



ADAPTED FOR OPEN BOOK ASSESSMENT

Unit Code MA40189	Unit Title TOPICS IN BAYESIAN STATISTICS		
Academic Year 2019/20	Examiner SIMON SHAW		
Semester II	Question No. 1	Page 1	of 4
Part			Mark
<p>(a) Let <math>\pi</math> denote the class of prior distributions. Conjugacy means that, given the likelihood <math>f(\theta x)</math>, the posterior distribution will also be in the class <math>\pi</math> for all <math>x</math>. 2</p> <p>(b) In order for a conjugate family to exist, the likelihood <math>\prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i \theta)</math> must involve only a finite number of different functions of <math>x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)</math> for <math>n</math> arbitrarily large. Thus the likelihood must contain a finite number of sufficient statistics which implies (given regularity conditions) that the likelihood is a member of a regular exponential family. 3</p>			
Total			



ADAPTED FOR OPEN BOOK ASSESSMENT

Unit Code MA40189	Unit Title TOPICS IN BAYESIAN STATISTICS		
Academic Year 2019/20	Examiner SIMON SHAW		
Semester II	Question No. 1	Page 2	of 4
Part			Mark

(c)  $f(x|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\nu}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\nu} (x_i - \mu)^2\right\}$

$$= (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \nu^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\nu} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2\right\}$$

Now,  $\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n ((x_i - \bar{x}) + (\bar{x} - \mu))^2$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 + n(\bar{x} - \mu)^2 = (n-1)s^2 + n(\bar{x} - \mu)^2$$

Thus,  $f(x|\theta) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \nu^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\nu} [(n-1)s^2 + n(\bar{x} - \mu)^2]\right\}$

$$\propto \nu^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\nu} [(n-1)s^2 + n(\bar{x} - \mu)^2]\right\}$$

$$= g(\theta, \bar{x}, s^2).$$

Hence, as  $f(\theta|x) \propto f(x|\theta)f(\theta) \propto g(\theta, \bar{x}, s^2)f(\theta)$ ,  $\bar{X}$  and  $S^2$  are sufficient for  $X$  for learning about  $\theta$ .

(d) (i)  $f(\mu, \nu|x) \propto \nu^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\nu} [(n-1)s^2 + n(\bar{x} - \mu)^2]\right\} \nu^{-1}$

$$= \nu^{-\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\nu} [(n-1)s^2 + n(\bar{x} - \mu)^2]\right\}$$

3

Total	
-------	--



ADAPTED FOR OPEN BOOK ASSESSMENT

Unit Code MA40189		Unit Title TOPICS IN BAYESIAN STATISTICS	
Academic Year 2019/20		Examiner SIMON SHAW	
Semester II		Question No. 1	Page 3 of 4
Part			Mark

Thus,  $f(v|x) \propto \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v^{-(\frac{n}{2}+1)} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2v} [(n-1)s^2 + n(\bar{x}-\mu)^2] \right\} d\mu$

$$= v^{-(\frac{n}{2}+1)} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2v} (n-1)s^2 \right\} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2v} n(\bar{x}-\mu)^2 \right\} d\mu$$

We recognise the integrand as a kernel of  $N(\bar{x}, v/n)$  so that

$$f(v|x) \propto v^{-(\frac{n}{2}+1)} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2v} (n-1)s^2 \right\} v^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= v^{-(\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{2}+1)} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2v} (n-1)s^2 \right\}$$

Only marks for the whole of the part given. ☒

We recognise this as a kernel of Inv-gamma  $(\frac{n-1}{2}, \frac{(n-1)s^2}{2})$  and thus this is the distribution of  $v|x$ .

(ii) Note that if  $Z \sim \text{Inv-gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$  then  $Z^{-1} \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$ .

Hence,  $v^{-1}|x \sim \text{Gamma}(\frac{n-1}{2}, \frac{(n-1)s^2}{2})$  and, from the hint,

$(n-1)s^2 v^{-1}|x \sim \text{Gamma}(\frac{n-1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  which is the chi-squared distribution with  $(n-1)$  degrees of freedom. Hence,  $Y|x \sim \chi^2_{n-1}$ .

