



Unit Code MA40189	Unit Title TOPICS IN BAYESIAN STATISTICS		
Academic Year 2019/20	Examiner SIMON SHAW		
Semester II	Question No. NOTES ON QUESTIONS	Page 1	of 2
Part	Mark		
<p>1 (a) Bookwork.          (b) Bookwork.          (c) Routine problem.          (d) (i). Routine problem but students will have to take care with the constants for the kernel in the integrand.          (ii) Students have seen similar ideas before but this should begin to stretch them.          (e) Unseen, genuine first class level material. They haven't really seen this four parameter prior and will have to be on top of their understanding to spot the conjugacy. Worth 4 marks (20%).          A student who has engaged with the course should be able to obtain 8 marks (40%) from at least parts (a), (b), and (c).</p> <p>2. (a) (i) Routine problem.          (ii) Routine discussion: They've seen many examples of this type.          (iii) Routine, familiar, problem. Good students will benefit from knowing the Gamma, Inv-Gamma relationship.          (iv) Familiar problem which they've seen examples of but should start to stretch them as they need to take care with the proportionality constants.          (b) (i) Bookwork.          (ii) Unseen, genuine first class level material. Worth 4 marks (20%).          A student who has engaged with the course should be able to obtain 8 marks (40%) from at least parts (a)(i), (a)(ii) and (b)(i).</p>			
Total			



Unit Code MA40184	Unit Title TOPICS IN BAYESIAN STATISTICS	
Academic Year 2019/20	Examiner SIMON SHAW	
Semester II	Question No. NOTES ON QUESTIONS	Page 2 of 2
Part		Mark
<p>3 (a) Routine problem.          (b) Routine problem though will need to keep on top of notation.          (c). Bookwork.          (d). Bookwork though importance sampling has infrequently appeared on past papers so should be less familiar.          (e) Unseen, genuine first class level material. Worth 4 marks (20%).          A student who has engaged with the course should be able to obtain (at least) 8 marks from parts (a), (b), and (c) and so secure at least 40%.</p> <p>4. (a) Routine derivation.          (b) Routine problem: they will save time (and should) by using (a).          (c) Routine problem. In these parts, students will have to take a little care with the gamma functions.          (d) Stretching of a routine problem. The risk of the sampling procedure (assuming (b), (c) correct) is straightforward for this example.          (e) Unseen, genuine first class level material. Should test understanding of decision theory away from simple point estimation. For the careful student, the differentiation should be straightforward provided they are thinking. Worth 4 marks (20%).          A student who has engaged with the course should be able to obtain (at least) 8 marks (40%) from parts (a), (b), and (c).</p>		
		Total

Unit Code MA40189	Unit Title TOPICS IN BAYESIAN STATISTICS		
Academic Year 2019/20	Examiner SIMON SHAW		
Semester II	Question No. 1	Page 1	of 4
Part	Mark		
(a)	<p>A class <math>\pi</math> of prior distributions is said to form a conjugate family with respect to a likelihood <math>f(x \theta)</math> if the posterior density is in the class of <math>\pi</math> for all <math>x</math> whenever the prior density is in <math>\pi</math>. 2</p>		
(b)	<p>If <math>f(x \theta)</math> belongs to <math>k</math>-parameter regular exponential family then</p> $f(x \theta) = \exp \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^k \phi_j(\theta) u_j(x) + g(\theta) + h(x) \right\}$ <p>Take as our prior the following <math>(k+1)</math>-parameter exponential family over <math>\theta</math>,</p> $f(\theta) = \exp \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^k a_j \phi_j(\theta) + d g(\theta) + c(a, d) \right\}$ <p>where <math>a = (a_1, \dots, a_k)</math> and <math>c(a, d)</math> is the normalising constant,</p> $c(a, d) = -\log \int_{\Theta} \exp \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^k a_j \phi_j(\theta) + d g(\theta) \right\} d\theta$ <p>Then, <math>f(\theta x) \propto f(x \theta) f(\theta)</math></p> $\propto \exp \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^k [a_j + u_j(x)] \phi_j(\theta) + (d+1)g(\theta) \right\}$ <p>which, up to a constant of proportionality, has the same form as the prior giving conjugacy. 3</p>		
Total			

