26. (a) Show that if  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is open and  $x \in U$ , then we can find a rectangle  $R \in \mathcal{I}_2$  with corners having rational coordinates such that  $x \in R \subset U$ . [We say that a set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is open if for every  $x \in A$  there is a disk of positive radius centred on x that is contained in A.]

(b) Show that  $\sigma(\mathcal{O}_2) = \mathcal{B}_2$ , where  $\mathcal{O}_2$  is the class of all open sets in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and  $\mathcal{B}_2$  is the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  (see Definition 9.1).

(a) Let  $U \in \mathcal{O}_2$  and let  $x \in U$ . Since U is open, there exists r > 0 depending on x such that B(x, r) (the closed Euclidean disk centred on x with radius r) is contained in U. Therefore writing  $x = (x_1, x_2)$  we have  $(x_1 - r/2, x_1 + r/2) \times (x_2 - r/2, x_2 + r/2) \subset B(x, r) \subset U$ .

Now take rational  $q_1, q_2, r_1, r_2$  with  $x_1 - r/2 < q_1 < x_1 < r_1 < x_1 + r/2$ , and  $x_2 - r/2 < q_2 < x_2 < r_2 < x_2 + r/2$ . Then

$$x \in (q_1, r_1] \times (q_2, r_2] \subset (x_1 - r/2, x_1 + r/2) \times (x_2 - r/2, x_2 + r/2) \subset B(x, r) \subset U,$$

so taking  $R = (q_1, r_1] \times (q_2, r_2]$  does the trick.

(b) Recall from Definition 9.1 in the notes that  $\mathcal{B}_2 = \sigma(\mathcal{I}_2)$ , where  $\mathcal{I}_2$  is the collection of all sets of the form  $(a, b] \times (c, d]$ . Since we can argue as in part (a) for any  $x \in U$ ,

$$U = \bigcup_{(q_1, r_1, q_2, r_2) \in S} (q_1, r_1] \times (q_2, r_2],$$

where we define  $S := \{(q_1, r_2, q_2, r_2) \in \mathbb{Q}^4 : q_1 < r_1, q_2 < r_2, (q_1, r_1] \times (q_2, r_2] \subset U\}$ . Since  $\mathbb{Q}^4$  is countable, this shows that U is a countable union of sets in  $\mathcal{I}_2$ , and therefore is in  $\sigma(\mathcal{I}_2) = \mathcal{B}_2$ . Thus  $\mathcal{O}_2 \subset \mathcal{B}_2$ , and since  $\mathcal{B}_2$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, also  $\sigma(\mathcal{O}_2) \subset \mathcal{B}_2$ .

For the inclusion the other way, given  $A = (a, b] \times (c, d] \in \mathcal{I}_2$ , setting  $A_n = (a, b+1/n) \times (c, d+1/n)$ we have  $A = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$  and moreover  $A_n \in \mathcal{O}_2$  (i.e.,  $A_n$  is open) for each n. Thus A is a countable intersection of sets in  $\mathcal{O}_2$ .

Since  $\sigma(\mathcal{O}_2)$  is a sigma-algebra containing  $\mathcal{O}_2$ , therefore  $A \in \sigma(\mathcal{O}_2)$ , so  $\mathcal{I}_2 \subset \sigma(\mathcal{O}_2)$ , and since  $\sigma(\mathcal{O}_2)$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, also  $\mathcal{B}_2 = \sigma(\mathcal{R}_2) \subset \sigma(\mathcal{O}_2)$ .

- 27. Suppose  $\rho$  is a rotation (about the origin) on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , i.e. pre-multiplication by a 2 × 2 matrix M with  $M^T = M^{-1}$  (viewing elements of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  as column vectors).
  - (a) Show that  $|\rho(x)| = |x|$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , where for  $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  we put  $|x| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}$ . Consider x as a column vector i.e.  $x = (x_1, x_2)'$ ; then  $|x|^2 = x'x$  so  $|\rho(x)|^2 = (Mx)'(Mx) = x'M^TMx = x'x = |x|^2$ .
  - (b) Show that  $\rho(A) \in \mathcal{B}_2$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{B}_2$ .

Suppose  $U \in \mathcal{O}_2$  (the class of open sets). Then  $\rho(U)$  is also open since if  $y \in \rho(U)$ , then  $\rho^{-1}y \in U$  so for some r > 0 we have  $B(\rho^{-1}y, r) \subset U$  (since U is assumed open), so  $B(y, r) = \rho(B(\rho^{-1}y, r)) \subset \rho(U)$ . Hence  $\rho(U)$  is open, so  $\rho(U) \in \mathcal{B}_2$  since  $\mathcal{O}_2 \subset \mathcal{B}_2$  by Question 26. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the class of sets  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $\rho(A) \in \mathcal{B}_2$ . By the preceding paragraph  $\mathcal{O}_2 \subset \mathcal{F}$ . Also  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  because:

- $\rho(\emptyset) = \emptyset$  is a Borel set, so  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}$ .
- If  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  then  $\rho(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus A) = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \rho(A) \in \mathcal{B}_2$ , so  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus A \in \mathcal{F}$ .