(total marks for (i)(i) and (ii)) 8

This corresponds to the pivot  $\frac{(n-1)s^2}{v}$  used to construct confidence intervals in the classical model. Thus, classical and Bayesian inference will coincide showing that the prior is noninformative.

Only marks for whole part given. ☒

Total			
-------	--	--	--



ADAPTED FOR OPEN BOOK ASSESSMENT

Unit Code <b>MA40189</b>	Unit Title <b>TOPICS IN BAYESIAN STATISTICS</b>		
Academic Year <b>2019/20</b>	Examiner <b>SIMON SHAW</b>		
Semester <b>II</b>	Question No. <b>1</b>	Page <b>4</b>	of <b>4</b>
Part			Mark

(c)  $f(\mu, \nu) = f(\mu | \nu) f(\nu)$

$$\propto \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\lambda}{2\nu} (\mu - \mu_0)^2 \right\} \nu^{-(\alpha+1)} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\beta}{\nu} \right\}$$

$$= \nu^{-(\alpha+\frac{3}{2})} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\nu} [\lambda (\mu - \mu_0)^2 + 2\beta] \right\}$$

$$f(\mu, \nu | x) \propto \nu^{-(\alpha+\frac{n}{2}+\frac{3}{2})} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\nu} [\lambda (\mu - \mu_0)^2 + n (\mu - \bar{x})^2 + 2\beta + (n-1)s^2] \right\}$$

Now, from the hint,  $\lambda (\mu - \mu_0)^2 + n (\mu - \bar{x})^2 = (\lambda+n) \left( \mu - \frac{\lambda \mu_0 + n \bar{x}}{\lambda+n} \right)^2 + \frac{n\lambda}{\lambda+n} (\mu_0 - \bar{x})^2$

so that

$$f(\mu, \nu | x) \propto \nu^{-(\alpha+\frac{n}{2}+\frac{3}{2})} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\nu} \left[ (\lambda+n) \left( \mu - \frac{\lambda \mu_0 + n \bar{x}}{\lambda+n} \right)^2 + 2\beta + \frac{(n-1)s^2}{2} + \frac{n\lambda}{2(\lambda+n)} (\mu_0 - \bar{x})^2 \right] \right\}$$

which is of the same form as the prior giving conjugacy.

[In terms of the hyperparameters,  $\alpha \mapsto \alpha + \frac{n}{2}$ ,  $\lambda \mapsto \lambda + n$ ,  
 $\mu_0 \mapsto \frac{\lambda \mu_0 + n \bar{x}}{\lambda+n}$ ,  $\beta \mapsto \beta + \frac{(n-1)s^2}{2} + \frac{n\lambda}{2(\lambda+n)} (\mu_0 - \bar{x})^2$ .]

Total	20
-------	----





ADAPTED FOR OPEN BOOK ASSESSMENT

Unit Code MA40189	Unit Title TOPICS IN BAYESIAN STATISTICS		
Academic Year 2019/20	Examiner SIMON SHAW		
Semester II	Question No. 2	Page 1	of 4
Part			Mark

(a)  $f(x|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \theta \exp(-x_i \theta)$ ,  $\theta > 0$

$$= \theta^n \exp \left\{ - \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \theta \right\}$$
$$\log f(x|\theta) = n \log \theta - \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \theta$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(x|\theta) = \frac{n}{\theta} - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log f(x|\theta) = -\frac{n}{\theta^2}$$
$$I(\theta) = -E \left( -\frac{n}{\theta^2} \mid \theta \right) = \frac{n}{\theta^2}.$$

The Jeffreys prior is  $f(\theta) \propto \sqrt{I(\theta)}$   
 $\propto \theta^{-1}$  (the improper  $\text{Gamma}(0,0)$ )

$$f(\theta|x) \propto f(x|\theta)f(\theta)$$
$$\propto \theta^n \exp \left\{ - \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \theta \right\} \theta^{-1}$$
$$= \theta^{n-1} \exp \left\{ - \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \theta \right\}$$

We recognise this as a kernel of  $\text{Gamma}(n, \sum_{i=1}^n x_i)$  so that  
 $\theta|x \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha_n, \beta_n)$  where  $\alpha_n = n$  and  $\beta_n = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ .

