- 44. Suppose  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  is a measure space and  $F_n \subset X$  with  $F_n \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $\mu(F_n) < \infty$ ,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose also that  $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{M}$  is a  $\pi$ -system in X with  $F_n \in \mathcal{D}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\nu$  is a measure on  $(X, \mathcal{M})$  such that  $\nu(A) = \mu(A)$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{D}$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  set  $E_n := \bigcup_{j=1}^n F_j$ .
  - (a) Use the inclusion-exclusion formula from Question 39 to show for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}, A \in \mathcal{D}$  that

$$\mu(E_n) = \nu(E_n); \qquad \mu(A \cap E_n) = \nu(A \cap E_n).$$

(b) Now suppose moreover that  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n = X$ . Show that  $\mu(A) = \nu(A)$  for all  $A \in \sigma(\mathcal{D})$ .

(a) Since  $\mathcal{D}$  is a  $\pi$ -system, any intersection of finitely many sets in  $\mathcal{D}$  is also in  $\mathcal{D}$ . In particular  $\bigcap_{i \in J} F_i \in \mathcal{D}$  for all  $J \in S(n)$ . Therefore using inclusion-exclusion,

$$\mu(E_n) = \sum_{J \in S(n)} (-1)^{|J|+1} \mu(\bigcap_{j \in J} F_j) = \sum_{J \in S(n)} (-1)^{|J|+1} \nu(\bigcap_{j \in J} F_j) = \nu(E_n).$$

Similarly, for  $A \in \mathcal{D}$  we have  $\bigcap_{j \in J} (A \cap F_j) \in \mathcal{D}$  for all  $J \in S(n)$ , so

$$\mu(A \cap E_n) = \mu(\bigcup_{j=1}^n (A \cap F_j)) = \sum_{J \in S(n)} (-1)^{|J|+1} \mu(\bigcap_{j \in J} (A \cap F_j))$$
$$= \sum_{J \in S(n)} (-1)^{|J|+1} \nu(\bigcap_{j \in J} (A \cap F_j)) = \nu(A \cap E_n).$$

(b) For  $n \geq N$  and  $A \in \mathcal{M}$  define

$$\mu_n(A) = \mu(A \cap E_n); \quad \nu_n(A) = \nu(A \cap E_n).$$

Then  $\mu_n$  is a measure on  $(X, \mathcal{M})$  since for  $A_1, A_2, \ldots$  pairwise disjoint in  $\mathcal{M}$  we have

$$\mu_n(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) = \mu((\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) \cap E_n) = \mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (A_i \cap E_n)) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_n(A_i).$$

Similarly  $\nu_n$  is a measure on  $(X, \mathcal{M})$ . Also  $\mu_n(X) = \mu(X \cap E_n) = \mu(E_n) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(F_i) < \infty$ .

For any  $A \in \mathcal{D}$ , by (a) we have  $\mu(A \cap E_n) = \nu(A \cap E_n)$  and  $\mu(E_n) = \nu(E_n)$ . Hence  $\mu_n(A) = \nu_n(A)$ for all  $A \in \mathcal{D}$ , and  $\mu_n(X) = \mu(E_n) = \nu(E_n) = \nu_n(X) < \infty$ . Hence we can apply Lemma 5.6 (uniqueness lemma for finite measures) to deduce that  $\mu_n(A) = \nu_n(A)$  for all  $A \in \sigma(\mathcal{D})$ . Thus for all  $A \in \sigma(\mathcal{D})$  we have

$$\mu(A \cap E_n) = \mu_n(A) = \nu_n(A) = \nu(A \cap E_n).$$

Finally, since  $(A \cap E_n) \subset (A \cap E_{n+1})$  for all  $n \geq N$  and  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (A \cap E_n) = A \cap (\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n) = A \cap X = A$ , using upward continuity we obtain for all  $A \in \sigma(\mathcal{D})$  that

$$\mu(A) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(A \cap E_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \nu(A \cap E_n) = \nu(A).$$

- 45. Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a probability space. Let  $f : \Omega \to [0, \infty]$  be measurable, i.e. f is a nonnegative random variable. For  $t \ge 0$  define  $L(t) := \int_{\Omega} e^{-tf(\omega)} \mu(d\omega)$  (the Laplace transform of f).
  - (a) Show that  $\lim_{t\to\infty} L(t) = \mu(\{\omega \in \Omega : f(\omega) = 0\})$ . Here we make the convention that  $e^{-\infty} = 0$ .
  - (b) Show that  $\lim_{t\downarrow 0} L(t) = \mu(\{\omega \in \Omega : f(\omega) < \infty\}).$
  - (c) Show that  $\lim_{t\downarrow 0} (t^{-1}(L(0) L(t))) = \int f d\mu$  if the integral on the right is finite. [Hint: use the fact that  $1 e^{-x} \leq x$  for  $x \geq 0$ ].