• If  $A_1, A_2, \ldots \in \mathcal{F}$  then  $\rho(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho(A_n) \in \mathcal{F}$ , so  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Therefore  $\sigma(\mathcal{O}_2) \subset \mathcal{F}$ , so by Question 26,  $\mathcal{B}_2 \subset \mathcal{F}$  which gives the desired conclusion.

(c) Define a measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{B}_2$  by  $\mu(A) = \lambda_2(\rho(A))$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{B}_2$ . Show that  $\mu$  is translation invariant.

For  $A \in \mathcal{B}_2$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$  we have  $\rho(A + x) = \rho(A) + \rho(x)$  (distributive law for matrix multiplication) so

$$\mu(A + x) = \lambda_2(\rho(A + x)) = \lambda_2(\rho(A) + \rho(x)) = \lambda_2(\rho A) = \mu(A),$$

where the penultimate inequality is because  $\lambda_2$  is translation invariant. Thus  $\mu$  is translation invariant.

(d) Show that  $\lambda_2$  is rotation invariant, i.e.  $\lambda_2(\rho(A)) = \lambda_2(A)$  for all Borel  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  (and for any rotation  $\rho$ ).

By part (c) along with the fact that every translation-invariant measure on  $(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathcal{B}_2)$  is of the form  $c \times \lambda_2$  for some constant c (which was given as a hint) our  $\mu$  is a constant multiple of  $\lambda_2$ , say  $\mu = c\lambda_2$ . But for the unit ball centred at the origin (denoted B) we have  $\rho(B) = B$ , by part (a). Therefore  $\mu(B) = \lambda_2(\rho(B)) = \lambda_2(B)$ , and hence c = 1.

- 28. (a) Show that  $\lambda_2(L) = 0$  for any line segment  $L \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ .
  - (b) Let r > 0 and set D := {x ∈ ℝ<sup>2</sup> : |x| < r}, the open disk of radius r in ℝ<sup>2</sup> centred on the origin (we define |x| as in the previous question). By approximating to D by an increasing sequence of regular polygons contained in D, show that λ<sub>2</sub>(D) = πr<sup>2</sup>.
    You may use without proof the 'half base times height' formula for the Lebesgue measure (area) of a triangle. You may also use without proof the fact that (sin x)/x → 1 as x ↓ 0.

(a) There exists a rotation  $\rho$  (about the origin) such that  $\rho(L)$  is a vertical line segment. By rotation invariance (Question 27)  $\lambda_2(L) = \lambda_2(\rho(L))$ , so without loss of generality we may assume that L is vertical, i.e.  $L \subset \{a\} \times (-b, b]$  for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  with b > 0.

Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have  $L \subset (a - \varepsilon, a] \times (-b, b]$ . Therefore by definition 8.10,

$$\lambda_2(L) \le \lambda_2((a - \varepsilon, a] \times (-b, b] = \varepsilon(2b).$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrarily small, therefore  $\lambda_2(L) = 0$ .

(b) For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $k_n = 3 \times 2^{n-1}$ , so  $k_1 = 3$  and  $k_{n+1} = 2k_n$ . Let  $P_n$  be an open regular polygon with  $k_n$  sides and vertices equally spaced on the boundary of D. Also assume  $P_n$  is oriented in such a way that the vertices of  $P_{n+1}$  include all the vertices of  $P_n$  for each n. Then  $P_n \subset P_{n+1}$  for each n and  $D = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n$  so by upward continuity  $\lambda_2(D) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \lambda_2(P_n)$ .

We can divide  $P_n$  into  $k_n$  isosceles triangles, each of which has one vertex at the origin and the other two given by two adjacent vertices of  $P_n$ . By rotation invariance, these all have the same area (i.e., 2-dimensional Lebesgue measure). Let  $T_n$  be one of these isosceles triangles. Using additivity and also the fact that line segments have zero area by part (a), we have  $\lambda_2(P_n) = k_n \lambda_2(T_n)$ .

Let  $\alpha_n = 2\pi/k_n$ , which is the angle between the two 'long edges' of length r of the isosceles triangle  $T_n$ . Without loss of generality we may assume one of these two long edges of  $T_n$  is the base of  $T_n$ . Then the height of  $T_n$  is  $r \sin \alpha_n$ . Therefore by the 'half base times height' formula,

$$\lambda_2(T_n) = (1/2)r \times r \sin \alpha_n$$

and therefore by the second hint,

$$\lambda_2(D) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (k_n/2) r^2 \sin(2\pi/k_n) = \pi r^2 \lim_{n \to \infty} ((k_n/(2\pi)) \sin(2\pi/k_n)) = \pi r^2$$

- 29. Suppose F is a function with the properties assumed in Exercise 25.
  - (a) Prove that there is a unique measure  $\mu_F$  on  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$  with the property that  $\mu_F((a, b]) = F(b) F(a)$  for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  with a < b. (You may assume without proof Carathéodory's extension theorem, along with the results of Exercise 25).