Total
-------



ADAPTED FOR OPEN BOOK ASSESSMENT

Unit Code MA40189	Unit Title TOPICS IN BAYESIAN STATISTICS		
Academic Year 2019/20	Examiner SIMON SHAW		
Semester II	Question No. 2	Page 2	of 4

Part

Mark

$$E(\theta|X) = \frac{\alpha_n}{\beta_n} = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \text{ which is the maximum likelihood}$$

estimate of  $\theta$ . The posterior mean is thus based solely on the data and the prior has no influence; it is noninformative. 6

(b) As  $Z$  is exchangeable then  $Z|\theta \sim \text{Exp}(\theta)$  and  $(X \perp\!\!\!\perp Z)|\theta$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} E(Z|X) &= E(E(Z|\theta)|X) \quad (\text{using } (X \perp\!\!\!\perp Z)|\theta) \\ &= E(\theta^{-1}|X) \quad (\text{as } Z|\theta \sim \text{Exp}(\theta)) \\ &= \frac{\beta_n}{\alpha_n - 1} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{n - 1} \quad (\text{as } \theta|x \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha_n, \beta_n) \\ &\quad \text{so } \theta^{-1}|x \sim \text{Inv-gamma}(\alpha_n, \beta_n)) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(Z|X) &= E(\text{Var}(Z|\theta)|X) + \text{Var}(E(Z|\theta)|X) \\ &= E(\theta^{-2}|X) + \text{Var}(\theta^{-1}|X) \\ &= \frac{\beta_n^2}{(\alpha_n - 1)(\alpha_n - 2)} + \frac{\beta_n^2}{(\alpha_n - 1)^2(\alpha_n - 2)} \\ &= \frac{\alpha_n \beta_n^2}{(\alpha_n - 1)^2(\alpha_n - 2)} = \frac{n \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^2}{(n - 1)^2(n - 2)} \end{aligned}$$

(both values well defined for  $n > 2$ ).

3

Total



APPROVED FOR OPEN BOOK ASSESSMENT

Unit Code MA40189	Unit Title TOPICS IN BAYESIAN STATISTICS		
Academic Year 2019/20	Examiner SIMON SHAW		
Semester II	Question No. 2	Page 3	of 4

Part	Mark
------	------

(c)  $f(\theta) = \frac{\beta^\alpha}{4 \Gamma(\alpha)} \theta^{\alpha-1} \exp(-\beta\theta) + \frac{3\beta^{\alpha+1}}{4 \Gamma(\alpha+1)} \theta^\alpha \exp(-\beta\theta)$

(c)

$$\propto \theta^{\alpha-1} \exp(-\beta\theta) + \frac{3\beta}{\alpha} \theta^\alpha \exp(-\beta\theta)$$

$$\propto \theta^{\alpha-1} \exp(-\beta\theta) + 3\beta \theta^\alpha \exp(-\beta\theta).$$

$$f(\theta|x) \propto f(x|\theta)f(\theta)$$

$$\propto \theta^{(\alpha+n)-1} \exp\left(-\left(\beta + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right)\theta\right) + 3\beta \theta^{(\alpha+1+n)-1} \exp\left(-\left(\beta + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right)\theta\right)$$

