

# Comprehensive Gröbner Systems and QE

James Davenport

University of Bath

3 December 2019

$\mathcal{J}$  = <http://people.bath.ac.uk/masjhd/JHD-CA.pdf>

JHD's interpretations: notes (A) etc. at end

# Example

Consider first the example of  $H_1 := \{x + 1, uy + x\} \subset \mathbf{Q}[u, x, y]$ . Under any term order with  $x < y$ , this forms a (zero-dimensional) Gröbner base in  $\mathbf{Q}(u)[x, y]$ .

However, if we substitute  $u = 0$ , we get  $\{x + 1, x\}$ , which is not a Gröbner base at all.

If we consider instead  $H_2 := \{x + 1, uy - 1\}$ , which is equivalent in  $\mathbf{Q}(u)[x, y]$ , substituting  $u = 0$  gives us  $\{x + 1, -1\}$ , which is a Gröbner basis (admittedly redundant) equivalent to  $\{-1\}$  — no solutions. In fact  $H_2$  is what we want — a Gröbner basis which is **comprehensive** in the informal sense that it is valid, not only for symbolic  $u$ , but for all values of  $u$ .

## Definition

Let  $K$  be an integral domain,  $R = K[u_1, \dots, u_m]$  and  $T = R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , and fix an ordering  $\prec$  on the monomials in  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . Let  $G$  be a finite subset of  $T$ .  $G$  is said to be a *Comprehensive Gröbner basis* if, for all fields  $K'$  and all ring homomorphisms  $\sigma : R \rightarrow K'$  (extended to homomorphisms  $\sigma : T \rightarrow K'[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ ),  $\sigma(G)$  is a Gröbner basis (under  $\prec$ ) in  $K'[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ .

It is not obvious that these exist, but they do [Wei92, Theorem 2.7].

At least in principle,  $K$  could be  $\mathbf{Z}$  and  $K'$  could be  $\mathbf{F}_p$ , but I haven't seen this explored, and most people assume  $K$  is a field.

## Definition

Let  $K$  be an integral domain,  $R = K[u_1, \dots, u_m]$  and  $S \subseteq K^m$ . A finite set  $\{S_1, \dots, S_t\}$  of nonempty subsets of  $S$  is called an *algebraic partition* of  $S$  if it satisfies the following properties

- 1  $\bigcup_{i=1}^t S_i = S$ .
- 2  $S_i \cap S_j = \emptyset$  if  $i \neq j$ .
- 3 For each  $i$ ,  $S_i = V_K(I_i^{(1)}) \setminus V_K(I_i^{(2)})$  for some ideals  $I_i^{(1)}, I_i^{(2)}$  of  $R$ , where  $V_K(I)$  is  $V(I) \cap K^m$ .

Each  $S_i$  is called a *segment*.

Note the close relationship with triangular sets:  $S_i$  would be referred to as a *quasi-variety*. But regular chains deals with very specific quasi-varieties:  $V(T) \setminus V(\text{lc}(T))$ .

Note that  $K$  needn't be algebraically closed: again not much explored until now.

## Definition

Let  $\{S_1, \dots, S_t\}$  be an algebraic partition of  $S \subseteq K^m$ , let  $T = R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , and fix an ordering  $\prec$  on the monomials in  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . Let  $F$  be a finite subset of  $T$ . A finite set  $\mathcal{G} := \{(S_1, G_1), \dots, (S_s, G_s)\}$  satisfying the following properties is called a comprehensive Gröbner system (CGS) of  $F$  over  $S$  with parameters  $u_1, \dots, u_m$  w.r.t.  $\leq$ :

- 1 Each  $G_i$  is a finite subset of  $(F)$ ;
- 2 For each  $\bar{c} \in S_i$ ,  $G_i(\bar{c}) := \{g(\bar{c}, x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid g(\bar{u}, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in G_i\}$  is a Gröbner basis of the ideal  $(F(\bar{c}))$  in  $C[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  with respect to  $\prec$ , where 
$$F(\bar{c}) := \{f(\bar{c}, x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid f(\bar{u}, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in F\}$$
- 3 For each  $\bar{c} \in S_i$ ,  $\text{lc}(g)(\bar{c}) \neq 0$  for any element  $g$  of  $G_i$ .

In addition, if each  $G_i(\bar{c})$  is a minimal (reduced) Gröbner basis,  $\mathcal{G}$  is said to be minimal (reduced). Being monic is not required. The question of local canonicity is discussed in [KY20].

# Example Revisited

In the setting of the first example, we partition  $\mathbf{Q}$  as  $\{S_1 := \{0\}, S_2 := \mathbf{Q} \setminus S_1\}$ . The Gröbner basis corresponding to  $S_2$  is either  $H_1$  or  $H_2$  (or any other variant), and these are Gröbner bases by the gcd Criterion *as long as* the leading term of  $uy + x$  is  $uy$ . Hence  $u = 0$  is a special case, and our polynomials are

$\underbrace{uy}_{=0} + x$  and  $x + 1$ , whose  $S$ -polynomial (or indeed reduction) is

$$\left( \underbrace{uy}_{=0} + x \right) - (x + 1) = \underbrace{uy}_{=0} - 1.$$

So the Gröbner basis corresponding to  $S_1$  is  $\{uy - 1\}$ .