Unit Code MA40189	Unit Title TOPICS IN BAYESIAN STATISTICS		
Academic Year 2019/20	Examiner SIMON SHAW		
Semester II	Question No. 1	Page 2	of 4

Part	Mark
------	------

$$\begin{aligned}
 (c) \quad f(x|\theta) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\nu}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\nu} (x_i - \mu)^2\right\} \\
 &= (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \nu^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\nu} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2\right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Now, } \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n ((x_i - \bar{x}) + (\bar{x} - \mu))^2 \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 + n(\bar{x} - \mu)^2 = (n-1)s^2 + n(\bar{x} - \mu)^2
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Thus, } f(x|\theta) &= (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \nu^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\nu} [(n-1)s^2 + n(\bar{x} - \mu)^2]\right\} \\
 &\propto \nu^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\nu} [(n-1)s^2 + n(\bar{x} - \mu)^2]\right\} \\
 &= g(\theta, \bar{x}, s^2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, as  $f(\theta|x) \propto f(x|\theta)f(\theta) \propto g(\theta, \bar{x}, s^2)f(\theta)$ ,  $\bar{X}$  and  $S^2$  are sufficient for  $X$  for learning about  $\theta$ .

3

$$\begin{aligned}
 (d)(i) \quad f(\mu, \nu|x) &\propto \nu^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\nu} [(n-1)s^2 + n(\bar{x} - \mu)^2]\right\} \nu^{-1} \\
 &= \nu^{-\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\nu} [(n-1)s^2 + n(\bar{x} - \mu)^2]\right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Total



Unit Code MA40189	Unit Title TOPICS IN BAYESIAN STATISTICS		
Academic Year 2019/20	Examiner SIMON SHAW		
Semester II	Question No. 1	Page 3	of 4
Part	Mark		
<p>Thus, <math>f(v x) \propto \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v^{-\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2v}[(n-1)s^2 + n(\bar{x}-\mu)^2]\right\} d\mu</math></p> $= v^{-\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2v}(n-1)s^2\right\} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2v}n(\bar{x}-\mu)^2\right\} d\mu$ <p>We recognise the integrand as a kernel of <math>N(\bar{x}, v/n)</math> so that</p> $f(v x) \propto v^{-\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2v}(n-1)s^2\right\} v^{\frac{1}{2}}$ $= v^{-\left(\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{2}+1\right)} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2v}(n-1)s^2\right\}$ <p style="text-align: right;">4</p> <p>We recognise this as a kernel of Inv-gamma <math>\left(\frac{n-1}{2}, \frac{(n-1)s^2}{2}\right)</math> and thus this is the distribution of <math>v x</math>.</p> <p>(ii) Note that if <math>Z \sim \text{Inv-gamma}(\alpha, \beta)</math> then <math>Z^{-1} \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)</math>.</p> <p>Hence, <math>v^{-1} x \sim \text{Gamma}\left(\frac{n-1}{2}, \frac{(n-1)s^2}{2}\right)</math> and, from the hint,</p> $(n-1)s^2 v^{-1} x \sim \text{Gamma}\left(\frac{n-1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ <p>which is the chi-squared distribution with <math>(n-1)</math> degrees of freedom. Hence, <math>Y x \sim \chi^2_{n-1}</math>.</p> <p>This corresponds to the pivot <math>\frac{(n-1)S^2}{v}</math> used to construct confidence intervals in the classical model. Thus, classical and Bayesian inference will coincide showing that the prior is noninformative.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">4</p>			
Total			