What about if the integral is infinite?

(a) Fix a sequence  $t_n \uparrow \infty$ . Let  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} e^{-t_n f(\omega)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } f(\omega) = 0; \\ 0 & \text{if } f(\omega) > 0. \end{cases}$$

Since  $t_n > 0$  for all n, we have the domination  $|e^{-t_n f(\omega)}| \leq 1$ , and here  $1 \in L^1(\mu)$ , since  $\int_{\Omega} 1 d\mu = \mu(\Omega) = 1 < \infty$ . Therefore, by the Dominated Convergence Theorem

$$L(t_n) = \int_{\Omega} e^{-t_n f} d\mu \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{1}_C d\mu = \mu(C),$$

where  $C = \{\omega \in \Omega : f(\omega) = 0\}$ . Since this convergence holds for any choice of  $t_n$  with  $t_n \uparrow \infty$ , it follows that  $L(t) \to \mu(C)$  as  $t \to \infty$ , as required.

[Here we are using: if  $F : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$  is a function and  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  are such that  $F(t_n) \to a$  for any sequence  $(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  with  $t_n \uparrow \infty$  as  $n \to \infty$ , then  $F(t) \to a$  as  $t \to \infty$ .]

(b) Fix a sequence  $t_n \downarrow 0$ . We have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} e^{-t_n f(\omega)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } f(\omega) < \infty; \\ 0 & \text{if } f(\omega) = \infty. \end{cases}$$

As in Part (a), since  $t_n > 0$  for all n, we have the domination  $|e^{-t_n f(\omega)}| \leq 1$ , and here  $1 \in L^1(\mu)$ , since  $\int_{\Omega} 1 d\mu = \mu(\Omega) = 1 < \infty$ . Therefore, by the Dominated Convergence Theorem

$$L(t_n) = \int_{\Omega} e^{-t_n f} d\mu \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{1}_D d\mu = \mu(D),$$

where  $D = \{\omega \in \Omega : f(\omega) < +\infty\}$ . Since our choice of  $t_n$  satisfying  $t_n \downarrow 0$  is arbitrary, it follows that  $L(t) \rightarrow \mu(D)$  as  $t \downarrow 0$ , as required.

[Here we are using: if  $F : (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$  is a function and  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $F(t_n) \to a$  for any sequence  $(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  with  $t_n \downarrow 0$  as  $n \downarrow 0$ , then  $F(t) \to a$  as  $t \downarrow 0$ . This is similar to the fact that if a function is sequentially continuous at 0, then it is continuous at 0, which you should have seen in first year Analysis.]

(c) Let us take a sequence  $t_n \downarrow 0$ . Then

$$t_n^{-1}(L(0) - L(t_n)) = \int_{\Omega} t_n^{-1}(1 - e^{-t_n f(\omega)})\mu(d\omega) = \int g_n d\mu$$

where we set  $g_n(\omega) := t_n^{-1}(1 - e^{-t_n f(\omega)})$ . Then  $g_n(\omega) \to f(\omega)$  as  $n \to \infty$ , and also (using the hint)  $g_n(\omega) \leq f(\omega)$ . Therefore if  $\int f d\mu < \infty$  we can use Dominated convergence with dominating function f to deduce that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} t_n^{-1}(L(0) - L(t_n)) = \int_{\Omega} f(\omega)\mu(d\omega) = \int f d\mu$$

If  $\int f d\mu = \infty$  we can no longer use Dominated Convergence (DOM). However, in fact we have  $0 \leq g_n(\omega) \leq g_{n+1}(\omega)$  for all  $\omega$ , so we can use Monotone Convergence (MON) to deduce that in this case  $\lim_{n\to\infty} t_n^{-1}(L(0) - L(t_n)) = \int f d\mu = \infty$ . Thus in all cases  $t_n^{-1}(L(0) - L(t_n)) \to \int f d\mu$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Since this holds for any sequence  $t_n \downarrow 0$ , it follows that  $t^{-1}(L(0) - L(t_n)) \to \int f d\mu$  as  $t \downarrow 0$ .

46. Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space. Show the following.

- (a) If  $f: X \to [-\infty, \infty]$  is measurable,  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\int_E |f| d\mu = 0$ , then f = 0 a.e. on E.
- (b) If  $f \in L^1(\mu)$  with  $\int_E f d\mu = 0$  for all  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ , then f = 0 a.e. on X.
- (c) If  $f \in L^1(\mu)$  with  $\left| \int_X f \, d\mu \right| = \int_X |f| \, d\mu$ , then either  $f \ge 0$  a.e. on X, or  $f \le 0$  a.e. on X.
- (d) If  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $g: X \to \mathbb{R}$  are measurable functions, then  $\{x \in X : f(x) \neq g(x)\} \in \mathcal{M}$ .

(a) By the assumption given, setting  $g = |f|\mathbf{1}_E$  we have  $\int g d\mu = 0$ . Also  $g \ge 0$  pointwise. Therefore by Question 33 (b) we have  $\mu(g^{-1}((0,\infty])) = 0$ , so that  $\mu(\{x \in E : f(x) \neq 0\} = 0$ , or in other words f = 0  $\mu$ -a.e. on E.

(b) Suppose  $f \in L^1(\mu)$  with  $\int_E f d\mu = 0$  for all  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ . Take  $E = \{x \in X : f(x) \ge 0\}$ . Then  $f\mathbf{1}_E \ge 0$  pointwise and by the stated condition  $\int f\mathbf{1}_E d\mu = \int_E f = 0$ . Hence by Question 33 (b),  $\mu(\{x \in X : f(x)\mathbf{1}_E(x) > 0\}) = 0$ , that is,  $\mu(f^{-1}((0, \infty])) = 0$ . Also setting g = -f, we have  $\int_E g d\mu = -\int_E f d\mu = 0$  for all E, so by the same argument as above we have  $\mu(g^{-1}((0, \infty])) = 0$ , that is,  $\mu(f^{-1}([-\infty, 0])) = 0$ . Therefore

$$\mu(\{x \in X : x \neq 0\}) = \mu(f^{-1}((0,\infty]) \cup f^{-1}([-\infty,0))) \le \mu(f^{-1}((0,\infty])) + \mu(f^{-1}([-\infty,0))) = 0,$$

so  $\mu(\{x \in X : x \neq 0\}) = 0$ , or in other words f = 0 a.e.

(c) Suppose  $f \in L^1(\mu)$  with  $\left|\int_X f d\mu\right| = \int_X |f| d\mu$ . Set  $I^+ = \int f^+ d\mu$  and  $I^- = \int f^- d\mu$ . Then  $I^+ \ge 0$ ,  $I^- \ge 0$  and since  $|f| = f^+ - f^-$ , our assumption tells us that

$$|I^+ - I^-| = I^+ + I^-$$

which fails unless either  $I^+ = 0$  or  $I^- = 0$  (or both). But if  $I^+ = 0$  then by Question 33 (b) we have  $f^+ = 0$   $\mu$ -almost everywhere, or in other words  $f \leq 0$   $\mu$ -a.e. Similarly, if  $I^- = 0$  then by Question 33 (b) we have  $f^- = 0$   $\mu$ -almost everywhere, or in other words  $f \geq 0$   $\mu$ -a.e.

(d) By Corollary 10.8 and Theorem 10.13 the function f - g is measurable, so by Theorem 10.5 the set  $\{x \in X : f(x) \neq g(x)\} = (f - g)^{-1} (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$  is in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

- 47. Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be integrable. Suppose  $\{h_n\}_{n \ge 1}$  is a sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $h_n \to 0$ .
  - (a) Show that for any  $K \in (0, \infty)$  we have  $\int_{-K}^{K} |f(x+h_n) f(x)| dx \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . [Hint: first suppose f is continuous, and recall that any continuous real-valued function on a compact interval is bounded.]
  - (b) Show that  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x+h_n) f(x)| dx \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ .
  - (a) First assume f is continuous. In that case, setting  $g_n(x) = |f(x + h_n) f(x)|$  we have  $g_n \to 0$  pointwise as  $n \to \infty$ , and (assuming n is large enough so that  $|h_n| \leq 1$ )  $|g_n(x)| \leq |f_n(x) + f_n(x + h_n)| \leq 2M$ , where we set  $M = \sup_{-K-1 \leq x \leq K+1} |f(x)|$  which is finite by the hint. Since  $\int_{-K}^{K} (2M) dx = 4KM < \infty$ , by DOM we have

$$\int_{-K}^{K} |f(x+h_n) - f(x)| dx = \int_{-K}^{K} g_n(x) dx \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

