Asymptotic growth of a branching random walk in a random environment on the hypercube

Marion Hesse (WIAS Berlin) with Luca Avena (Leiden) and Onur Gün (WIAS)

Bath-Paris Branching Structures III, June 9, 2014

Model and some motivation

n-dimensional hypercube H_n = {0,1}ⁿ with volume N = 2ⁿ
 → a model for nucleotide sequences of the genome

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Model and some motivation

- *n*-dimensional hypercube H_n = {0,1}ⁿ with volume N = 2ⁿ → a model for nucleotide sequences of the genome
- Random environment consists of

i.i.d. $\xi(x) \sim exp(1)$, for $x \in H_n$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

ightarrow represents the 'fitness landscape'

Model and some motivation

- *n*-dimensional hypercube H_n = {0,1}ⁿ with volume N = 2ⁿ
 → a model for nucleotide sequences of the genome
- Random environment consists of

i.i.d. $\xi(x) \sim exp(1)$, for $x \in H_n$

- \rightarrow represents the 'fitness landscape'
- Branching random walk on H_n evolves as follows:
 - each particle jumps at rate κ to a uniformly chosen neighbour

- a particle at x splits into two particles at rate $\xi(x)$
- \rightarrow models the evolution of the population

The random environment and the objective

We are interested in, given the environment ξ ,

 $E_{x_{k,N}}[\# \text{ particles alive at time } t] \sim ?$ as $t, n \to \infty$

where $x_{k,N}$ is site with the *k*th highest branching rate, defined via

$$\xi_{1,N} := \xi(x_{1,N}) > \dots > \xi_{N,N} := \xi(x_{N,N})$$

The random environment and the objective

We are interested in, given the environment ξ ,

 $E_{x_{k,N}}[\# \text{ particles alive at time } t] \sim ?$ as $t, n \to \infty$

where $x_{k,N}$ is site with the *k*th highest branching rate, defined via

$$\xi_{1,N} := \xi(x_{1,N}) > \dots > \xi_{N,N} := \xi(x_{N,N})$$

Note, as $n \to \infty$,

- $\xi_{1,N} \sim \log(N) = n \log 2$
- for any fixed k, $\xi_{1,N} \xi_{k,N} = const.$

The result on the complete graph

Branching random walk on the complete graph with N vertices

- each particle jumps at rate κ to a uniformly chosen vertex

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

• a particle at x splits into two particles at rate $\xi(x)$

The result on the complete graph

Branching random walk on the complete graph with N vertices

- each particle jumps at rate κ to a uniformly chosen vertex
- a particle at x splits into two particles at rate $\xi(x)$

Theorem (Fleischmann, Molchanov, '90) Write $N_t = \#$ particles alive at time t. (i) if k = 1 or, if $k \ge 2$ and $t \ll \log N$, $E_{x_k \ N}[N_t] \sim e^{\lambda_{k,N} t}$.

(ii) if $k \ge 2$ and $t \gg \log N$,

$$E_{x_{k,N}}[N_t] \sim \kappa [N(\xi_{1,N} - \xi_{k,N})]^{-1} e^{\lambda_{1,N}t},$$

where $\lambda_{k,N}$ is the kth eigenvalue of $\kappa \Delta_N + \xi_N I_N$.

The result on the complete graph

Branching random walk on the complete graph with N vertices

- each particle jumps at rate κ to a uniformly chosen vertex
- a particle at x splits into two particles at rate $\xi(x)$

Theorem (Fleischmann, Molchanov, '90) Write $N_t = \#$ particles alive at time t. (i) if k = 1 or, if $k \ge 2$ and $t \ll \log N$,

 $E_{x_{k,N}}[N_t] \sim e^{\lambda_{k,N}t},$

(ii) if $k \ge 2$ and $t \gg \log N$,

$$E_{x_{k,N}}[N_t] \sim \kappa [N(\xi_{1,N}-\xi_{k,N})]^{-1} e^{\lambda_{1,N}t},$$

where $\lambda_{k,N}$ is the kth eigenvalue of $\kappa \Delta_N + \xi_N I_N$.

λ_{k,N} = ξ_{k,N} − κ + κ/N + o(1/N).
 E_{xk,N}[N_t] = E_{xk,N}[exp{∫₀^t ξ(X_s)ds}], where X = (X_s)_{s≥0} is random walk on the complete graph.

