

correction

In Thm (4.1) (superposition) need to assume

$$\lambda := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i \text{ is } \sigma\text{-finite.}$$

The Mecke equation

Motivation Consider PP η in \mathbb{R}^2 mean meas $\lambda(x)dx$

Let $W \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ bounded eg $[0,1]^2$

and writing $\eta = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_{\xi_i}$, set

$$Z = \sum_{i: \xi_i \in W} h(D_i) \text{ with } h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ given}$$

$$D_i = \min_{j \neq i} |\xi_i - \xi_j|$$

may want $E Z, E Z^2$. Intuition:

$$Z = \sum_{dx \in W} h(D_x) \mathbb{1}_{\{\eta(dx)=1\}}$$

$$E Z = \int_W E h(D_x) \underbrace{\lambda(dx)}_{\mathbb{P}[\eta(dx)=1]}$$

$D_x = \text{dist } x \text{ to nearest pt } \xi_i$

Given $\eta(dx)=1$, the rest of η is distributed as before.

Thm 4.1 (Mecke eq). If λ is a (σ-finite) (26)
 meas. on (X, \mathcal{X}) & γ a PPP on X
 with mean meas. λ , and $f \in \mathbb{R}_+(X \times \mathbb{N})$

then

$$E \int f(x, \gamma) \gamma(dx) \quad (*)$$

$$= \int E f(x, \gamma + \delta_x) \lambda(dx)$$

Remarks (i) if $f(x, \gamma)$ depends only on x ,
 this reduces to Campbell's formula for the PPP.

(ii) writing $\gamma = \sum_{i=1}^K \delta_{\xi_i}$, $\xi_i \in X$,

LHS of (*) equals $\sum_{i=1}^K f(\xi_i, \gamma)$

(like 2 in example)

(iii) Proof: special case of next thm.

In our example,

$$Z^2 = \sum_i \sum_j h(D_i) h(D_j)$$

$$= \underbrace{\sum_i h(D_i)^2}_{Z_1} + \underbrace{\sum_{i \neq j} h(D_i) h(D_j)}_{Z_2}$$

Intuition for $E Z_2$:

$$Z_2 = \sum_{\substack{dx \ dy \\ y \neq x}} h(D_x) h(D_y) \quad \Gamma \{ \eta(dx)=1, \eta(dy)=1 \}$$

$$E Z_2 = \int_W \int_W E h(D_x^y) h(D_y^x) \lambda(x) dx \lambda(y) dy$$

$D_{x|y}^y = \text{dist } x \text{ to nearest pt of } \{x_i\} \cup \{y\}$

Defn Given (X, μ) & $\mu \in \underline{N}(X)$; define the factorial moment measure $\mu^{(k)} \in \underline{N}(X^k)$ (pt meas. on $\overline{X \times X \times \dots \times X}$) as follows.

If $\mu = \sum_i \delta_{x_i}$, set $\mu^{(k)} = \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_k)}^{\neq} \delta_{(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k})}$

so for $f \in \mathbb{R}_+(X^k)$

$$\int_{X^k} f d\mu^{(k)} = \sum_{i_1} \dots \sum_{i_k}^{\neq} f(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k})$$

In general, For $A \subset X^k$ set

$$\mu^{(k)}(A) = \int_X \mu(dx_1) \int_X (\mu - \delta_{x_1})(dx_2) \int_X (\mu - dx_1 - dx_2)(dx_3) \dots \int_X (\mu - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \delta_{x_i})(dx_k) \mathbb{1}_A(x_1, \dots, x_k)$$

Thm 4.2 (Multivariate Mecke eqn). Let γ be a PPP on X with mean meas λ (σ -finite). Let

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $f \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ($X^k \times \mathbb{N}$)^k [writing $f(x_1, \dots, x_k; m)$ for $f((x_1, \dots, x_k), m)$]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \int_{X^k} f(x_1, \dots, x_k; \gamma) \gamma^{(k)}(d(x_1, \dots, x_k)) \\ = \int_X \dots \int_X \mathbb{E} \left[f(x_1, \dots, x_k; \gamma) + \sum_{i=1}^k \delta_{x_i} \right] \lambda(dx_1) \lambda(dx_2) \dots \lambda(dx_k) \end{aligned}$$

