Université de Lille



How so diverse?

Self-incompatibility in flowering plants: a case-study for modelling the role of chance in evolution, from the beginnings of population genetics to today

Sylvain Billiard Probabilty meets Biology Workshop — Bath — 2023 Université de Lille - France - Lab. Evo-Eco-Paléo - UMR CNRS 8198

Wild Cherry (*Prunus avium*)







Self-incompatibility: who can mate with whom?





- Brassicaceae (cabbage, Arabidopsis, rapeseed, ...)
- Asteraceae (salad, chicory, chrysanthemum, ...)
- Oleaceae (olive tree, ash tree, lilac, ...)
- Rosaceae (cherries, apples, ...)
- Solanaceae (tobacco, tomato, potato, ...)

Suwabe et al. 2020

A huge surprising diversity



Oenothera organensis 45 S-alleles ~ 5000 individuals (Emerson 1938, 1939)



Wild tomato (Solanum chilense) 34 S-alleles ~ 100 sampled individuals (Igic et al. 2007)



Wild cherry (*Prunus avium*) 22 S-alleles ~ 500 individuals (*Stoeckel et al. 2011*)



Arabidopsis halleri 66 S-alleles ~ 900 sampled individuals (V. Castric, Pers. Comm.)

Self-incompatibility and stochastic models: a long history



Oenothera organensis 45 S-alleles ~ 5000 individuals (Emerson 1938, 1939)

The birth of Population genetics stochastic models

- Fisher (1930), Wright(1937, 1938, 1945), Kolmogorov: Fokker-Planck equation
- Malécot (1945), Moran (1962), Ewens, Kimura, etc.: Markov Process

An early application to Self-Incompatibility systems

Wright(1939)



7

Self-incompatibility: an archetype for stochastic models in population genetics (long story short)

Haters gonna hate

• Fisher (1958), Wright (1960)

A surge from the shoulders of Giants

- Wright(1964, 1966)
- Ewens (1964, 1966), Kimura and Crown (1964), Mayo (1966), Moran (1962), Yokoyama and Nei (1979)

But incomplete

- Moran (1962): lack of rigour as "the probabilistic model has not been specified" and other criticisms
- Wright (1964): not important as " My paper was directed at giving an admittedly approximate solution of a biological problem"

From micro to macro: from scratch (Czuppon and Billiard 2022)

A Moran's model

Rates and approximations



Approximations of the stationary distributions: Ornstein-Uhlenbeck for M different S-alleles.

(Diffusion approximation on frequencies, N large, Central Limit Theorem)

$$\frac{da_{ij}(t) = \mu_{ij}(t)dt + \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{ij}^2(t)}{N}} dW_t^{ij}, \qquad \psi_{ij}^* \sim \begin{cases} \mathcal{N}\left(\frac{2}{M(M-1)}, \frac{4(M-2)(M+1)}{3MM^2(M-1)^2}\right), & M = 3, \\ \mathcal{N}\left(\frac{2}{M(M-1)}, \frac{2(M-2)(M+1)}{NM^2(M-1)^2}\right), & M \ge 4, \end{cases}$$



Stationary distributions



11

Rates and approximations



Approximations of the expected number of S-alleles.

Allelic frequencies

$$dp_i(t) = \mu_i(t)dt + \sqrt{\frac{\rho_i^2(t)}{N}}dW_t^i, \longrightarrow \qquad \psi \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\frac{1}{M}, \frac{(M-2)^3}{NM^2(2M-3)}\right),$$

$$u = \underbrace{\frac{M}{N}}_{T^- \times M} \times \underbrace{\int_{-\infty}^{1/2N} \psi(x) dx}_{S-\text{allele at freq.} 1/2N},$$



- 1. Towards the stationary distribution of the number of S-alleles ${\it M}$
- 2. Individual plants distributed in a continuous space and dispersal
- 3. Joint inference of the number of S-alleles \widehat{M} and population size \widehat{N}
- 4. S-alelle emergence and the origin of the mutation rate u

1. Stationary distribution of the number of *S*-alleles *M* **A** Moran's model with mutation







2. Continuous spatial distribution



3. Estimator of *M* and *N* from a sample



S-alleles

4. Origin of the mutation rate *u* key-lock mechanism / two genes - one locus



key-lock mechanism / two genes - one locus

Where's the problem?





Diversification of S-alleles: Individual-Based stochastic simulations



In a deme-subdivided population: A puzzle



Self-incompatibility as an archetype for stochastic models in population genetics: An update

PDEs, stochastic computer simulations, ...

- Fisher (1958), Wright (1960)
- Wright(1964, 1966)
- Ewens (1964, 1966), Kimura and Crown (1964), Mayo (1966), Moran (1962), Yokoyama and Nei (1979)

But...

- Moran (1962): lack of rigour
- Wright (1964): mathematic justification are not important as far as "it works"

A very recent micro \rightarrow macro derivation

- Czuppon and Billiard (2022): old models and new results
- Still much to do! Keep on running Self-Incompatibility for population genetics!