Unit Code <b>MA40189</b>	Unit Title <b>TOPICS IN BAYESIAN STATISTICS</b>		
Academic Year <b>2019/20</b>	Examiner <b>SIMON SHAW</b>		
Semester <b>II</b>	Question No. <b>1</b>	Page <b>4</b>	of <b>4</b>
Part			Mark
<p>(e) <math>f(\mu, \nu) = f(\mu   \nu) f(\nu)</math></p> $\propto \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{\lambda}{2\nu} (\mu - \mu_0)^2\right\} \nu^{-(\alpha+1)} \exp\left\{-\frac{\beta}{\nu}\right\}$ $= \nu^{-(\alpha+\frac{3}{2})} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\nu} [\lambda (\mu - \mu_0)^2 + 2\beta]\right\}$ $f(\mu, \nu   x) \propto \nu^{-(\alpha+\frac{n}{2}+\frac{3}{2})} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\nu} [\lambda (\mu - \mu_0)^2 + n (\mu - \bar{x})^2 + 2\beta + (n-1)s^2]\right\}$ <p>Now, from the hint, <math>\lambda (\mu - \mu_0)^2 + n (\mu - \bar{x})^2 = (\lambda+n) \left(\mu - \frac{\lambda\mu_0 + n\bar{x}}{\lambda+n}\right)^2 + \frac{n\lambda}{\lambda+n} (\mu_0 - \bar{x})^2</math></p> <p>so that</p> $f(\mu, \nu   x) \propto \nu^{-(\alpha+\frac{n}{2}+\frac{3}{2})} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\nu} \left[ (\lambda+n) \left(\mu - \frac{\lambda\mu_0 + n\bar{x}}{\lambda+n}\right)^2 + 2\beta + \frac{(n-1)s^2}{2} + \frac{n\lambda}{2(\lambda+n)} (\mu_0 - \bar{x})^2 \right]\right\}$ <p>which is of the same form as the prior giving conjugacy.</p> <p>[In terms of the hyperparameters, <math>\alpha \mapsto \alpha + \frac{n}{2}</math>, <math>\lambda \mapsto \lambda + n</math>,  <math>\mu_0 \mapsto \frac{\lambda\mu_0 + n\bar{x}}{\lambda+n}</math>, <math>\beta \mapsto \beta + \frac{(n-1)s^2}{2} + \frac{n\lambda}{2(\lambda+n)} (\mu_0 - \bar{x})^2</math>.]</p>			
			4
Total			20

Unit Code MA40189	Unit Title TOPICS IN BAYESIAN STATISTICS		
Academic Year 2019/20	Examiner SIMON SHAW		
Semester II	Question No. 2	Page 1	of 4
Part			Mark
<p>(a) (i) <math>f(x \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \theta \exp(-x_i\theta)</math>, <math>\theta &gt; 0</math></p> $= \theta^n \exp\left\{-\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right)\theta\right\}$ <p><math>\log f(x \theta) = n \log \theta - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right)\theta</math></p> $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(x \theta) = \frac{n}{\theta} - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log f(x \theta) = -\frac{n}{\theta^2}$ <p><math>I(\theta) = -E\left(-\frac{n}{\theta^2} \mid \theta\right) = \frac{n}{\theta^2}</math>.</p> <p>The Jeffreys prior is <math>f(\theta) \propto \sqrt{I(\theta)}</math> <math>\propto \theta^{-1}</math> (the improper Gamma(0,0))</p> $f(\theta x) \propto f(x \theta)f(\theta)$ $\propto \theta^n \exp\left\{-\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right)\theta\right\} \theta^{-1}$ $= \theta^{n-1} \exp\left\{-\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right)\theta\right\}$ <p>We recognise this as a kernel of Gamma(<math>n, \sum_{i=1}^n x_i</math>) so that <math>\theta x \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha_n, \beta_n)</math> where <math>\alpha_n = n</math> and <math>\beta_n = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i</math>.</p>			
Total			4



Unit Code MA40189	Unit Title TOPICS IN BAYESIAN STATISTICS	
Academic Year 2019/20	Examiner SIMON SHAW	
Semester II	Question No. 2	Page 2 of 4

Part

Mark

(ii)  $E(\theta|X) = \frac{\alpha_n}{\beta_n} = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}$  which is the maximum likelihood estimate of  $\theta$ . The posterior mean is thus based solely on the data and the prior has no influence: it is noninformative. 2

(iii) As  $Z$  is exchangeable then  $Z|\theta \sim \text{Exp}(\theta)$  and  $(X \perp\!\!\!\perp Z)|\theta$ .