[special case: $f(x_1, \dots, x_k; \gamma) = g(x_1, \dots, x_k)$]

$$\mathbb{E} \int_{X^k} g(x_1, \dots, x_k) \gamma^{(k)}(d(x_1, \dots, x_k))$$

$$= \int_X \dots \int_X g(x_1, \dots, x_k) \lambda(dx_1) \dots \lambda(dx_k) \quad \text{multiple comp ball}$$

Proof Suppose $\lambda(X) = \gamma < \infty$. Let (24)

(X, Y_1, Y_2, \dots) be indep X -valued

$$P[Y_i \in \cdot] = Q(\cdot) = \frac{\lambda(\cdot)}{\gamma} \quad \text{set}$$

$\xi_k = \sum_{i=1}^k \delta_{Y_i}$. Then by mixed bin rep conditioning on value of $\eta(X)$:

$$E \int_{X^k} f(x_1, \dots, x_k; \eta) \eta^{(k)}(d(x_1, \dots, x_k))$$

$$= \sum_{m=k}^{\infty} e^{-\gamma} \frac{\gamma^m}{m!} E \left[\sum_{i_1 \in m, \dots, i_k \in m} f(Y_{i_1}, \dots, Y_{i_k}; \xi_m) \right]$$

$$= \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} e^{-\gamma} \frac{\gamma^m}{m!} \frac{m!}{(m-k)!} E \left[f(Y_{i_1}, \dots, Y_{i_k}; \sum_{i=1}^k \delta_{Y_i} + \xi_{m-k}^{\downarrow}) \right]$$

indep copy of ξ_{m-k}
↓

[put $n = m - k$]

$$= \gamma^k \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-\gamma} \frac{\gamma^n}{n!} E \left[f(Y_{i_1}, \dots, Y_{i_k}; \sum_{i=1}^k \delta_{Y_i} + \xi_n^{\downarrow}) \right]$$

$$= \gamma^k \int Q(dy_1) \dots \int Q(dy_n) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-\gamma} \frac{\gamma^n}{n!} E \left[f(y_1, \dots, y_k; \sum_{i=1}^k \delta_{y_i} + \xi_n^{\downarrow}) \right]$$

(30)

$$= \int \lambda(dy_1) \dots \int \lambda(dy_k) E \left[f(y_1, \dots, y_k; \eta + \sum_{i=1}^k \delta_{y_i} \right]$$

Now suppose $\lambda(x) = \infty$. Take measures d_i

with $\lambda_i(x) < \infty$, $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i$. Then

may assume $\eta = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \eta_i$ $\eta_i = \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} \delta_{y_{ij}}$

$Y_{ij} \sim \frac{\lambda_i(\cdot)}{\lambda_i(x)}$, $K_{ij} \sim P_0(\lambda_i(x))$.

put $\sigma_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i$, $\tau_i = \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \eta_i$.

$$E \int_{X^k} f(x_1, \dots, x_k; \eta^{(k)}) (d\alpha_1, \dots, d\alpha_k)$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E \int_{X^k} f(x_1, \dots, x_k; \eta) \sigma_n^{(k)} d(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$$

because $\int \dots dy^{(k)} = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k}^+ f(y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_k}; \eta)$

with $\sigma_n^{(k)}$, smaller but with i_1, \dots, i_k all $\leq n$

$$E \int_{X^k} f(x_1, \dots, x_k; \eta) \cdot \eta^{(k)} (d(x_1, \dots, x_k)) \quad (31)$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E \int_{X^k} f(x_1, \dots, x_k; \sigma_n + \tau_n) \sigma_n^{(k)} (d(x_1, \dots, x_k))$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E \int_{X^k} g_n(x_1, \dots, x_k; \sigma_n) \sigma_n^{(k)} (d(x_1, \dots, x_k))$$

where $g_n(x_1, \dots, x_k, \mu) = E f(x_1, \dots, x_k; \mu + \tau_n)$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{X^k} E g_n(x_1, \dots, x_k; \sigma_n + \sum_{i=1}^k \delta_{x_i}) \tilde{\lambda}_n(d(x_1)) \cdot \tilde{\lambda}_n(d(x_k))$$

where $\tilde{\lambda}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{X^k} E \left[f(x_1, \dots, x_k; \eta + \sum_{i=1}^k \delta_{x_i}) \right] \tilde{\lambda}_n(d(x_1)) \cdot \tilde{\lambda}_n(d(x_k))$$

$g(x_1, \dots, x_k)$

$$= \int_{X^k} g(x_1, \dots, x_k) \lambda(d(x_1)) \cdot \lambda(d(x_k))$$