



Chapter 6.3
Break-up fees

Fees for abandoned mergers

Fees for abandoned mergers

- ▶ Investment banks **advise** on mergers and acquisitions

Fees for abandoned mergers

- ▶ Investment banks advise on mergers and acquisitions, including **conditions** for transactions and **whether to go ahead**

Fees for abandoned mergers

- ▶ Investment banks advise on mergers and acquisitions, including conditions for transactions and whether to go ahead
- ▶ Investment banks face **costs** even if transactions are **not going ahead**

Fees for abandoned mergers

- ▶ Investment banks advise on mergers and acquisitions, including conditions for transactions and whether to go ahead
- ▶ Investment banks face costs even if transactions are not going ahead, such as **due diligence** investigations

Fees for abandoned mergers

- ▶ Investment banks advise on mergers and acquisitions, including conditions for transactions and whether to go ahead
- ▶ Investment banks face costs even if transactions are not going ahead, such as due diligence investigations
- ▶ Investment banks are **paid** if a transaction is **completed**

Fees for abandoned mergers

- ▶ Investment banks advise on mergers and acquisitions, including conditions for transactions and whether to go ahead
- ▶ Investment banks face costs even if transactions are not going ahead, such as due diligence investigations
- ▶ Investment banks are paid if a transaction is completed, if it is **abandoned**, how much should they be paid?

Fees for abandoned mergers

- ▶ Investment banks advise on mergers and acquisitions, including conditions for transactions and whether to go ahead
- ▶ Investment banks face costs even if transactions are not going ahead, such as due diligence investigations
- ▶ Investment banks are paid if a transaction is completed, if it is abandoned, how much should they be paid?

Costs and fees

Costs and fees

- ▶ Merger value and probability of a merger being completed are **unknown**

Costs and fees

- ▶ Merger value and probability of a merger being completed are unknown
- ▶ Merger value V has distribution $G(V)$

Costs and fees

- ▶ Merger value and probability of a merger being completed are unknown
- ▶ Merger value V has distribution $G(V)$, probability of merger π has distribution $H(\pi)$

Costs and fees

- ▶ Merger value and probability of a merger being completed are unknown
- ▶ Merger value V has distribution $G(V)$, probability of merger π has distribution $H(\pi)$
- ▶ Investment bank has fixed due diligence costs C_0 for any initial work

Costs and fees

- ▶ Merger value and probability of a merger being completed are unknown
- ▶ Merger value V has distribution $G(V)$, probability of merger π has distribution $H(\pi)$
- ▶ Investment bank has fixed due diligence costs C_0 for any initial work, if the merger **goes ahead**, additional costs incurred are C

Costs and fees

- ▶ Merger value and probability of a merger being completed are unknown
- ▶ Merger value V has distribution $G(V)$, probability of merger π has distribution $H(\pi)$
- ▶ Investment bank has fixed due diligence costs C_0 for any initial work, if the merger goes ahead, additional costs incurred are C
- ▶ Clients pay a fee F_0 if the transaction **does not commence**

Costs and fees

- ▶ Merger value and probability of a merger being completed are unknown
- ▶ Merger value V has distribution $G(V)$, probability of merger π has distribution $H(\pi)$
- ▶ Investment bank has fixed due diligence costs C_0 for any initial work, if the merger goes ahead, additional costs incurred are C
- ▶ Clients pay a fee F_0 if the transaction does not commence, and a total of F_1 if it commences

Costs and fees

- ▶ Merger value and probability of a merger being completed are unknown
- ▶ Merger value V has distribution $G(V)$, probability of merger π has distribution $H(\pi)$
- ▶ Investment bank has fixed due diligence costs C_0 for any initial work, if the merger goes ahead, additional costs incurred are C
- ▶ Clients pay a fee F_0 if the transaction does not commence, and a total of F_1 if it commences
- ▶ F_0 is the **break-up fee**

Costs and fees

- ▶ Merger value and probability of a merger being completed are unknown
- ▶ Merger value V has distribution $G(V)$, probability of merger π has distribution $H(\pi)$
- ▶ Investment bank has fixed due diligence costs C_0 for any initial work, if the merger goes ahead, additional costs incurred are C
- ▶ Clients pay a fee F_0 if the transaction does not commence, and a total of F_1 if it commences
- ▶ F_0 is the break-up fee