We recognise kernels of Gamma  $(\alpha+n, \beta + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i)$  and Gamma  $(\alpha+1+n, \beta + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i)$  is this sum. Letting  $f_1(\theta)$  be the pdf of Gamma  $(\alpha+n, \beta + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i)$  and  $f_2(\theta)$  the pdf of Gamma  $(\alpha+1+n, \beta + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i)$  we have:

$$f(\theta|x) \propto \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+n)}{(\beta + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i)^{\alpha+n}} f_1(\theta) + \frac{3\beta \Gamma(\alpha+n+1)}{(\beta + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i)^{\alpha+n+1}} f_2(\theta)$$

$$\propto f_1(\theta) + \frac{3\beta(\alpha+n)}{(\beta + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i)} f_2(\theta)$$

$$\propto (\beta + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i) f_1(\theta) + 3\beta(\alpha+n) f_2(\theta)$$

Total



ADAPTED FOR OPEN BOOK ASSESSMENT

Unit Code MA40189	Unit Title TOPICS IN BAYESIAN STATISTICS		
Academic Year 2019/20	Examiner SIMON SHAW		
Semester II	Question No. 2	Page 4	of 4

Part

Mark

Thus, as  $\int_{\mathcal{Q}} f_1(\theta) d\theta = 1 = \int_{\mathcal{Q}} f_2(\theta) d\theta$  we have:

$$f(\theta|x) = c f_1(\theta) + (1-c) f_2(\theta) \text{ where } c = \frac{\alpha(\beta + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i)}{\alpha(\beta + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i) + 3\beta(\alpha+n)}$$

5

(d)(i) If  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are finitely exchangeable then the labelling of the outcomes in the joint distribution is uninformative and only the outcomes matter and not the random variable of which they were a realisation of.  
i.e.  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})$  for all permutations  $\pi$  on  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(ii)} \quad f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \theta) &= \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i | \theta) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i | \theta_i) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } f(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \int_{\mathcal{Q}} f(x | \theta) f(\theta) d\theta \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{Q}} \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i | \theta_i) \right\} \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n \pi(\theta_i) \right\} d\theta \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathcal{Q}_i} f(x_i | \theta_i) \pi(\theta_i) d\theta_i = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i) \end{aligned}$$

as each marginal  $X_i$  is identically distributed. Thus, the ordering of the  $x_i$ s is irrelevant (they're iid) and thus they are finitely exchangeable.

6

Total 20



ADAPTED FOR OPEN BOOK ASSESSMENT.

Unit Code MA40181	Unit Title TOPICS IN BAYESIAN STATISTICS		
Academic Year 2019/20	Examiner SIMON SHAW		
Semester II	Question No. 3	Page 1	of 5
Part	Mark		

(a) As  $X_i | \theta \sim P_0(s_i; \theta_i)$  then  $P(X_i = x_i | \theta) = \frac{1}{x_i!} (s_i \theta_i)^{x_i} \exp(-s_i \theta_i)$

$$\propto \theta_i^{x_i} \exp(-s_i \theta_i)$$

Hence,  $f(x | \theta) = P(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n | \theta) \propto \prod_{i=1}^n \theta_i^{x_i} \exp(-s_i \theta_i)$

$$= \left( \prod_{i=1}^n \theta_i^{x_i} \right) \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^n s_i \theta_i\right)$$

$\theta_i | \phi \sim \text{Exp}(\phi)$  so that  $f(\theta | \phi) = \prod_{i=1}^n \phi \exp(-\phi \theta_i) = \phi^n \exp\left(-\phi \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i\right)$

$\phi \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$  so that  $f(\phi) \propto \phi^{\alpha-1} \exp(-\beta \phi)$

Then,  $f(\theta, \phi | x) \propto f(x | \theta) f(\theta | \phi) f(\phi)$

$$\propto \left( \prod_{i=1}^n \theta_i^{x_i} \right) \phi^{\alpha+n-1} \exp\left\{-\phi\left(\beta + \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i\right) - \sum_{i=1}^n s_i \theta_i\right\}.$$

(b) Let  $\theta_{-i} = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) / \theta_i$ .

