Note from Polytoms (loss 2.  
Let 
$$X = (X_{1,...}, Y_p) \sim N_p(O, I_p)$$
 when  
 $O = (O_{1,...,} O_p)^T$  and  $I_p$  is the pxp idently motion  
and  $p \ge 3$ .  
Thus, Ihe Xis an independent  $N(O(1, 1))$  with  
 $f_X(x_{1...,} x_p |O|) = \frac{T}{|X|} \frac{1}{|Y|_{ZX}} exap \left\{ -\frac{(x_1 - O_1)^2}{2} \right\}$   
The a single descenden  $X = 2$ , the maximum likelihood attinuite is a (i.e.  $y_1 = O_1$   
for a single descenden  $X = 2$ , the maximum likelihood attinuite is a (i.e.  $y_1 = O_1$   
for each i ) which is unbrinsed.  
Consider estimation of O using quedenties loss  
 $L(O, X) = (O - X)^T (O - d) = \sum_{i=1}^{P} (O_1 - A_i)^2$   
for duision  $d = (A_{1,...,}A_p)^T \in \mathbb{R}^p$ .  
The CLASSION QISK of  $\mathbb{T}^o(X) = X$  is  
 $R(O, \mathbb{T}^o) = \mathbb{H} [L(O, \mathbb{T}^o(X)) | O ]$   
This could be a  $P$  p X is tracked as reaction for fixed O.  
 $f_{O_1}$  the  $I = (P - X_1)^2 | O ]$   
 $= p$ .

(onside the set of JAMES- STEIN ESTIMATORS,  

$$5^{*}(X) = \left(1 - \frac{a}{X^{T}X}\right)X$$
for a = 0, to a= 0 gives  $5^{\circ}(X) = X$  ]. Note that if  $X^{T}X > 0$  then  
 $5^{\circ}(X)$  shrinks  $X$  towards 0.  
We made conside the chanism high of  $5^{\circ}(X)$  under graduatic loss, horder to  
be so we will read to make use of STEIN'S LETITIA  
For  $X \sim N(0, T_{p})$  and  $g(X)$  suitably behaved read valued function,  
 $E(g(X)(X_{i} - 0;) | 0) = E[\frac{2}{3X_{i}} | 0]$   
In one-huminian both the extension is clear,  
 $E(g(X)(Y_{i} - 0;) | 0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(X) \frac{1}{N^{T}x_{i}} (x_{i} - 0;) \exp\left\{-(x_{i} - 0;)^{2}\right\}$   
and so  $T$  can do this integral by parts  
 $e_{i}$ ,  $n = g(X)$   $\partial_{i} = \frac{2g(X)}{3x_{i}}$   $\partial_{i} = \frac{1}{N^{T}x_{i}} \exp\left\{-(x_{i} - 0;)^{2}\right\}$ 

Philippin	the	Durts	together	ch 200
J		)	J	J

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\int (x) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(x_{1}-\theta_{1})^{2}}{2}\right\} = \infty$$

$$+ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial g(x)}{\partial x_{1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(x_{1}-\theta_{1})^{2}}{2}\right\} = \infty$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[-\frac{\partial g(x)}{\partial x_{1}}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(0 - \left(1 - \frac{x}{\sqrt{1}}\right)^{x}\right)^{T}\left(0 - \left(1 - \frac{x}{\sqrt{1}}\right)^{x}\right)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\left(0 - x\right) + \frac{x}{\sqrt{1}x}\right)^{T}\left(\left(0 - \left(1 - \frac{x}{\sqrt{1}}\right)^{x}\right)\right)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\left(0 - x\right) + \frac{x}{\sqrt{1}x}\right)^{T}\left(\left(0 - x\right) + \frac{x}{\sqrt{1}x}\right)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\left(0 - x\right)^{T}\left(0 - x\right) + \frac{x}{\sqrt{1}x}\right) = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\left(0 - x\right)^{T}\left(0 - x\right) + \frac{x}{\sqrt{1}x}\right)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\left(0 - x\right)^{T}\left(0 - x\right) + \frac{x}{\sqrt{1}x}\right) = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1}}\left(x - x\right) + \frac{x}{\sqrt{1}x}\right) = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{x}{\sqrt{1}}\left(x - \frac{x}{\sqrt{1}}\right) = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{1}}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{x}{\sqrt{1}}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1}}\right) = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{x}{\sqrt{1}}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1}}\right)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{x}{\sqrt{1}}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1}}\right) = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{x}{\sqrt{1$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{p X^{T} X - 2}{|X^{T} X|^{2}} | Q \right]$$