Advice to commence merger after due diligence

Advice to commence merger after due diligence

- ▶ Investment bank learns π and V after the contract is signed

Advice to commence merger after due diligence

- ▶ Investment bank learns π and V after the contract is signed and **due diligence costs** C_0 are already incurred

Advice to commence merger after due diligence

- ▶ Investment bank learns π and V after the contract is signed and due diligence costs C_0 are already incurred
- ▶ Profits of investment bank are the fee if the transaction commences
- ▶ $\hat{\Pi}_B = \pi F_1$

Advice to commence merger after due diligence

- ▶ Investment bank learns π and V after the contract is signed and due diligence costs C_0 are already incurred
- ▶ Profits of investment bank are the **fee** if the transaction **commences**, alternatively the **fee** if it is **abandoned**
- ▶ $\hat{\Pi}_B = \pi F_1 + (1 - \pi) F_0$

Advice to commence merger after due diligence

- ▶ Investment bank learns π and V after the contract is signed and due diligence costs C_0 are already incurred
- ▶ Profits of investment bank are the fee if the transaction commences, alternatively the fee if it is abandoned, less the additional costs
- ▶ $\hat{\Pi}_B = \pi F_1 + (1 - \pi) F_0 - C$

Advice to commence merger after due diligence

- ▶ Investment bank learns π and V after the contract is signed and due diligence costs C_0 are already incurred
- ▶ Profits of investment bank are the fee if the transaction commences, alternatively the fee if it is abandoned, less the additional costs
- ▶ $\hat{\Pi}_B = \pi F_1 + (1 - \pi) F_0 - C$
- ▶ Investment bank advises to continue if $\hat{\Pi}_B \geq 0$

Advice to commence merger after due diligence

- ▶ Investment bank learns π and V after the contract is signed and due diligence costs C_0 are already incurred
- ▶ Profits of investment bank are the fee if the transaction commences, alternatively the fee if it is abandoned, less the additional costs
- ▶ $\hat{\Pi}_B = \pi F_1 + (1 - \pi) F_0 - C$
- ▶ Investment bank advises to continue if $\hat{\Pi}_B \geq 0$, or $\pi \geq \pi^* = \frac{C - F_0}{F_1 - F_0}$

Advice to commence merger after due diligence

- ▶ Investment bank learns π and V after the contract is signed and due diligence costs C_0 are already incurred
- ▶ Profits of investment bank are the fee if the transaction commences, alternatively the fee if it is abandoned, less the additional costs
- ▶ $\hat{\Pi}_B = \pi F_1 + (1 - \pi) F_0 - C$
- ▶ Investment bank advises to continue if $\hat{\Pi}_B \geq 0$, or $\pi \geq \pi^* = \frac{C - F_0}{F_1 - F_0}$

Investment bank profits

Investment bank profits

► Ex-ante π and V are **not known**

► $\Pi_B = \int \hat{\Pi}_B dH(\pi) dG(V)$

Investment bank profits

- ▶ Ex-ante π and V are not known, and profits are only made if the merger commences

- ▶ $\Pi_B = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\pi^*}^1 \hat{\Pi}_B dH(\pi) dG(V)$

Investment bank profits

- ▶ Ex-ante π and V are **not known**, and **profits** are only made if the merger **commences**
- ▶ Investment banks also have to cover their initial **due diligence costs**
- ▶ $\Pi_B = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\pi^*}^1 \hat{\Pi}_B dH(\pi) dG(V) - C_0$

Investment bank profits

- ▶ Ex-ante π and V are not known, and profits are only made if the merger commences
- ▶ Investment banks also have to cover their initial due diligence costs
- ▶ $\Pi_B = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\pi^*}^1 \hat{\Pi}_B dH(\pi) dG(V) - C_0$
- ▶ Perfect competition implies $\Pi_B = 0$

Investment bank profits

- ▶ Ex-ante π and V are not known, and profits are only made if the merger commences
- ▶ Investment banks also have to cover their initial due diligence costs
- ▶ $\Pi_B = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\pi^*}^1 \hat{\Pi}_B dH(\pi) dG(V) - C_0$
- ▶ Perfect competition implies $\Pi_B = 0$

