ideas from others are either missing or presented without any indication of their origin. • Shows minimal or no understanding; explanations or interpretations are missing or wholly unrelated. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Demonstrates no meaningful familiarity with taught content. No evidence of appropriate reading; sources are absent or entirely unrelated to the topic. • No attempt is made to identify external sources; ideas from others are either missing or presented without any indication of their origin. • Shows minimal or no understanding; explanations or interpretations are missing or wholly unrelated.

Criteria	First (85- 100%)	First (70- 84%)	2:1 (60- 69%)	2:2 (50- 59%)	3rd (40- 49%)	Marginal Fail (35- 39%)	Fail (0-34%)
Criticality (Weight 15%*) The ability to think clearly and logically about information, theories, concepts, principles, data, and practices to develop reasoned responses and deepen understanding. This may include: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Analysing – examining ideas or information to understand how meaning is constructed and how elements relate to one another.• Evaluating – assessing the strength, relevance, or credibility of evidence, arguments, or perspectives.• Contextualising – relating ideas to broader academic, professional, or real-world contexts.• Synthesising – combining different ideas or sources to generate new insights or connections.• Arguing – presenting structured, coherent reasoning supported by appropriate evidence.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Analyses complex ideas with clarity and depth, identifying subtle patterns and assumptions.• Demonstrates exceptional judgement in discussing evidence, clearly identifying its strengths, limitations, and broader implications.• Connects theories and methods to wider academic or real-world contexts with confidence.• Combines ideas from multiple sources with precision.• Combines ideas from multiple sources to form sophisticated and insightful connections.• Develops exceptionally clear and insightful arguments, supported by strong reasoning, well-chosen evidence, and thoughtful engagement with multiple perspectives.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Analyses ideas clearly, identifying key components and relationships.• Demonstrates excellent judgement in discussing evidence, clearly identifying its strengths, limitations, and broader implications.• Relates theories and methods to broader contexts with confidence.• Combines ideas from multiple sources clearly and effectively, with purposeful connections.• Develops clear and convincing arguments, using strong reasoning and relevant evidence, and considered engagement with multiple perspectives.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Engages with ideas clearly and thoughtfully, showing strong understanding of how meaning is constructed and how elements relate to one another.• Engages with evidence thoughtfully, recognising both its strengths and potential weaknesses.• Shows awareness of broader academic or practical contexts.• Develops clear and convincing arguments, using strong reasoning and relevant evidence, and considered engagement with multiple perspectives.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Identifies key ideas and shows some engagement with meaning, though responses may remain surface-level or largely descriptive.• Refers to evidence with some insight, though the discussion of its quality or relevance may be uneven.• Makes a sound attempt to relate ideas to wider contexts.• Combines ideas from several sources with clarity, showing a very good ability to relate concepts.• Develops coherent arguments with very good reasoning and appropriate evidence, and clear engagement with multiple perspectives.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Describes ideas with minimal insight into how they convey meaning or connect with each other.• Rarely questions the quality or significance of the evidence presented.• Shows emerging awareness of context, but connections are vague.• Combines ideas inconsistently or superficially, with weak or unclear links.• Develops arguments with some reasoning and evidence, though the quality of reasoning varies, and engagement is limited to a small number of perspectives.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Misunderstands or misrepresents ideas; no meaningful engagement with content is evident.• Fails to question the quality or significance of the evidence presented.• Provides very little context for theories or methods.• Uses sources in a disjointed way, with minimal connection between ideas.• Arguments are very weak and demonstrate a minimal or unclear position with little to no reasoning or evidence	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Lacks any contextual awareness.• Fails to connect ideas; work is entirely descriptive.• Arguments are missing or incoherent.