(ii) If $t \gg n \log n$,

$$\log E_{x_{k,N}}[N_t] \sim \log E_{x_{1,N}}[N_t]$$

and $E_{x_{1,N}}[N_t] \sim e^{(\xi_{1,N} - \kappa + \frac{\kappa^2}{n^2 \log 2} + O(n^{-5}))t}$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

(ii) If $t \gg n \log n$,

$$\log E_{x_{k,N}}[N_t] \sim \log E_{x_{1,N}}[N_t]$$

and $E_{x_{1,N}}[N_t] \sim e^{(\xi_{1,N}-\kappa+\frac{\kappa^2}{n^2\log 2}+O(n^{-5}))t}.$

►
$$t \ll n \log n$$
: X stays at $x_{k,N}$ since
 $E_{x_{k,N}}[N_t] = \mathbb{E}_{x_{k,N}}[\exp\{\int_0^t \xi(X_s)ds\}; X_s = x_{k,N} \ s \le t] = e^{\xi_{k,N}t}e^{-\kappa t}$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

(ii) If $t \gg n \log n$,

$$\log E_{x_{k,N}}[N_t] \sim \log E_{x_{1,N}}[N_t]$$

and $E_{x_{1,N}}[N_t] \sim e^{(\xi_{1,N}-\kappa+\frac{\kappa^2}{n^2\log 2}+O(n^{-5}))t}.$

►
$$t \ll n \log n$$
: X stays at $x_{k,N}$ since
 $E_{x_{k,N}}[N_t] = \mathbb{E}_{x_{k,N}}[\exp\{\int_0^t \xi(X_s)ds\}; X_s = x_{k,N} \ s \le t] = e^{\xi_{k,N}t}e^{-\kappa t}$

• $n \log n \ll t \ll n^2$: X goes to $x_{1,N}$ and stays there

(ii) If $t \gg n \log n$,

$$\log E_{x_{k,N}}[N_t] \sim \log E_{x_{1,N}}[N_t]$$

and $E_{x_{1,N}}[N_t] \sim e^{(\xi_{1,N}-\kappa+\frac{\kappa^2}{n^2\log 2}+O(n^{-5}))t}$

•

►
$$t \ll n \log n$$
: X stays at $x_{k,N}$ since

$$E_{x_{k,N}}[N_t] = \mathbb{E}_{x_{k,N}}[\exp\{\int_0^t \xi(X_s) ds\}; X_s = x_{k,N} \ s \le t] = e^{\xi_{k,N} t} e^{-\kappa t}$$

• $n \log n \ll t \ll n^2$: X goes to $x_{1,N}$ and stays there

• $n^2 \ll t \ll n^5$: X goes to $x_{1,N}$ and then stays within dist. 1.

Bounds required for the phase transition

For $k \geq 2$, study

$$\begin{aligned} (\star) &= \lim_{t,n\to\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}_{x_{k,N}}[e^{\int_0^t \xi(X_s)ds}; X_s = x_{1,N}, \text{ for some } s \leq t]}{\mathbb{E}_{x_{k,N}}[e^{\int_0^t \xi(X_s)ds}; X_s = x_{k,N}, \text{ for all } s \leq t]} \\ &= \lim_{t,n\to\infty} e^{-(\xi_{K,N}-\kappa)t} \mathbb{E}_{x_{k,N}}[e^{\int_0^t \xi(X_s)ds}; X_s = x_{1,N}, \text{ for some } s \leq t] \end{aligned}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

and show that

- if $t \ll n \log n$, then $(\star) \leq 0$
- if $t \gg n \log n$, then $(\star) \ge \infty$

Note: $d(x_{k,N}, x_{1,N}) \sim n/2$, as $n \to \infty$ (*d* is Hamming distance)

Note: $d(x_{k,N}, x_{1,N}) \sim n/2$, as $n \to \infty$ (*d* is Hamming distance)

Let γ be path that goes from $x_{k,N}$ to $x_{1,N}$ in exactly n/2 steps in time s and stays at $x_{1,N}$ up to time t.

• $P_{x_{k,N}}$ (go to $x_{1,N}$ in exactly n/2 steps) = $\frac{(\frac{n}{2})!}{n^{n/2}}$

• $P(\text{make } n/2 \text{ jumps by time } s) = \frac{(\kappa s)^{n/2}}{(\frac{n}{2})!}e^{-\kappa s}$

Note: $d(x_{k,N}, x_{1,N}) \sim n/2$, as $n \to \infty$ (*d* is Hamming distance)

Let γ be path that goes from $x_{k,N}$ to $x_{1,N}$ in exactly n/2 steps in time s and stays at $x_{1,N}$ up to time t.

 P_{xk,N}(go to x_{1,N} in exactly n/2 steps) = (^{n/2}/_{n^{n/2}}) P(make n/2 jumps by time s) = ((κs)^{n/2}/(^{n/2}/₂)!) e^{-κs} Thus

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathsf{x}_{k,N}}[e^{\int_0^t \xi(\mathsf{X}_s)ds}, \mathsf{X}=\gamma] \geq \frac{\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)!}{n^{n/2}} \frac{(\kappa s)^{n/2}}{\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)!} e^{-\kappa s} e^{(\xi_{1,N}-\kappa)(t-s)}$$

Note: $d(x_{k,N}, x_{1,N}) \sim n/2$, as $n \to \infty$ (*d* is Hamming distance)

Let γ be path that goes from $x_{k,N}$ to $x_{1,N}$ in exactly n/2 steps in time s and stays at $x_{1,N}$ up to time t.