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} E(Z|X) &= E(E(Z|\theta)|X) \quad (\text{using } (X \perp\!\!\!\perp Z)|\theta) \\ &= E(\theta^{-1}|X) \quad (\text{as } Z|\theta \sim \text{Exp}(\theta)) \\ &= \frac{\beta_n}{\alpha_n - 1} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{n-1} \quad (\text{as } \theta|X \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha_n, \beta_n) \\ &\quad \text{so } \theta^{-1}|X \sim \text{Inv-gamma}(\alpha_n, \beta_n)) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(Z|X) &= E(\text{Var}(Z|\theta)|X) + \text{Var}(E(Z|\theta)|X) \\ &= E(\theta^{-2}|X) + \text{Var}(\theta^{-1}|X) \\ &= \frac{\beta_n^2}{(\alpha_n - 1)(\alpha_n - 2)} + \frac{\beta_n^2}{(\alpha_n - 1)^2 (\alpha_n - 2)} \\ &= \frac{\alpha_n \beta_n^2}{(\alpha_n - 1)^2 (\alpha_n - 2)} = \frac{n \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right)^2}{(n-1)^2 (n-2)} \end{aligned}$$

(both values well defined for  $n > 2$ ).

3

Total





Unit Code MA40189	Unit Title TOPICS IN BAYESIAN STATISTICS	
Academic Year 2019/20	Examiner SIMON SHAW	
Semester II	Question No. 2	Page 3 of 4

Part	Mark
------	------

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(iv) } f(\theta) &= \frac{\beta^\alpha}{4 \Gamma(\alpha)} \theta^{\alpha-1} \exp(-\beta\theta) + \frac{3\beta^{\alpha+1}}{4 \Gamma(\alpha+1)} \theta^\alpha \exp(-\beta\theta) \\
 &\propto \theta^{\alpha-1} \exp(-\beta\theta) + \frac{3\beta}{\alpha} \theta^\alpha \exp(-\beta\theta) \\
 &\propto \theta^{\alpha-1} \exp(-\beta\theta) + 3\beta \theta^\alpha \exp(-\beta\theta).
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(\theta|x) &\propto f(x|\theta)f(\theta) \\
 &\propto \theta^{(\alpha+n)-1} \exp\left(-\left(\beta + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right)\theta\right) \\
 &\quad + 3\beta \theta^{(\alpha+1+n)-1} \exp\left(-\left(\beta + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right)\theta\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

We recognise kernels of Gamma  $(\alpha+n, \beta + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i)$  and Gamma  $(\alpha+1+n, \beta + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i)$  is this sum. Letting  $f_1(\theta)$  be the pdf of Gamma  $(\alpha+n, \beta + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i)$  and  $f_2(\theta)$  the pdf of Gamma  $(\alpha+1+n, \beta + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i)$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(\theta|x) &\propto \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+n)}{\left(\beta + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right)^{\alpha+n}} f_1(\theta) + \frac{3\beta \Gamma(\alpha+n+1)}{\left(\beta + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right)^{\alpha+n+1}} f_2(\theta) \\
 &\propto \alpha f_1(\theta) + \frac{3\beta(\alpha+n)}{\left(\beta + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right)} f_2(\theta) \\
 &\propto \alpha \left(\beta + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right) f_1(\theta) + 3\beta(\alpha+n) f_2(\theta)
 \end{aligned}$$