A Appendix: Assessment brief

Criteria	First (85- 100%)	First (70- 84%)	2:1 (60- 69%)	2:2 (50- 59%)	3rd (40- 49%)	Marginal Fail (35- 39%)	Fail (0-34%)
Application of Theoretical Knowledge & Understanding (Weight 35%*) The ability to purposefully use theoretical knowledge and conceptual understanding in a variety of contexts, including real-world, abstract, and hypothetical situations. This may include: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Demonstrating awareness of the relationship between theory and practice, including how each informs and shapes the other.• Applying knowledge and understanding to address problems, make decisions, or complete tasks.• Integrating and adapting theoretical models or frameworks to suit specific purposes or contexts.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Demonstrates deep insight into the relationship between theory and practice, showing comprehensive understanding.• Applies knowledge seamlessly to solve complex problems or complete tasks in real-world, abstract, and hypothetical scenarios.• Integrates and adapts theoretical scenarios.• Integrates and adapts theoretical or conceptual models with creativity and sophistication, tailored expertly to context.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Demonstrates an advanced understanding of how theory informs practice and vice versa.• Applies knowledge confidently to solve problems or complete tasks in real-world, abstract, and hypothetical scenarios.• Integrates and adapts theoretical or conceptual models effectively and appropriately to a range of contexts.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Demonstrates a proficient understanding of theory-practice relationships, though not always fully developed.• Applies knowledge in real-world, abstract, and hypothetical scenarios, with minimal error.• Integrates theoretical and adapts theoretical or conceptual models well, with some adaptation to context, though occasionally implicit.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Demonstrates a developing understanding of how theory relates to practice.• Applies knowledge in familiar real-world, abstract, and hypothetical scenarios, though with some inaccuracies.• Makes sound use of theoretical or conceptual models, with limited adaptation to context.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• • Demonstrates an emerging understanding of theory-practice relationships.• Applies knowledge in simple or familiar real-world and hypothetical scenarios, with weak or inconsistent reference to abstract ideas.• Attempts to use theoretical or conceptual models appropriately.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Demonstrates minimal understanding of theory-practice relationships.• Applies knowledge inconsistently, especially in abstract and hypothetical contexts.• Rarely uses or connects theoretical or conceptual models.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Demonstrates no clear understanding of theory-practice relationships.• Fails to apply knowledge meaningfully in real-world, abstract, or hypothetical contexts.• Does not use or misuses theoretical or conceptual models.

* Assessments will be graded holistically, and therefore the assigned weightings should be considered as indicative rather than absolute. Please also note that the weights are relevant for the entire assessment only and each individual question may have weightings that differ substantially from these averages and not all assessment criteria may apply to all questions.

A.3.4 Using your feedback

Students are advised to engage in face-to-face discussions and prepare for lectures and seminars to maximize learning benefits and receive formative feedback throughout the semester, in particularly exposing their ideas to discussion in seminars. Formative feedback aims to help students develop strengths and address weaknesses, reflecting the joint efforts of teachers and students, in preparation for the summative assessment.

A.3.5 Content and process

Attendance of the following module events will help students to prepare for the assessment:

- Lectures (2h per week) are meant to help students understanding the theory and models they are required to use in their assessment,
- Seminars (5h per semester) are meant to help increasing confidence in answering problems and help students understand the concepts behind the theories discussed. Students are required to engage in discussions during seminars.

Students are encouraged to ask questions during lectures and seminars, whether they are for clarification or to enhance their understanding.

A.4 Additional help and support

A.4.1 Unit convenor

If students need additional guidance or clarification, they can meet the lecturer in person during office hours as stated in the module outline.

A.4.2 Resources available

The webpage dedicated to this module contains slides, reading lists, and indicative answers to problems which students can use in conjunction with their own notes to help them complete their assessment.

A.4.3 Skills centre

The University Skills Centre has self-paced online units to improve writing and referencing skills. They also run

- Writing drop-in sessions,
- Academic Writing Circles,
- 1:1 Writing Tutorials,
- Feedback by email,

- Skills Enrichment Workshops,

More information about their services and about booking individual support is available through their webpage.

A.4.4 Students' Union

The SU Advice & Support team can provide independent and confidential Academic Advice. Students can also contact the SU by email for additional support.

A.4.5 Student support and academic advisor

The student's academic advisor is available for support. Students can also contact the Student Support & Advice Service online, by phone or in-person in the Roper Centre (4 West).

Students having a DAP should remember to draw on support from their assigned tutor for help with assessments.

A.4.6 Individual Mitigating Circumstances (IMCs)

If a student experiences a problem which temporarily prevents them from undertaking an assessment or significantly impairs their performance in that assessment, they should apply for an 'IMC'. The University's guidance states:

IMCs may be related to your own circumstances (such as ill health, a serious accommodation crisis or prolonged technical problems) or circumstances involving others that have a significant impact on your ability to undertake assessments (such as the illness of a close family member or friend). They are normally unexpected and unavoidable circumstances.

To request an IMC, in the first instance students should contact the departmental extensions officer, Dr Imran Shah (ihs23@bath.ac.uk).

An IMC claim can be submitted online via the student home department's form. Students should read the full guidance, including deadlines for making a claim.



Copyright © by Andreas Krause

Picture credits:

Cover: Bernard Spragg, NZ from Christchurch, New Zealand, CC0, via Wikimedia Commons,
[https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Bank_of_China_Hong_Kong_\(9532283389\).jpg](https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Bank_of_China_Hong_Kong_(9532283389).jpg)

Back: Florian Lindner, CC BY 2.5 <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.5> via Wikimedia Commons,
https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Hong_Kong_Panorama_at_night.jpg

Andreas Krause
Department of Economics
University of Bath
Claverton Down
Bath BA2 7AY
United Kingdom
E-mail: mnsak@bath.ac.uk