Client profits

Client profits

- ▶ If the merger commences the client gets the merger value
- ▶ $\hat{\Pi}_C = \pi(V)$

Client profits

- ▶ If the merger commences the client gets the merger value, less the fee paid
- ▶ $\hat{\Pi}_C = \pi(V - F_1)$

Client profits

- ▶ If the merger commences the client gets the merger value, less the fee paid, and if the merger does not commence pays the break-up fee
- ▶ $\hat{\Pi}_C = \pi(V - F_1) - (1 - \pi)F_0$

Client profits

- ▶ If the merger commences the client gets the merger value, less the fee paid, and if the merger does not commence pays the break-up fee
- ▶ $\hat{\Pi}_C = \pi (V - F_1) - (1 - \pi) F_0$
- ▶ With V and π **not known**, and the merger only **commencing** if advised by the investment bank
- ▶ $\Pi_C = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\pi^*}^1 \hat{\Pi}_C dH(\pi) dG(V)$

Client profits

- ▶ If the merger commences the client gets the merger value, less the fee paid, and if the merger does not commence pays the break-up fee
- ▶ $\hat{\Pi}_C = \pi (V - F_1) - (1 - \pi) F_0$
- ▶ With V and π not known, and the merger only commencing if advised by the investment bank
- ▶ $\Pi_C = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\pi^*}^1 \hat{\Pi}_C dH(\pi) dG(V)$
- ▶ Clients want to **commence** a merger if $\hat{\Pi}_C \geq 0$

Client profits

- ▶ If the merger commences the client gets the merger value, less the fee paid, and if the merger does not commence pays the break-up fee
- ▶ $\hat{\Pi}_C = \pi (V - F_1) - (1 - \pi) F_0$
- ▶ With V and π not known, and the merger only commencing if advised by the investment bank
- ▶ $\Pi_C = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\pi^*}^1 \hat{\Pi}_C dH(\pi) dG(V)$
- ▶ Clients want to commence a merger if $\hat{\Pi}_C \geq 0$, which gives $\pi \geq \pi^{**} = \frac{F_0}{V - F_1 + F_0}$

Client profits

- ▶ If the merger commences the client gets the merger value, less the fee paid, and if the merger does not commence pays the break-up fee
- ▶ $\hat{\Pi}_C = \pi (V - F_1) - (1 - \pi) F_0$
- ▶ With V and π not known, and the merger only commencing if advised by the investment bank
- ▶ $\Pi_C = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\pi^*}^1 \hat{\Pi}_C dH(\pi) dG(V)$
- ▶ Clients want to commence a merger if $\hat{\Pi}_C \geq 0$, which gives $\pi \geq \pi^{**} = \frac{F_0}{V - F_1 + F_0}$

Maximizing profits

Maximizing profits

- ▶ We maximize **client profits**

$$\mathcal{L} = \Pi_C$$

Maximizing profits

- ▶ We maximize **client profits**, subject to **investment banks breaking even**:

$$\mathcal{L} = \Pi_C + \zeta \Pi_B$$

Maximizing profits

- ▶ We maximize client profits, subject to investment banks breaking even:
 $\mathcal{L} = \Pi_C + \zeta \Pi_B$
- ▶ We here chose $\zeta = 1$ and maximize joint profits

Maximizing profits

- ▶ We maximize client profits, subject to investment banks breaking even:
 $\mathcal{L} = \Pi_C + \zeta \Pi_B$
- ▶ We here chose $\zeta = 1$ and maximize joint profits, but other solutions are possible

Maximizing profits

- ▶ We maximize client profits, subject to investment banks breaking even:
 $\mathcal{L} = \Pi_C + \zeta \Pi_B$
- ▶ We here chose $\zeta = 1$ and maximize joint profits, but other solutions are possible
- ▶ First order conditions $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial F_i} = 0$

Maximizing profits

- ▶ We maximize client profits, subject to investment banks breaking even:
 $\mathcal{L} = \Pi_C + \zeta \Pi_B$
- ▶ We here chose $\zeta = 1$ and maximize joint profits, but other solutions are possible
- ▶ First order conditions $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial F_i} = 0$ give $F_1 = F_0 + \frac{C - F_0}{C} V$