 P_{xk,N}(go to x_{1,N} in exactly n/2 steps) = (^{n/2}/_{n^{n/2}}) P(make n/2 jumps by time s) = ((κs)^{n/2}/(^{n/2}/₂)!) e^{-κs} Thus

$$\mathbb{E}_{x_{k,N}}[e^{\int_{0}^{t}\xi(X_{s})ds}, X = \gamma] \geq \frac{(\frac{n}{2})!}{n^{n/2}} \frac{(\kappa s)^{n/2}}{(\frac{n}{2})!} e^{-\kappa s} e^{(\xi_{1,N}-\kappa)(t-s)} \\ = e^{-n/2\log(n/(2\kappa s))} e^{-\xi_{1,N}s} e^{(\xi_{1,N}-\kappa)t}$$

Note: $d(x_{k,N}, x_{1,N}) \sim n/2$, as $n \to \infty$ (*d* is Hamming distance)

Let γ be path that goes from $x_{k,N}$ to $x_{1,N}$ in exactly n/2 steps in time s and stays at $x_{1,N}$ up to time t.

 P_{xk,N}(go to x_{1,N} in exactly n/2 steps) = (^{n/2}/_{n^{n/2}}) P(make n/2 jumps by time s) = ((κs)^{n/2}/(ⁿ/₂)!) e^{-κs} Thus

$$\mathbb{E}_{x_{k,N}}[e^{\int_0^t \xi(X_s)ds}, X = \gamma] \geq \frac{(\frac{n}{2})!}{n^{n/2}} \frac{(\kappa s)^{n/2}}{(\frac{n}{2})!} e^{-\kappa s} e^{(\xi_{1,N}-\kappa)(t-s)} \\ = e^{-n/2\log(n/(2\kappa s))} e^{-\xi_{1,N}s} e^{(\xi_{1,N}-\kappa)t}$$

and 'cost' is minimised for $s = 1/(2 \log 2)$.

Note: $d(x_{k,N}, x_{1,N}) \sim n/2$, as $n \to \infty$ (*d* is Hamming distance)

Let γ be path that goes from $x_{k,N}$ to $x_{1,N}$ in exactly n/2 steps in time s and stays at $x_{1,N}$ up to time t.

 P_{xk,N}(go to x_{1,N} in exactly n/2 steps) = (^{n/2}/_{n^{n/2}}) P(make n/2 jumps by time s) = ((κs)^{n/2}/(ⁿ/₂)!) e^{-κs} Thus

$$\mathbb{E}_{x_{k,N}}[e^{\int_{0}^{t}\xi(X_{s})ds}, X = \gamma] \geq \frac{(\frac{n}{2})!}{n^{n/2}} \frac{(\kappa s)^{n/2}}{(\frac{n}{2})!} e^{-\kappa s} e^{(\xi_{1,N}-\kappa)(t-s)} \\ = e^{-n/2\log(n/(2\kappa s))} e^{-\xi_{1,N}s} e^{(\xi_{1,N}-\kappa)t}$$

and 'cost' is minimised for $s = 1/(2 \log 2)$. Then

$$(\star) \geq \lim_{t,n \to \infty} e^{-(\xi_{k,N}-\kappa)t} \mathbb{E}_{x_{k,N}}[e^{\int_0^t \xi(X_s)ds}, X=\gamma]$$

Note: $d(x_{k,N}, x_{1,N}) \sim n/2$, as $n \to \infty$ (*d* is Hamming distance)

Let γ be path that goes from $x_{k,N}$ to $x_{1,N}$ in exactly n/2 steps in time s and stays at $x_{1,N}$ up to time t.

 P_{xk,N}(go to x_{1,N} in exactly n/2 steps) = (^{n/2}/_{n^{n/2}}) P(make n/2 jumps by time s) = ((κs)^{n/2}/(ⁿ/₂)!) e^{-κs} Thus

$$\mathbb{E}_{x_{k,N}}[e^{\int_0^t \xi(X_s)ds}, X = \gamma] \geq \frac{(\frac{n}{2})!}{n^{n/2}} \frac{(\kappa s)^{n/2}}{(\frac{n}{2})!} e^{-\kappa s} e^{(\xi_{1,N}-\kappa)(t-s)} \\ = e^{-n/2\log(n/(2\kappa s))} e^{-\xi_{1,N}s} e^{(\xi_{1,N}-\kappa)t}$$

and 'cost' is minimised for $s = 1/(2 \log 2)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\star) &\geq \lim_{t,n\to\infty} e^{-(\xi_{k,N}-\kappa)t} \mathbb{E}_{x_{k,N}}[e^{\int_0^t \xi(X_s)ds}, X=\gamma] \\ &\geq \lim_{t,n\to\infty} e^{-n/2\log(n\log 2/\kappa)} e^{-\xi_{1,N}/(2\log 2)} e^{(\xi_{1,N}-\xi_{k,N})t} = \infty \end{aligned}$$

Thanks!

◆□ → < @ → < E → < E → ○ < ♡ < ♡</p>