$$f(\theta_i | \theta_{-i}, \phi, x) = \frac{f(\theta, \phi | x)}{f(\theta_{-i}, \phi | x)} \propto f(\theta, \phi | x)$$

as a function of  $\theta_i$

Total	
-------	--



ADAPTED FOR OPEN BOOK ASSESSMENT

Unit Code MA40189	Unit Title TOPICS IN BAYESIAN STATISTICS		
Academic Year 2019/20	Examiner SIMON SHAW		
Semester II	Question No. 3	Page 2	of 5

Part	Mark
------	------

Thus,  $f(\theta_i | \theta_{-i}, \phi, x) \propto \theta_i^{x_i} \exp \{ -(\phi + s_i) \theta_i \}$

We recognise this as a kernel of  $\text{Gamma}(x_i + 1, \phi + s_i)$  so

$$\theta_i | \theta_{-i}, \phi, x \sim \text{Gamma}(x_i + 1, \phi + s_i).$$

Similarly,  $f(\phi | \theta, x) \propto f(\phi, \phi | x)$  (wrt  $\phi$ )

$$\propto \phi^{\alpha+n-1} \exp \{ -\phi \left( \beta + \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i \right) \}$$

We recognise this as a kernel of  $\text{Gamma}(\alpha + n, \beta + \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i)$  so

$$\phi | \theta, x \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha + n, \beta + \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i).$$

The Gibbs sampler algorithm is:

1. Choose a starting value  $(\theta^{(0)}, \phi^{(0)})$  for which  $f(\theta^{(0)}, \phi^{(0)} | x) > 0$
2. At iteration  $t$  generate new values  $(\theta^{(t)}, \phi^{(t)})$  as follows
  - draw  $\theta_1^{(t)}$  from  $\text{Gamma}(x_1 + 1, \phi^{(t-1)} + 1)$
  - draw  $\theta_n^{(t)}$  from  $\text{Gamma}(x_n + 1, \phi^{(t-1)} + 1)$
  - draw  $\phi^{(t)}$  from  $\text{Gamma}(\alpha + n, \beta + \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i^{(t)})$
3. Repeat step 2.



ADAPTED FOR OPEN BOOK ASSESSMENT

Unit Code MA40189	Unit Title TOPICS IN BAYESIAN STATISTICS		
Academic Year 2019/20	Examiner SIMON SHAW		
Semester II	Question No. 3	Page 3	of 5
Part	Mark		

The algorithm will produce a Markov chain with stationary distribution  $f(\theta, \phi|x)$ . After a sufficiently long time to allow for convergence, values  $\{\theta^{(t)}, \phi^{(t)}\}$  for  $t > b$  may be viewed as a sample from  $f(\theta, \phi|x)$  ( $b$  denotes the burn-in).

(c) We wish to sample from  $\theta_i | \phi, \theta_{-i}, x \sim \text{Gamma}(x_i + 1, \phi + s_i)$  using the Metropolis-Hastings algorithm. At time  $t$  of the Gibbs sampler we sample from  $\text{Gamma}(x_i + 1, \phi^{(t-1)} + s_i)$  and thus our target distribution is  $\pi(\theta_i) \propto \theta_i^{x_i} \exp(-( \phi^{(t-1)} + s_i ) \theta_i)$ . The M-H algorithm is thus:

- 1) Choose an arbitrary starting point  $\theta_i^{(0)}$  for which  $\pi(\theta_i) > 0$ .
- 2) At time  $s$ ,
  - a) Sample proposal  $\theta_i^*$  from  $q(\theta_i | \theta_i^{(s-1)})$ , the proposal distribution.
  - b) Calculate the acceptance probability
 
$$\alpha(\theta_i^{(s-1)}, \theta_i^*) = \min \left( 1, \frac{\pi(\theta_i^*) q(\theta_i^{(s-1)} | \theta_i^*)}{\pi(\theta_i^{(s-1)}) q(\theta_i^* | \theta_i^{(s-1)})} \right)$$

$$= \min \left( 1, \frac{\theta_i^{*x_i} \exp(-( \phi^{(t-1)} + s_i ) \theta_i^*) q(\theta_i^{(s-1)} | \theta_i^*)}{\theta_i^{(s-1)x_i} \exp(-( \phi^{(t-1)} + s_i ) \theta_i^{(s-1)}) q(\theta_i^* | \theta_i^{(s-1)})} \right)$$