Maximizing profits

- ▶ We maximize client profits, subject to investment banks breaking even:
 $\mathcal{L} = \Pi_C + \zeta \Pi_B$
- ▶ We here chose $\zeta = 1$ and maximize joint profits, but other solutions are possible
- ▶ First order conditions $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial F_i} = 0$ give $F_1 = F_0 + \frac{C-F_0}{C} V$
- ▶ The full fee F_1 is a **contingent fee**, as it is only paid if the merger **commences** and the amount paid is dependent on the **value** of the transaction V

Maximizing profits

- ▶ We maximize client profits, subject to investment banks breaking even:
 $\mathcal{L} = \Pi_C + \zeta \Pi_B$
- ▶ We here chose $\zeta = 1$ and maximize joint profits, but other solutions are possible
- ▶ First order conditions $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial F_i} = 0$ give $F_1 = F_0 + \frac{C - F_0}{C} V$
- ▶ The full fee F_1 is a contingent fee, as it is only paid if the merger commences and the amount paid is dependent on the value of the transaction V

No conflicts of interest

No conflicts of interest

- ▶ Investment bank advises to **commence** if $\pi > \pi^* = \frac{C - F_0}{F_1 - F_0}$

No conflicts of interest

- ▶ Investment bank advises to commence if $\pi > \pi^* = \frac{C - F_0}{F_1 - F_0}$
- ▶ Clients want to **commence** if $\pi \geq \pi^{**} = \frac{F_0}{V - F_1 + F_0}$

No conflicts of interest

- ▶ Investment bank advises to commence if $\pi > \pi^* = \frac{C-F_0}{F_1-F_0}$
- ▶ Clients want to commence if $\pi \geq \pi^{**} = \frac{F_0}{V-F_1+F_0}$
- ▶ This gives $\pi^* = \pi^{**}$ if we insert for F_1

No conflicts of interest

- ▶ Investment bank advises to commence if $\pi > \pi^* = \frac{C-F_0}{F_1-F_0}$
- ▶ Clients want to commence if $\pi \geq \pi^{**} = \frac{F_0}{V-F_1+F_0}$
- ▶ This gives $\pi^* = \pi^{**}$ if we insert for F_1 and **no conflicts of interests** exist

No conflicts of interest

- ▶ Investment bank advises to commence if $\pi > \pi^* = \frac{C-F_0}{F_1-F_0}$
- ▶ Clients want to commence if $\pi \geq \pi^{**} = \frac{F_0}{V-F_1+F_0}$
- ▶ This gives $\pi^* = \pi^{**}$ if we insert for F_1 and no conflicts of interests exist

Optimal break-up fee

Optimal break-up fee

► Using $\Pi_B = 0$, the optimal break-up fee is $F_0 = C + \frac{C_0}{\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\pi^*}^1 \left(1 - \frac{\pi}{\pi^*}\right) dG(\pi) dH(V)}$

Optimal break-up fee

- ▶ Using $\Pi_B = 0$, the optimal break-up fee is $F_0 = C + \frac{C_0}{\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\pi^*}^1 \left(1 - \frac{\pi}{\pi^*}\right) dG(\pi) dH(V)}$
- ▶ As $\pi > \pi^*$, the second term is **negative**

Optimal break-up fee

- ▶ Using $\Pi_B = 0$, the optimal break-up fee is $F_0 = C + \frac{C_0}{\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\pi^*}^1 \left(1 - \frac{\pi}{\pi^*}\right) dG(\pi) dH(V)}$
- ▶ As $\pi > \pi^*$, the second term is negative and hence $F_0 < C$

Optimal break-up fee

- ▶ Using $\Pi_B = 0$, the optimal break-up fee is $F_0 = C + \frac{C_0}{\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\pi^*}^1 \left(1 - \frac{\pi}{\pi^*}\right) dG(\pi) dH(V)}$
- ▶ As $\pi > \pi^*$, the second term is negative and hence $F_0 < C$
- ▶ The break-up fee is a **conditional fee** as it is **fixed**, but only payable if the merger does **not commence**