$$= l_{p} - 2) \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{1}{|X^{T} X|^{2}} | Q \right].$$
Heree,  

$$R(Q, 5^{*}(X)) = p + (a^{2} - 2a(p-2)) \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{1}{|X^{T} X|} | Q \right]$$

$$R(Q, 5^{*}(X)) = p + (a^{2} - 2a(p-2)) \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{1}{|X^{T} X|} | Q \right]$$

$$R(Q, 5^{*}(X))$$
Now,  $X^{T} X \ge 0$  and so  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{1}{|X^{T} X|} | Q \right] \ge 0$  Hen if  

$$a^{2} - 2a(p-2) < 0 \qquad (subtain a baits for)$$
i.e.  $0 \le a < 2(p-2)$  (subtain a baits for)  
i.e.  $0 \le a < 2(p-2)$  (subtain a baits for)  
we have  $R(Q, 5^{*}(X)) < R(Q, 5^{*}(X))$  for all Q  
Then,  $5^{*}(X) = (2a - 2(p-2)) \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{1}{|X^{T} X|} | Q \right]$ 

$$\Rightarrow a = p - 2 \text{ is He minimum}$$
Note if  $0 = 0$  Hen  $X^{T} X \sim \chi^{2} p$  so that  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{1}{|X^{T} X|} | Q \right] = \frac{1}{p-2}$ 
so then  $R(Q, 5^{*}(X)) = p$  and  $R(Q, 5^{p^{*2}}(X)) = 2$   
areak so  $R(Q, 5^{p+1}(X)) < R(Q, 5^{p(X)})$  for p large

Note: 
$$5^{\circ}(X) = \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{X^{T}X}\right)^{X}$$
 and so the iterm is

This phreimenon is known as STEIN'S PHENOTTENOTI and it can be shown to  
occur in many situations when comparing them or more populations.  
Occurs because the loss function is during with SITURTANEOUS estimation of ALL  
parameters. It's an ON ENERGE property. If you had a loss function that  
related to just an individual comparent the 
$$x_i$$
 would be fire.  
Strinkarge: reduce variance at the imperse of bias.  
In Buyesian statistics, the prior and the likelihood an combined within the posterior:  
me "phill" the likelihood towards the prior.  
Posterior estimate often second classical estimate pulled towards a corresponding prior.  
[ loss function is  $L(0, d) = (0 - d)^{2} (0 - d)$   
 $= \sum_{i=1}^{2} (0_{i} - d_{i})^{2} (- total sum of theindividual lossesArm to minimise loss : this loss functions down them on average vieross all  $0_{i}$  ]$ 

 $(\alpha M_0 + (1 - \alpha) \alpha_i)$  And the variance of the posterior is smaller  $(\alpha M_0 + (1 - \alpha) \alpha_i)$  And the durning variance. Suppose I take Q: ~ N(Mo, 002) independent Hen  $\emptyset_{i} |_{\lambda_{i}} = \mathbb{N} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_{o}^{2}} + \frac{1}{1} \right)^{2} \left( \frac{M_{o}}{\sigma_{o}^{2}} + \frac{2\iota_{i}}{1} \right)^{2} + \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_{o}^{2}} + \frac{1}{1} \right)^{2} \right]$ weighted average of Mo and se Under graderatic loss, the Buys rule for this prior is the posterior expectation E[O[X] ord if  $\sigma_0^2 \implies \infty$  E[O[X]  $\implies X$ . Inudmissible 5°(X) means I curit find a proper prior for which 5°(X) is Al Bayes rule I of the postmior decision I. Here it is essentially, the Bayes rule of an improper uniform Normal mobil: mle 2, variance o2 [ 72, 02/n]