7

Total



ADAPTED FOR OPEN BOOK ASSESSMENT

Unit Code MA40181	Unit Title TOPICS IN BAYESIAN STATISTICS		
Academic Year 2019/20	Examiner SIMON SHAW		
Semester II	Question No. 3	Page 4	of 5

Part	Mark
<p>c) Generate <math>U \sim U(0,1)</math></p> <p>d) If <math>U \leq \alpha(Q_i^{(s-1)}, Q_i^*)</math> accept the proposal, <math>Q_i^{(s)} = Q_i^*</math>. Otherwise reject the proposal and set <math>Q_i^{(s)} = Q_i^{(s-1)}</math>.</p> <p>3). Repeat step 2).</p> <p>The chain is run until convergence. After this observations are from <math>\pi(Q_i)</math>. Thus, if <math>b</math> denotes the length of the burn-in, <math>Q_i^{(b+1)}</math> is a sample from <math>\pi(Q_i)</math> and so, in the Gibbs sampler, set <math>Q_i^{(t)} = Q_i^{(b+1)}</math>.</p> <p>4)</p> $P(Q > a   x) = \int_a^\infty f(Q x) dQ = \int_{-\infty}^\infty \mathbb{I}_{\{Q > a\}} f(Q x) dQ$ $= \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{\mathbb{I}_{\{Q > a\}} f(Q x)}{g(Q)} g(Q) dQ = E_g \left( \frac{\mathbb{I}_{\{Q > a\}} f(Q x)}{g(Q)} \right)$ <p>Draw a random sample <math>Q_1, \dots, Q_N</math> from <math>g(Q)</math> and estimate <math>P(Q &gt; a   x)</math> by <math>\hat{I} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\mathbb{I}_{\{Q_i &gt; a\}} f(Q_i x)}{g(Q_i)}</math>.</p> <p>3</p> <p>e) <math>\text{Var}(\hat{I}   Q \sim g(Q)) = \frac{1}{N} \text{Var} \left( \frac{g(Q)f(Q x)}{g(Q)} \mid Q \sim g(Q) \right)</math> as <math>Q_i</math> iid from <math>g(Q)</math>. Thus,</p> $\text{Var}(\hat{I}   Q \sim g(Q)) = \frac{1}{N} \left\{ E \left( \frac{g^2(Q)f^2(Q x)}{g^2(Q)} \mid Q \sim g(Q) \right) - E^2 \left( \frac{g(Q)f(Q x)}{g(Q)} \mid Q \sim g(Q) \right) \right\}$	
Total	



ADAPTED FOR OPEN BOOK ASSESSMENT

Unit Code	MA40189	Unit Title	TOPICS IN BAYESIAN STATISTICS
Academic Year	2019/20	Examiner	SIMON SHAW
Semester	II	Question No.	3
		Page	5 of 5
Part		Mark	
$= \frac{1}{N} \left\{ E \left( \frac{g^2(\theta) f(\theta X)}{q(\theta)} \mid X \right) - E^2(g(\theta) X) \right\}$ <p>by changing the measure</p> <p>Thus, choosing <math>q(\theta)</math> to minimise <math>E \left( \frac{g^2(\theta) f(\theta X)}{q(\theta)} \mid X \right)</math> will minimise</p> <p><math>\text{Var}(\hat{I} \mid \theta \sim q(\theta))</math> and any <math>q(\theta)</math> doing so is optimal.</p>			
Total			20



ADAPTED FOR OPEN BOOK ASSESSMENT

Unit Code MA40189	Unit Title TOPICS IN BAYESIAN STATISTICS		
Academic Year 2019/20	Examiner SIMON SHAW		
Semester II	Question No. 4	Page 1	of 4
Part			Mark