As in Exercise 25, for  $(a, b] \in \mathcal{I}$  set  $\lambda_F((a, b]) = F(b) - F(a)$ . Also set  $\lambda_F(\emptyset) = 0$ .

To be able to check the conditions of the Extension theorem, we need to check that  $\pi := \lambda_F$  is a pre-measure on  $\mathcal{I}$ . Clearly  $\pi(\emptyset) = \lambda_F(\emptyset) = 0$ , and it remains to check finite additivity and countable subadditivity of  $\pi$ .

For finite additivity let  $I, I_1, \ldots, I_k \in \mathcal{I}$  with  $I = \bigcup_{i=1}^k I_i$  and  $I_1, \ldots, I_k$  pairwise disjoint. Then by Exercise 25(c), we have  $\lambda_F(I) = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_F(I_i)$ . This verifies the finite additivity.

We also need to check countable sub-additivity of  $\pi$  on  $\mathcal{I}$ . Let  $I, I_1, I_2, \ldots \in \mathcal{I}$  with  $I \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k$ . Then by Exercise 25(d),  $\lambda_F(I) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_F(I_i)$ . which is the countable subdaditivity of  $\pi := \lambda_F$  on  $\mathcal{I}$ .

Therefore  $\pi$  is a pre-measure on the semiring  $\mathcal{I}$ , so by the Caratheodory extension theorem it extends to a measure  $\mu_F$  on  $\sigma(\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{B}$ . Also  $\mu_F$  is  $\sigma$ -finite on  $\mathcal{I}$  since  $\mu_F((-n, n]) < \infty$  for all n, so by the Uniqueness lemma there is no other measure on  $\sigma(\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{B}$  agreeing with  $\mu_F$ on  $\mathcal{I}$ .

(b) Given  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ , show that the  $\mu_F$ -measure of the one-point set  $\{y\}$  is  $\mu_F(\{y\}) = F(y) - F(y-)$ , where  $F(y-) = \lim_{z \uparrow y} F(z)$ .

Set  $A_n := (y - 1/n, y]$ . Then  $A_n \supset A_{n+1}$  for all n, and  $A_n \in \mathcal{B}$  for all n, and  $\mu_F(A_1) = F(y) - F(y-1) < \infty$ . Also  $\{y\} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ . Therefore by the downward continuity of the measure  $\mu_F$ , we have

$$\mu(\{y\}) = \mu(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(A_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (F(y) - F(y - 1/n)) = F(y) - F(y - 1/n).$$

(c) Show that  $\mu_F([a,b]) = F(b) - F(a-)$ , and also find the formulas for  $\mu_F((a,b))$  and  $\mu_F([a,b))$ , when  $-\infty < a < b < \infty$ .

Since  $[a, b] = \{a\} \cup (a, b]$ , a disjoint union, using the previous part we have

$$\mu([a,b]) = \mu(\{a\}) + \mu((a,b]) = (F(a) - F(a-)) + (F(b) - F(a)) = F(b) - F(a-).$$

Also

$$\mu((a,b)) = \mu((a,b]) - \mu(\{b\}) = F(b) - F(a) - (F(b) - F(b-)) = F(b-) - F(a).$$

$$\ln \mu([a,b]) = \mu((a,b)) + \mu(\{a\}) = F(b-) - F(a-)$$

Finally  $\mu([a,b)) = \mu((a,b)) + \mu(\{a\}) = F(b-) - F(a-).$ 

30. Prove that if  $W \subset \mathbb{R}$  is a Borel set, and  $f : W \to \mathbb{R}$  is a nondecreasing function, then f is Borel-measurable.

We need to show for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  that  $f^{-1}((\alpha, \infty]) \in \mathcal{B}$ .

Fix  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $T := f^{-1}((\alpha, \infty]) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x) > \alpha\}$ . If  $T = \emptyset$  then  $T \in \mathcal{B}$ . So assume T is non-empty and let  $t = \inf(T)$  (or  $t = -\infty$  if T is not bounded below).

If  $x \in W$  with x > t, then there exists y < x with  $y \in T$ , so  $f(y) > \alpha$ , so  $f(x) > \alpha$  since f is increasing, so  $x \in T$ .

If x < t, then  $x \notin T$  since t is a lower bound for T.

Therefore T is either the set  $(t, \infty) \cap W$  or the set  $[t, \infty) \cap W$ . But both  $(t, \infty)$  and  $[t, \infty)$  are in  $\mathcal{B}$ , and also we assume  $W \in \mathcal{B}$ , so  $(t, \infty) \cap W \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $[t, \infty) \cap W \in \mathcal{B}$ . Thus  $T \in \mathcal{B}$ .