Unit Code MA40189	Unit Title TOPICS IN BAYESIAN STATISTICS		
Academic Year 2019/20	Examiner SIMON SHAW		
Semester II	Question No. 2	Page 4	of 4
Part			Mark
<p>Thus, as <math>\int_{\mathcal{Q}} f_1(\theta) d\theta = 1 = \int_{\mathcal{Q}} f_2(\theta) d\theta</math> we have:</p> $f(\theta x) = c f_1(\theta) + (1-c) f_2(\theta) \text{ where } c = \frac{\alpha (\beta + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i)}{\alpha (\beta + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i) + 3\beta(\alpha+n)}$ <p>(b) (i) The RVs <math>X_1, \dots, X_n</math> are judged to be finitely exchangeable if their joint density function satisfies</p> $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})$ <p>for all permutations <math>\pi</math> defined on the set <math>\{1, \dots, n\}</math>.</p> <p>(ii) <math>f(x_1, \dots, x_n   \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i   \theta)</math>  <math>= \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i   \theta_i)</math>.</p> <p>Now, <math>f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \int_{\mathcal{Q}} f(x \theta) f(\theta) d\theta</math>  <math>= \int_{\mathcal{Q}} \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i   \theta_i) \right\} \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n \pi(\theta_i) \right\} d\theta</math>  <math>= \prod_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathcal{Q}_i} f(x_i   \theta_i) \pi(\theta_i) d\theta_i = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i)</math></p> <p>as each marginal <math>X_i</math> is identically distributed. Thus, the ordering of the <math>x_i</math>'s is irrelevant (they're iid) and thus they are finitely exchangeable.</p>			
			Total 20

Unit Code MA40181	Unit Title TOPICS IN BAYESIAN STATISTICS	
Academic Year 2019/20	Examiner SIMON SHAW	
Semester II	Question No. 3	Page 1 of 4

Part	Mark
------	------

(a) As  $X_i | \theta \sim P_o(s_i \theta_i)$  then  $P(X_i = x_i | \theta) = \frac{1}{x_i!} (s_i \theta_i)^{x_i} \exp(-s_i \theta_i)$   
 $\propto \theta_i^{x_i} \exp(-s_i \theta_i)$

Hence,  $f(x | \theta) = P(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n | \theta) \propto \prod_{i=1}^n \theta_i^{x_i} \exp(-s_i \theta_i)$   
 $= \left( \prod_{i=1}^n \theta_i^{x_i} \right) \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^n s_i \theta_i\right)$

$\theta_i | \phi \sim \text{Exp}(\phi)$  so that  $f(\theta_i | \phi) = \phi \exp(-\phi \theta_i) = \phi^n \exp\left(-\phi \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i\right)$

$\phi \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$  so that  $f(\phi) \propto \phi^{\alpha-1} \exp(-\beta \phi)$

Thus,  $f(\theta, \phi | x) \propto f(x | \theta) f(\theta | \phi) f(\phi)$ .

$\propto \left( \prod_{i=1}^n \theta_i^{x_i} \right) \phi^{\alpha+n-1} \exp\left\{-\phi \left(\beta + \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i\right) - \sum_{i=1}^n s_i \theta_i\right\}$  2

(b) Let  $\theta_{-i} = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) / \theta_i$ .

$f(\theta_i | \theta_{-i}, \phi, x) = \frac{f(\theta, \phi | x)}{f(\theta_{-i}, \phi | x)} \propto f(\theta, \phi | x)$   
as a function of  $\theta_i$

Unit Code MA40189	Unit Title TOPICS IN BAYESIAN STATISTICS	
Academic Year 2019/20	Examiner SIMON SHAW	
Semester II	Question No. 3	Page 2 of 4

Part	Mark
------	------

Thus,  $f(\theta_i | \theta_{-i}, \phi, x) \propto \theta_i^{x_i} \exp\{-(\phi + s_i)\theta_i\}$   
 We recognise this as a kernel of  $\text{Gamma}(x_i + 1, \phi + s_i)$  so  
 $\theta_i | \theta_{-i}, \phi, x \sim \text{Gamma}(x_i + 1, \phi + s_i)$ .

Similarly,  $f(\phi | \theta, x) \propto f(\theta, \phi | x)$  (wrt  $\phi$ )  
 $\propto \phi^{\alpha + n - 1} \exp\{-\phi(\beta + \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i)\}$

We recognise this as a kernel of  $\text{Gamma}(\alpha + n, \beta + \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i)$  so  
 $\phi | \theta, x \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha + n, \beta + \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i)$ .