Optimal break-up fee

- ▶ Using $\Pi_B = 0$, the optimal break-up fee is $F_0 = C + \frac{C_0}{\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\pi^*}^1 \left(1 - \frac{\pi}{\pi^*}\right) dG(\pi) dH(V)}$
- ▶ As $\pi > \pi^*$, the second term is negative and hence $F_0 < C$
- ▶ The break-up fee is a conditional fee as it is fixed, but only payable if the merger does not commence
- ▶ This implies $F_1 > F_0$ and the full fee is higher than the break-up fee

Optimal break-up fee

- ▶ Using $\Pi_B = 0$, the optimal break-up fee is $F_0 = C + \frac{C_0}{\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\pi^*}^1 (1 - \frac{\pi}{\pi^*}) dG(\pi) dH(V)}$
- ▶ As $\pi > \pi^*$, the second term is negative and hence $F_0 < C$
- ▶ The break-up fee is a conditional fee as it is fixed, but only payable if the merger does not commence
- ▶ This implies $F_1 > F_0$ and the full fee is higher than the break-up fee
- ▶ If $C < C_0 \left(1 - \frac{1}{\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\pi^*}^1 (1 - \frac{\pi}{\pi^*}) dG(\pi) dH(V)}\right)$, then $F_0 < C_0$

Optimal break-up fee

- ▶ Using $\Pi_B = 0$, the optimal break-up fee is $F_0 = C + \frac{C_0}{\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\pi^*}^1 (1 - \frac{\pi}{\pi^*}) dG(\pi) dH(V)}$
- ▶ As $\pi > \pi^*$, the second term is negative and hence $F_0 < C$
- ▶ The break-up fee is a conditional fee as it is fixed, but only payable if the merger does not commence
- ▶ This implies $F_1 > F_0$ and the full fee is higher than the break-up fee
- ▶ If $C < C_0 \left(1 - \frac{1}{\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\pi^*}^1 (1 - \frac{\pi}{\pi^*}) dG(\pi) dH(V)}\right)$, then $F_0 < C_0$
- ▶ If the **full costs** are **not too high**, investment banks will **not recover** their initial fixed costs from break-up fees

Optimal break-up fee

- ▶ Using $\Pi_B = 0$, the optimal break-up fee is $F_0 = C + \frac{C_0}{\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\pi^*}^1 (1 - \frac{\pi}{\pi^*}) dG(\pi) dH(V)}$
- ▶ As $\pi > \pi^*$, the second term is negative and hence $F_0 < C$
- ▶ The break-up fee is a conditional fee as it is fixed, but only payable if the merger does not commence
- ▶ This implies $F_1 > F_0$ and the full fee is higher than the break-up fee
- ▶ If $C < C_0 \left(1 - \frac{1}{\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\pi^*}^1 (1 - \frac{\pi}{\pi^*}) dG(\pi) dH(V)}\right)$, then $F_0 < C_0$
- ▶ If the full costs are not too high, investment banks will not recover their initial fixed costs from break-up fees
- ▶ If the **full costs** are **sufficiently high**, banks will **recover** their initial fixed costs

Optimal break-up fee

- ▶ Using $\Pi_B = 0$, the optimal break-up fee is $F_0 = C + \frac{C_0}{\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\pi^*}^1 (1 - \frac{\pi}{\pi^*}) dG(\pi) dH(V)}$
- ▶ As $\pi > \pi^*$, the second term is negative and hence $F_0 < C$
- ▶ The break-up fee is a conditional fee as it is fixed, but only payable if the merger does not commence
- ▶ This implies $F_1 > F_0$ and the full fee is higher than the break-up fee
- ▶ If $C < C_0 \left(1 - \frac{1}{\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\pi^*}^1 (1 - \frac{\pi}{\pi^*}) dG(\pi) dH(V)}\right)$, then $F_0 < C_0$
- ▶ If the full costs are not too high, investment banks will not recover their initial fixed costs from break-up fees
- ▶ If the full costs are sufficiently high, banks will recover their initial fixed costs

Reasons for break-up fees

Reasons for break-up fees

- ▶ If the additional costs are **high**, there is strong incentive for investment banks to advise **abandoning** the merger as recovering their costs will be difficult