(a) The risk of decision  $d$  is

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(\pi, d) &= E \{ g(\theta)(\theta - d)^2 \} \quad (\text{wrt } \pi(\theta)) \\ &= E(g(\theta)\theta^2) - 2d E(g(\theta)\theta) + d^2 E(g(\theta))\end{aligned}$$

We choose  $d$  to minimise this:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial d} \rho(\pi, d) = -2 E(g(\theta)\theta) + 2d E(g(\theta))$$

$$\text{so } d^* = \frac{E(g(\theta)\theta)}{E(g(\theta))}$$

which is a minimum for  $g(\theta) > 0$ . The corresponding Bayes risk is

$$\begin{aligned}\rho^*(\pi) &= \rho(\pi, d^*) = E(g(\theta)\theta^2) - 2 \frac{E^2(g(\theta)\theta)}{E(g(\theta))} + \frac{E^2(g(\theta)\theta)}{E(g(\theta))} \\ &= E(g(\theta)\theta^2) - \frac{E^2(g(\theta)\theta)}{E(g(\theta))}\end{aligned}$$

When  $g(\theta) = 1$ ,  $d^* = E(\theta)$  (the mean) and  $\rho^*(\pi) = \text{Var}(\theta)$  (the variance).

In the change to the open book exam, this question was re-phrased to ask for the solution rather than to show that the solution was of a given form. As such, the model solution is unchanged.

Total

4



ADAPTED FOR OPEN BOOK ASSESSMENT

Unit Code MA40189	Unit Title TOPICS IN BAYESIAN STATISTICS		
Academic Year 2019/20	Examiner SIMON SHAW		
Semester II	Question No. 4	Page 2	of 4

Part

Mark

(b) We have  $g(\theta) = \theta^{-3}$ . For the immediate decision, the Bayes rule is  $d^* = \frac{E(\theta^{-2})}{E(\theta^{-3})}$

Now as  $\theta \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} E(\theta^{-k}) &= \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\beta^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \theta^{(\alpha-k)-1} e^{-\beta\theta} d\theta \\ &= \frac{\beta^k \Gamma(\alpha-k)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\beta^{\alpha-k}}{\Gamma(\alpha-k)} \theta^{(\alpha-k)-1} e^{-\beta\theta} d\theta \\ &= \frac{\beta^k \Gamma(\alpha-k)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \text{ provided that } \alpha > k. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Hence, } E(\theta^{-3}) = \frac{\beta^3 \Gamma(\alpha-3)}{\Gamma(\alpha)}, \quad E(\theta^{-2}) = \frac{\beta^2 \Gamma(\alpha-2)}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$$

$$\text{so that } d^* = \frac{\beta^2 \Gamma(\alpha-2)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \times \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\beta^3 \Gamma(\alpha-3)} = \frac{\alpha-3}{\beta}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{The Bayes risk is } \rho^*(f(\theta)) &= E(\theta^{-1}) - \frac{E^2(\theta^{-2})}{E(\theta^{-3})} \\ &= \frac{\beta \Gamma(\alpha-1)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} - \beta^2 \frac{\Gamma(\alpha-2)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left( \frac{\alpha-3}{\beta} \right) \\ &= \frac{\beta}{\alpha-1} - \frac{\beta(\alpha-3)}{(\alpha-1)(\alpha-2)} = \frac{\beta}{(\alpha-1)(\alpha-2)} \end{aligned}$$

5

Total

ADAPTED FOR OPEN BOOK ASSESSMENT

Unit Code MA40189	Unit Title TOPICS IN BAYESIAN STATISTICS.		
Academic Year 2019/20	Examiner SIMON SHAW		
Semester II	Question No. 4	Page 3	of 4

Part	Mark
------	------

$$(c) f(x|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i|\theta) \propto \theta^n \exp(-\theta \sum_{i=1}^n x_i)$$

$$f(\theta) \propto \theta^{\alpha-1} \exp(-\beta\theta)$$

Thus,  $f(\theta|x) \propto \theta^{(\alpha+n)-1} \exp(-\theta(\beta + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i))$  so that  
 $\theta|x \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha+n, \beta + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i)$ .