The Gibbs sampler algorithm is:

1. Choose a starting value  $(\theta^{(0)}, \phi^{(0)})$  for which  $f(\theta^{(0)}, \phi^{(0)} | x) > 0$
2. At iteration  $t$  generate new values  $(\theta^{(t)}, \phi^{(t)})$  as follows
  - draw  $\theta_1^{(t)}$  from  $\text{Gamma}(x_1 + 1, \phi^{(t-1)} + 1)$
  - draw  $\theta_n^{(t)}$  from  $\text{Gamma}(x_n + 1, \phi^{(t-1)} + 1)$
  - draw  $\phi^{(t)}$  from  $\text{Gamma}(\alpha + n, \beta + \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i^{(t)})$
3. Repeat step 2.



Unit Code MA40189	Unit Title TOPICS IN BAYESIAN STATISTICS		
Academic Year 2019/20	Examiner SIMON SHAW		
Semester II	Question No. 3	Page 3	of 4
Part	Mark		
<p>The algorithm will produce a Markov chain with stationary distribution <math>f(\theta, \phi x)</math>. After a sufficiently long time to allow for convergence, values <math>\{\theta^{(t)}, \phi^{(t)}\}</math> for <math>t &gt; b</math> may be viewed as a sample from <math>f(\theta, \phi x)</math> (<math>b</math> denotes the burn-in). <span style="float: right;">7</span></p> <p>(c) The following Metropolis-Hastings algorithm generates a sequence of values <math>\theta^{(1)}, \theta^{(2)}, \dots</math> which form a Markov chain with stationary distribution <math>\pi(\theta) = f(\theta x)</math>.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Choose an arbitrary starting point <math>\theta^{(0)}</math> for which <math>f(\theta^{(0)} x) &gt; 0</math>.</li> <li>2. At time <math>t</math>,             <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Sample a candidate point or proposal <math>\theta^*</math> from <math>q(\theta \theta^{(t-1)})</math>, the proposal distribution.</li> <li>b) Calculate the acceptance probability                 <math display="block">\alpha(\theta^{(t-1)}, \theta^*) = \min\left(1, \frac{f(\theta^* x)q(\theta^{(t-1)} \theta^*)}{f(\theta^{(t-1)} x)q(\theta^* \theta^{(t-1)})}\right)</math> </li> <li>c) Generate <math>U \sim U(0, 1)</math>.</li> <li>d) If <math>U \leq \alpha(\theta^{(t-1)}, \theta^*)</math> accept the proposal, <math>\theta^{(t)} = \theta^*</math>. Otherwise, reject the proposal, <math>\theta^{(t)} = \theta^{(t-1)}</math>.</li> </ol> </li> <li>3. Repeat step 2. <span style="float: right;">4</span></li> </ol>			
Total			

Unit Code MA40189	Unit Title TOPICS IN BAYESIAN STATISTICS		
Academic Year 2019/20	Examiner SIMON SHAW		
Semester II	Question No. 3	Page 4	of 4

Part

Mark

$$\begin{aligned} (d) \quad E(g(\theta) | X) &= \int_{\mathcal{A}} g(\theta) f(\theta | X) d\theta \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{A}} \frac{g(\theta) f(\theta | X)}{q(\theta)} q(\theta) d\theta = E\left(\frac{g(\theta) f(\theta | X)}{q(\theta)} \mid \theta \sim q(\theta)\right) \end{aligned}$$

Draw a random sample  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_N$  from  $q(\theta)$  and approximate  $E(g(\theta) | X)$  by  $\hat{I} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{g(\theta_i) f(\theta_i | X)}{q(\theta_i)}$ . 3

$$(e) \quad \text{Var}(\hat{I} \mid \theta \sim q(\theta)) = \frac{1}{N} \text{Var}\left(\frac{g(\theta) f(\theta | X)}{q(\theta)} \mid \theta \sim q(\theta)\right)$$

as  $\theta_i$  iid from  $q(\theta)$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(\hat{I} \mid \theta \sim q(\theta)) &= \frac{1}{N} \left( E\left(\frac{g^2(\theta) f^2(\theta | X)}{q^2(\theta)} \mid \theta \sim q(\theta)\right) - E^2\left(\frac{g(\theta) f(\theta | X)}{q(\theta)} \mid \theta \sim q(\theta)\right) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \left( E\left(\frac{g^2(\theta) f(\theta | X)}{q(\theta)} \mid X\right) - E^2(g(\theta) | X) \right) \text{ by changing the measure} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, choosing  $q(\theta)$  to minimize  $E(g^2(\theta) f(\theta | X) / q(\theta) | X)$  will minimize  $\text{Var}(\hat{I} \mid \theta \sim q(\theta))$  and any  $q(\theta)$  doing so is optimal. 4

