

Thm 5.4 Suppose  $\eta$  is a PPP on  $X$  with  $(*)$   
 $\sigma$ -finite mean measure  $\lambda$ , and  $C_1, C_2$   
 are disjoint in  $X$ . Then

$\eta|_{C_1}, \eta|_{C_2}, \dots$  are indep PPPs  $(*)$

with mean measures  $\lambda|_{C_1}, \lambda|_{C_2}, \dots$

where  $\mu|_C(A) = \mu(A \cap C), A \in \mathcal{X}$ .

Proof If  $\eta \stackrel{d}{=} \eta'$  and  $(*)$  holds for  $\eta'$

then it also holds for  $\eta$ . By superposition

(Thm 4.1)

we may take  $\eta' = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \eta_i'$  where

$\eta_i'$  is a PPP with intensity  $\lambda|_{C_i}$  and  $(\eta_i', i \geq 1)$

are indep. Then by construction  $(*)$  holds

for  $\eta'$ , and hence also for  $\eta$ .

Thm 5.5 (Colouring of PPP) Suppose (4.2)

For  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $P_i : X \rightarrow [0,1]$  is measurable

with  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P_i(x) = 1 \quad \forall x \in X$ .

Suppose  $\gamma$  is a PPP on  $X$  with  $\sigma$ -finite mean measure  $\lambda$ . Suppose  $K$  is

a stochastic kernel from  $X$  to  $\mathbb{N}$  given by

$$K(x, \{i\}) = P_i(x)$$

Then if  $\theta$  is the  $K$ -marking of  $\gamma$

$$\text{and } \eta_i(\cdot) = \theta(\cdot \times \{i\})$$

then  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots$  are PPPs on  $X$ ,

$\eta_i$  with mean measure  $P_i(x) \lambda(dx)$

Proof By Thm 5.3,  $\theta$  is a PPP on  $X \times \mathbb{N}$  with

mean measure  $\lambda \otimes K$ .  $(\lambda \otimes K)(A \times \{i\}) = \int_A P_i(x) \lambda(dx)$

By Thm 5.4,  $\theta|_{X \times \{1\}}, \theta|_{X \times \{2\}}, \dots$

are indep Poisson process,

02/04/12 16:

and  $\theta |_{X \times \{i\}}$  has mean measure

$\lambda \otimes \kappa |_{X \times \{i\}}$ . By def.,  $\forall A \in \mathcal{X}, i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\theta |_{X \times \{i\}} (A \times \{i\}) = \eta_i (A)$$

and

$$\lambda \otimes \alpha |_{X \times \{i\}} (A \times \{i\}) = \int_A p_i(x) \lambda(dx)$$

So  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots$  are indep PPPs and

$\eta_i$  has mean measure  $p_i(x) \lambda(dx)$ ,

5 Borel spaces A Borel space is a measurable space  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  such that  $\exists$  Borel  $A \subseteq [0, 1]$  and bisection  $\phi: X \rightarrow A$  with  $\phi, \phi^{-1}$  measurable (using Borel  $\sigma$ -alg on  $[0, 1]$ )

Thm 5.1 If  $X$  is a CMS (with Borel  $\sigma$ -alg) it is a Borel space.

PF Wlog  $0 < d(x, y) < 1 \forall x, y \in X$ ; If not replace by  $d(x, y) \wedge 1$ , also a metric.

Let  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$  be dense in  $X$ . Let  $\alpha: X \rightarrow [0, 1]^\omega$  be given by  $\alpha(x) = (d(x, x_1), d(x, x_2), \dots)$ .

Then  $\alpha$  is cts: if  $y_n \rightarrow y$  then  $(y_n) \rightarrow (y)$ . Also  $\alpha^{-1}: \alpha(X) \rightarrow X$  is cts: if  $\alpha(y_n) \rightarrow \alpha(y)$

then  $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists x_m$  with  $d(y, x_m) < \epsilon$  so for  $n$  large  $d(y_n, x_m) < \epsilon$  so  $d(y_n, y) < \epsilon$

- (i) Will show  $\alpha(X)$  is measurable in  $[0, 1]^\omega$
- (ii)  $[0, 1]^\omega$  is a Borel space.
- (i) Set  $U_n = \{z \in \alpha(X) : z \text{ has a nhd. } N_{z, n} \text{ with diam}(N_{z, n}) < \frac{1}{n}, \text{ diam } \alpha(N_{z, n} \cap \alpha(S)) < \frac{1}{n}\}$

$\alpha(X) \subset U_n$  by def. of  $\alpha^{-1}$

If  $x \in U_n$  then  $y \in \overline{\alpha(X)}$  close to  $x$  is also in  $N_{x,n}$   
 so  $y \in U_n$ , so  $U_n$  is open in  $\overline{\alpha(X)}$

Will show  $\bigcap_n U_n = \alpha(X)$ .