We may exploit conjugacy to find  $d^+(x) = \frac{\alpha+n-3}{\beta + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}$  with

$$\text{risk } \frac{\beta + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{(\alpha+n-1)(\alpha+n-2)}.$$

3

(d) With  $\lambda=1$ , the risk of the sampling procedure is  $E\left(\frac{\beta + \sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{(\alpha+n-1)(\alpha+n-2)}\right)$

Now,  $E(X_i) = E(E(X_i|\theta)) = E(\theta^{-1}) = \frac{\beta}{\alpha-1}$  so that

$$E\left(\frac{\beta + \sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{(\alpha+n-1)(\alpha+n-2)}\right) = \frac{\beta + \frac{n\beta}{\alpha-1}}{(\alpha+n-1)(\alpha+n-2)} = \frac{\beta}{(\alpha-1)(\alpha+n-2)}$$

The total risk is  $R_n = nc + \frac{\beta}{(\alpha-1)(\alpha+n-2)}$

$$\text{Hence, } \frac{dR_n}{dn} = c - \frac{\beta}{(\alpha-1)(\alpha+n-2)^2}$$

Total



ADAPTED FOR OPEN BOOK ASSESSMENT

Unit Code MA40189	Unit Title TOPICS IN BAYESIAN STATISTICS		
Academic Year 2019/20	Examiner SIMON SHAW		
Semester II	Question No. 4	Page 4	of 4
Part	Solving to 0 gives $(\alpha+n-2)^2 = \frac{\beta}{c(\alpha-1)}$ $\Rightarrow n = \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{c(\alpha-1)}} - (\alpha-2)$ (Sample if $n > 0$ otherwise don't sample). (c) $E(L_1(\theta, d)) = (\theta_2 - \theta_1) + \int_{-\infty}^{\theta_1} \frac{2}{\alpha} (\theta_1 - \theta) \pi(\theta) d\theta + \int_{\theta_2}^{\infty} \frac{2}{\alpha} (\theta - \theta_2) \pi(\theta) d\theta$ $= (\theta_2 - \theta_1) + \frac{2}{\alpha} \theta_1 P(\theta \leq \theta_1) - \frac{2}{\alpha} \int_{-\infty}^{\theta_1} \theta \pi(\theta) d\theta$ $+ \frac{2}{\alpha} \left[ E(\theta) - \int_{-\infty}^{\theta_2} \theta \pi(\theta) d\theta \right] - \frac{2}{\alpha} \theta_2 P(\theta \geq \theta_2)$ $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1} E(L_1(\theta, d)) = -1 + \frac{2}{\alpha} P(\theta \leq \theta_1) + \frac{2}{\alpha} \theta_1 \pi(\theta_1) - \frac{2}{\alpha} \theta_1 \pi(\theta_1)$ Hence, $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1} E(L_1(\theta, d)) = 0$ when $P(\theta \leq \theta_1) = \frac{\alpha}{2}$ $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_2} E(L_1(\theta, d)) = 1 - \frac{2}{\alpha} \theta_2 \pi(\theta_2) - \frac{2}{\alpha} P(\theta \geq \theta_2) + \frac{2}{\alpha} \theta_2 \pi(\theta_2)$ Hence, $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_2} E(L_1(\theta, d)) = 0$ when $1 - \frac{2}{\alpha} P(\theta \geq \theta_2) = 0$ i.e. $P(\theta \geq \theta_2) = \frac{\alpha}{2}$ Hence, the Bayes rule is $d^* = (\theta_1^*, \theta_2^*)$ when $P(\theta \leq \theta_1^*) = \frac{\alpha}{2} = P(\theta \geq \theta_2^*)$		Mark
		Total	20