Unit Code MA40189	Unit Title TOPICS IN BAYESIAN STATISTICS		
Academic Year 2019/20	Examiner SIMON SHAW		
Semester II	Question No. 4	Page 1	of 4

Part

Mark

(a) The risk of decision  $d$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\pi, d) &= E \{ g(\theta)(\theta - d)^2 \} \quad (\text{wrt } \pi(\theta)) \\ &= E(g(\theta)\theta^2) - 2dE(g(\theta)\theta) + d^2E(g(\theta)) \end{aligned}$$

We choose  $d$  to minimise this:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial d} \rho(\pi, d) = -2E(g(\theta)\theta) + 2dE(g(\theta))$$

$$\text{so } d^* = \frac{E(g(\theta)\theta)}{E(g(\theta))}$$

which is a minimum for  $g(\theta) > 0$ . The corresponding Bayes risk is

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^*(\pi) = \rho(\pi, d^*) &= E(g(\theta)\theta^2) - 2 \frac{E^2(g(\theta)\theta)}{E(g(\theta))} + \frac{E^2(g(\theta)\theta)}{E(g(\theta))} \\ &= E(g(\theta)\theta^2) - \frac{E^2(g(\theta)\theta)}{E(g(\theta))} \end{aligned}$$

When  $g(\theta) = 1$ ,  $d^* = E(\theta)$  (the mean) and  $\rho^*(\pi) = \text{Var}(\theta)$  (the variance).

4

Total

Unit Code MA40189	Unit Title TOPICS IN BAYESIAN STATISTICS	
Academic Year 2019/20	Examiner SIMON SHAW	
Semester II	Question No. 4	Page 2 of 4

Part

Mark

(b) We have  $g(\theta) = \theta^{-3}$ . For the immediate decision, the Bayes rule is  $d^* = \frac{E(\theta^{-2})}{E(\theta^{-3})}$

Now as  $\theta \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} E(\theta^{-k}) &= \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \theta^{(\alpha-k)-1} e^{-\beta\theta} d\theta \\ &= \frac{\beta^k \Gamma(\alpha-k)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\beta^{\alpha-k}}{\Gamma(\alpha-k)} \theta^{(\alpha-k)-1} e^{-\beta\theta} d\theta \\ &= \frac{\beta^k \Gamma(\alpha-k)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \text{ provided that } \alpha > k. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Hence, } E(\theta^{-3}) = \frac{\beta^3 \Gamma(\alpha-3)}{\Gamma(\alpha)}, \quad E(\theta^{-2}) = \frac{\beta^2 \Gamma(\alpha-2)}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$$

$$\text{so that } d^* = \frac{\beta^2 \Gamma(\alpha-2)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \times \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\beta^3 \Gamma(\alpha-3)} = \frac{\alpha-3}{\beta}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{The Bayes risk is } \rho^*(f(\theta)) &= E(\theta^{-1}) - \frac{E^2(\theta^{-2})}{E(\theta^{-3})} \\ &= \frac{\beta \Gamma(\alpha-1)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} - \beta^2 \frac{\Gamma(\alpha-2)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left( \frac{\alpha-3}{\beta} \right) \\ &= \frac{\beta}{\alpha-1} - \frac{\beta(\alpha-3)}{(\alpha-1)(\alpha-2)} = \frac{\beta}{(\alpha-1)(\alpha-2)} \end{aligned}$$

5

Total



Unit Code MA40189	Unit Title TOPICS IN BAYESIAN STATISTICS.		
Academic Year 2019/20	Examiner SIMON SHAW		
Semester II	Question No. 4	Page 3	of 4

Part	Mark
------	------

$$(c) f(x|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i|\theta) \propto \theta^n \exp\left(-\theta \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^\lambda\right)$$

$$f(\theta) \propto \theta^{\alpha-1} \exp(-\beta\theta)$$

Thus,  $f(\theta|x) \propto \theta^{(\alpha+n)-1} \exp\left(-\theta\left(\beta + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^\lambda\right)\right)$  so that  
 $\theta|x \sim \text{Gamma}\left(\alpha+n, \beta + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^\lambda\right)$ .