In the general case (f maybe not continuous) we have to use Question 43. Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , take  $w : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  such that w is continuous and  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |w(x) - f(x)| dx < \varepsilon/3$ , and set  $w_n(x) = w(x+h_n)$ . Then  $\int_{-K}^{K} |w_n(x) - w(x)| dx \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$  by the argument just given. Also, for all n, using Question 37 we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |w_n(x) - f_n(x)| dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |w(x + h_n) - f(x + h_n)| dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |w(x) - f(x)| dx < \varepsilon/3,$$

so for large enough n, setting  $f_n(x) = f(x + h_n)$  we have

$$\int_{-K}^{K} |f_n - f| dx \le \int_{-K}^{K} |f_n - w_n| dx + \int_{-K}^{K} |w_n - w| dx + \int_{-K}^{K} |w - f| dx < \varepsilon.$$

(b) Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and choose K such that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus[-K,K]} |f(x)| dx < \varepsilon$ . This can be done by the solution to Question 41. Set  $f_n(x) = f(x+h_n)$ . Then using (b), choose N so that  $\int_{-(K+1)}^{K+1} |f_n(x) - f(x)| dx < \varepsilon$  for all  $n \ge N$ , and also  $|h_n| \le 1$  for all  $n \ge N$ . Then for  $n \ge N$ , we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f_n(x) - f(x)| dx \le \int_{[-K-1,K+1]^c} |f_n(x) - f(x)| dx + \int_{-K-1}^{K+1} |f_n(x) - f(x)| dx$$
$$\le \int_{[-K-1,K+1]^c} |f(x+h_n)| dx + \int_{[-K-1,K+1]^c} |f(x)| dx + \varepsilon$$
$$\le 2 \int_{[-K,K]^c} |f(x)| dx + \varepsilon \le 3\varepsilon$$

which gives the result.

48. Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space. Suppose  $f, f_1, f_2, \ldots \in \mathbb{R}(X)$  such that  $f_n \uparrow f$  pointwise and moreover  $f_n \in L^1(\mu)$  and  $\sup_n \int f_n d\mu < \infty$ . Show that  $f \in L^1(\mu)$  and  $\int f_n d\mu \to \int f d\mu$  as  $n \to \infty$ . (This result is sometimes called *Beppo Levi's theorem.*)

Since  $f_n \uparrow f$  (pointwise) we have  $f_n^+ \uparrow f^+$  and  $f_n^- \downarrow f^-$  (pointwise). Basically this is because the function  $x \mapsto tox^+$  from  $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and nondecreasing, while the function  $x \mapsto x^-$  is continuous and nonincreasing.

Hence  $f_n^- \leq f_1^-$  pointwise so  $\int f_n^- d\mu \leq \int f_1^- d\mu$  which is finite because  $f_1 \in L^1(\mu)$ .

By assumption there exists  $K \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all n we have  $\int f_n^+ d\mu - \int f_n^- d\mu = \int f_n d\mu \leq K$ . Therefore for all n we have

$$\int f_n^+ d\mu \le K + \int f_n^- d\mu \le K + \int f_1^- d\mu < \infty.$$

Since  $0 \le f_n^+ \uparrow f^+$ , by MON we have

$$\int f^+ d\mu = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int f_n^+ d\mu \le K + \int f_1^- d\mu < \infty.$$

Also  $0 \leq f_n^- \leq f_1^-$  pointwise and  $\int f_1^- d\mu < \infty$  and  $f_n^- \to f^-$  pointwise so we can apply DOM (with dominating function  $f_1^-$ ) to deduce that

$$\int f^- d\mu = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int f_n^- d\mu \le \int f_1 d\mu < \infty.$$

Therefore both  $f^+$  and  $f^-$  are integrable; hence so is f. Moreover by the Algebra of Limits theorem

$$\int f d\mu = \int f^+ d\mu - \int f^- d\mu = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int f_n^+ d\mu - \lim_{n \to \infty} \int f_n^- d\mu = \lim_{n \to \infty} (\int f_n^+ d\mu - \int f_n^- d\mu) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int f_n d\mu$$

as required.