Suppose  $x \in \bigcap_n U_n$ . Then  $x \in \overline{\alpha(X)}$ , pick  $y_n \in X$   
 with  $\alpha(y_n) \in \bigcap_{k \leq n} N_{x,k}$ . Then for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$\alpha(y_n) \in N_{x,n}$ ,  $\alpha(y_m) \in N_{x,n}$

$d(y_n, y_m) \leq 1/n$  so  $y_n \rightarrow y_0$  some  $y_0 \in X$  (Cauchy)

$d(\alpha(y_0), x) \leq d(\alpha(y_0), \alpha(y_n)) + d(\alpha(y_n), x)$

$\rightarrow$  since  $y_n \rightarrow y_0$ ,  $\alpha(y_n) \in N_{x,n}$

so  $\alpha(y_0) = x$ ,  $x \in \alpha(X)$ , so  $\bigcap_n U_n \subset \alpha(X)$

But also  $\alpha(X) \subset \bigcap_n U_n$  so  $\alpha(X) = \bigcap_n U_n$

$\alpha(X)$  is Borel in  $[0,1]^\omega$ .

$U_n$  open in  $[0,1]^\omega$

(ii)  $[0,1]^\omega$  is Borel. Consider

$\psi: [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]^\omega$  as follows: For

$x \in [0,1] = 0.x_1x_2\dots$  binary expansion (terminates if  $x$  is a dyadic rational)  
 $\psi(x) = (0.x_1x_3x_5\dots, 0.x_2x_6x_{10}\dots, 0.x_4x_{12}x_{20}\dots, \dots)$

This  $\phi$  is continuous.

$\phi^{-1}$  also contin.

Let  $\beta$  be restr. of  $\phi^{-1}$  to  $\alpha(X)$

$\beta \circ \alpha$  is over  $\phi_*$

Suppose  $X$  is a LIMS (and  $\mathcal{B}$  the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra)

A measure  $\lambda$  on  $X$  is said to be

diffuse if  $\lambda(\{x\}) = 0 \quad \forall x \in X$ .

Thm 6.2 Suppose  $\gamma$  is a <sup>locally finite</sup> Pt pr. in a  $(\mathcal{F})$   
 CSMS  $X$  (with Borel  $\sigma$ -alg.  $\mathcal{X}$ ). Then

$\exists$  RVs  $K, \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots \in K \subset \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$ -valued  
 $\xi_i: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ -valued, s.t.

$$\gamma = \sum_{i=1}^K \xi_i$$

proof <sup>1st assume  $\gamma(X) < \infty$</sup>  let  $\phi$  be a measurable bijection

from  $X$  to a meas. subset of  $[0, 1]$

with meas. inverse, set  $\theta = \phi(\gamma) = \gamma \circ \phi^{-1}$

set  $K = \gamma(X)$  and for  $1 \leq i \leq K$

$$Y_i = \inf \{x : \theta([0, x]) \geq i\} \quad \begin{matrix} i \leq K \\ i \geq K \end{matrix}$$

then  $\theta(A) = \sum_{i=1}^K \delta_{Y_i}(A)$  for

all  $A$  of the form  $(-\infty, x]$ ,  (or IT-systems)

and hence for all Borel  $A \subset [0, 1]$

Also for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ :  $\{Y_i \leq x\} = \{\theta([0, x]) \geq i\}$  so  $Y_i$  is a RV

Then  $\theta = \sum_{i=1}^K \delta_{Y_i}$  and  $\gamma = \sum_{i=1}^K \delta_{\phi^{-1}(Y_i)}$

drop assumption  $\gamma(X) = \infty$ ,

(49)

Let  $x_0 \in X$ ,  $B_n = B(x_0, n)$ ,  $A_n = B_n \setminus B_{n-1}$   
( $A_1 = B_1$ ).

Assuming  $\gamma$  locally finite

if  $\gamma(B) \neq \infty$   $\forall$  ball  $B$ , so can write

$\gamma = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \gamma_i$  with  $\gamma_i = \gamma|_{A_i}$   
 $\gamma_i(A_i) < \infty$   $\forall i$  so by earlier (a)

$$\gamma_i = \sum_{j=1}^{K_i} \delta_{x_{ij}}$$

Using (\*) & re-numbering, can deduce

$$\gamma = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_{x_i} \quad \text{as required.}$$



$$\underline{N}_s^{n, k} = \bigcup \left\{ \mu \in \underline{N} : \begin{array}{l} \phi(\mu|_{B_n}) [0, q_i) = i \\ \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq k-1 \\ \text{and } \mu(B_n) = k \end{array} \right\} \quad (50)$$

$0 < q_1 < \dots < q_{k-1} < 1$   
 $q_i$ : rational

$$= \bigcup \left\{ \mu \in \underline{N} : \begin{array}{l} \mu(B_n \cap \phi^{-1}(0, q_i)) = 0, 1 \leq i \leq k-1 \\ \text{and } \mu(B_n) = k \end{array} \right\}$$

$\in \underline{N}$