We may exploit conjugacy to find  $d^+(x) = \frac{\alpha+n-3}{\beta + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^\lambda}$  with

$$\text{risk } \frac{\beta + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^\lambda}{(\alpha+n-1)(\alpha+n-2)}.$$

3

(d) With  $\lambda=1$ , the risk of the sampling procedure is  $E\left(\frac{\beta + \sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{(\alpha+n-1)(\alpha+n-2)}\right)$

Now,  $E(X_i) = E(E(X_i|\theta)) = E(\theta^{-1}) = \frac{\beta}{\alpha-1}$  so that

$$E\left(\frac{\beta + \sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{(\alpha+n-1)(\alpha+n-2)}\right) = \frac{\beta + \frac{n\beta}{\alpha-1}}{(\alpha+n-1)(\alpha+n-2)} = \frac{\beta}{(\alpha-1)(\alpha+n-2)}$$

The total risk is  $R_n = nc + \frac{\beta}{(\alpha-1)(\alpha+n-2)}$

$$\text{Hence, } \frac{dR_n}{dn} = c - \frac{\beta}{(\alpha-1)(\alpha+n-2)^2}$$

Total



Unit Code MA40189	Unit Title TOPICS IN BAYESIAN STATISTICS	
Academic Year 2019/20	Examiner SIMON SHAW	
Semester II	Question No. 4	Page 4 of 4

Part

Mark

$$\text{Solving to } 0 \text{ gives } (\alpha + n - 2)^2 = \frac{\beta}{c(\alpha - 1)}$$

$$\Rightarrow n = \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{c(\alpha - 1)}} - (\alpha - 2).$$

(Sample if  $n > 0$  otherwise don't sample).

$$(c) E(L_1(\theta, d)) = (\theta_2 - \theta_1) + \int_{-\infty}^{\theta_1} \frac{2}{\alpha} (\theta_1 - \theta) \pi(\theta) d\theta + \int_{\theta_2}^{\infty} \frac{2}{\alpha} (\theta - \theta_2) \pi(\theta) d\theta$$

$$= (\theta_2 - \theta_1) + \frac{2}{\alpha} \theta_1 P(\theta \leq \theta_1) - \frac{2}{\alpha} \int_{-\infty}^{\theta_1} \theta \pi(\theta) d\theta$$

$$+ \frac{2}{\alpha} \left[ E(\theta) - \int_{-\infty}^{\theta_2} \theta \pi(\theta) d\theta \right] - \frac{2}{\alpha} \theta_2 P(\theta \geq \theta_2)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1} E(L_1(\theta, d)) = -1 + \frac{2}{\alpha} P(\theta \leq \theta_1) + \frac{2}{\alpha} \theta_1 \pi(\theta_1) - \frac{2}{\alpha} \theta_1 \pi(\theta_1)$$

$$\text{Hence, } \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1} E(L_1(\theta, d)) = 0 \text{ when } P(\theta \leq \theta_1) = \frac{\alpha}{2}.$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_2} E(L_1(\theta, d)) = 1 - \frac{2}{\alpha} \theta_2 \pi(\theta_2) - \frac{2}{\alpha} P(\theta \geq \theta_2) + \frac{2}{\alpha} \theta_2 \pi(\theta_2)$$

$$\text{Hence, } \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_2} E(L_1(\theta, d)) = 0 \text{ when } 1 - \frac{2}{\alpha} P(\theta \geq \theta_2) = 0$$

$$\text{i.e. } P(\theta \geq \theta_2) = \frac{\alpha}{2}$$

Hence, the Bayes rule is  $d^* = (\theta_1^*, \theta_2^*)$  when  $P(\theta \leq \theta_1^*) = \frac{\alpha}{2} = P(\theta \geq \theta_2^*)$

4