Reasons for break-up fees

- ▶ If the additional costs are high, there is strong incentive for investment banks to advise abandoning the merger as recovering their costs will be difficult
- ▶ Having a **high break-up fee** allows them to **recover** some of these costs

Reasons for break-up fees

- ▶ If the additional costs are high, there is strong incentive for investment banks to advise abandoning the merger as recovering their costs will be difficult
- ▶ Having a high break-up fee allows them to recover some of these costs, making the incentives **aligned**

Reasons for break-up fees

- ▶ If the additional costs are high, there is strong incentive for investment banks to advise abandoning the merger as recovering their costs will be difficult
- ▶ Having a high break-up fee allows them to recover some of these costs, making the incentives aligned
- ▶ With **low full costs**, the investment bank might want to **proceed** with the merger **too often** and the low breakup fee balances the incentives

Reasons for break-up fees

- ▶ If the additional costs are high, there is strong incentive for investment banks to advise abandoning the merger as recovering their costs will be difficult
- ▶ Having a high break-up fee allows them to recover some of these costs, making the incentives aligned
- ▶ With low full costs, the investment bank might want to proceed with the merger too often and the low breakup fee balances the incentives

Incentive-compatibility of break-up fees

Incentive-compatibility of break-up fees

- ▶ If investment banks do not know the transaction characteristics, **break-up fees** are **optimal**

Incentive-compatibility of break-up fees

- ▶ If investment banks do not know the transaction characteristics, break-up fees are optimal
- ▶ They align the incentives of investment banks and their clients

Incentive-compatibility of break-up fees

- ▶ If investment banks do not know the transaction characteristics, break-up fees are optimal
- ▶ They align the incentives of investment banks and their clients
- ▶ Investment banks do **not too often** advise to go ahead with or abandon a the merger

Incentive-compatibility of break-up fees

- ▶ If investment banks do not know the transaction characteristics, break-up fees are optimal
- ▶ They align the incentives of investment banks and their clients
- ▶ Investment banks do not too often advise to go ahead with or abandon a the merger as they receive a **fee** even if advising to **not commence** the transaction, balancing these aspects

Incentive-compatibility of break-up fees

- ▶ If investment banks do not know the transaction characteristics, break-up fees are optimal
- ▶ They align the incentives of investment banks and their clients
- ▶ Investment banks do not too often advise to go ahead with or abandon a the merger as they receive a fee even if advising to not commence the transaction, balancing these aspects

Implications of break-up fees

Implications of break-up fees

- ▶ Break-up fees are mechanism to align the incentives of investment banks and their clients

Implications of break-up fees

- ▶ Break-up fees are mechanism to align the incentives of investment banks and their clients
- ▶ Their use is **not** necessarily an arrangement that **increases the profits** of investment banks

Implications of break-up fees

- ▶ Break-up fees are mechanism to align the incentives of investment banks and their clients
- ▶ Their use is not necessarily an arrangement that increases the profits of investment banks
- ▶ They **increase the costs** of clients abandoning a merger

Implications of break-up fees

- ▶ Break-up fees are mechanism to align the incentives of investment banks and their clients
- ▶ Their use is not necessarily an arrangement that increases the profits of investment banks
- ▶ They increase the costs of clients abandoning a merger, making **internal assessments** by companies more important

Implications of break-up fees

- ▶ Break-up fees are mechanism to align the incentives of investment banks and their clients
- ▶ Their use is not necessarily an arrangement that increases the profits of investment banks
- ▶ They increase the costs of clients abandoning a merger, making internal assessments by companies more important



This presentation is based on
Andreas Krause: Theoretical Foundations of Investment Banking, Springer Verlag 2024
Copyright © 2024 by Andreas Krause

Picture credits:

Cover: The wub, CC BY-SA 4.0 <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0>, via Wikimedia Commons, https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Canary_Wharf_from_Greenwich_riverside.2022-03-18.jpg

Back: Seb Tyler, CC BY 3.0 <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0>, via Wikimedia Commons, https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Canary_Wharf_Panorama_Night.jpg

Andreas Krause
Department of Economics
University of Bath
Claverton Down
Bath BA2 7AY
United Kingdom

E-mail: mnsak@bath.ac.uk