Note the trick of “remembering” the phantom  $uy$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F}(S)$  be the defining formula for  $S$ .

Computing a Comprehensive Gröbner System is conceptually straightforward: we start with the trivial partition  $\{S\}$ , and run Buchberger's Algorithm. Every time we have to decide on the zeroness or not of a leading coefficient, either in the  $S(g_i, g_j) \xrightarrow{*G} h$  step or in deciding whether  $h = 0$  (directly or via the Criteria), and that decision depends on the  $u_i$ , i.e. whether a polynomial  $p$  in the  $u_i$  is zero or not, we split our set  $S_i = V_K(I_i^{(1)}) \setminus V_K(I_i^{(2)})$  into  $S_{i'} = V_K(I_i^{(1)} \cup \{p\}) \setminus V_K(I_i^{(2)})$  and  $S_{i''} = V_K(I_i^{(1)}) \setminus V_K(I_i^{(2)} \cup \{p\})$  and continue Buchberger's Algorithm over each set separately, *but keeping* the apparently zero terms. In practice, the same polynomials  $p$  keep cropping up, and substantial ingenuity is needed to reduce or eliminate duplication. Again very similar to Regular Chains in terms of the duplication problem.

# How are they connected?

Very simply.

Theorem ([Wei92, Proposition 3.4(i)])

*If  $\mathcal{G} := \{(S_1, G_1), \dots, (S_s, G_s)\}$  is a Comprehensive Gröbner System for  $F$  over  $S$ , then  $G' := \bigcup_{i=1}^s G_i$  is a Comprehensive Gröbner Basis for  $F$  over  $S$ .*



# “Theorem 1” [FIS15]: from [PRS93]

Let  $\sigma(M)$  be the number of positive eigenvalues of  $M$  minus the number of negative ones.

Let  $I$  be a zero dimensional ideal in a polynomial ring  $K[\bar{x}]$  with  $d$  roots (counted with multiplicity),  $h \in K[\bar{x}]$ . There is a  $d \times d$  symmetric matrix  $M_h^I$  such that

$$\sigma(M_h^I) = \#\{\bar{c} \in V_{\mathbf{R}}(I) \mid h(\bar{c}) > 0\} - \#\{\bar{c} \in V_{\mathbf{R}}(I) \mid h(\bar{c}) < 0\}.$$

In particular  $\sigma(M_1^I) = \#(V_{\mathbf{R}}(I))$ .

The recipe for  $M_h^I$  is given in [FIS15].

I am not sure what happens if  $h$  is zero at a root of  $I$  — I think the matrix is singular.

Let  $I$  be a zero dimensional ideal and  $h_1, \dots, h_l$  be polynomials of  $K[\bar{x}]$ . For new variables  $\bar{z} = z_1, \dots, z_l$  let  $J$  be an ideal of  $K[\bar{x}, \bar{z}]$  defined by  $J = I + \langle z_1^2 - h_1, \dots, z_l^2 - h_l \rangle$ . Then the following equation holds.

$$\sigma(M_1^J) = 2^l \#(\{\bar{c} \in V_{\mathbf{R}}(I) \mid h_1(\bar{c}) > 0, \dots, h_l(\bar{c}) > 0\}) > 0.$$

JHD notes that  $M$  will be a  $d2^l \times d2^l$  matrix: the  $2^l$  comes from counting  $\pm\sqrt{h_i}$

Let  $I$  be a zero dimensional ideal and  $h_1, \dots, h_l$  be polynomials of  $K[\bar{x}]$ . For new variables  $\bar{z} = z_1, \dots, z_l$  let  $J$  be an ideal of  $K[\bar{x}, \bar{z}]$  defined by  $J = I + \langle z_1 h_1 - 1, \dots, z_l h_l - 1 \rangle$ . Then the following equation holds.

$$\#(V_{\mathbf{R}}(J)) = \#(\{\bar{c} \in V_{\mathbf{R}}(I) \mid h_1(\bar{c}) \neq 0, \dots, h_l(\bar{c}) \neq 0\}).$$

Let  $I$  be a zero dimensional ideal and  $h_1, \dots, h_l$  be polynomials of  $K[\bar{x}]$ . For new variables  $\bar{z} = z_1, \dots, z_l$  let  $J$  be an ideal of  $K[\bar{x}, \bar{z}]$  defined by  $J = I + \langle z_1^2 - h_1, \dots, z_l^2 - h_l \rangle$ . Then the following equation holds.

$$\sigma(M_1^J) > 0 \Leftrightarrow \#(\{\bar{c} \in V_{\mathbf{R}}(I) \mid h_1(\bar{c}) \geq 0, \dots, h_l(\bar{c}) \geq 0\}) > 0.$$

Again a  $d2^l \times d2^l$  matrix.

Let  $M$  be a real symmetric  $d \times d$  matrix and  $\chi(x) = x^d + \sum a_i x^i$  be its characteristic polynomial. Let  $S_+(M)$  be the number of sign changes in the coefficients of  $\chi(x)$ , and  $S_-(M)$  in  $\chi(-x)$ . Then  $S_+$  is the number of positive roots of  $\chi$ , and  $S_-$  the number of negative ones.

$$\underbrace{\#(V_{\mathbb{R}}(I)) = \sigma(M'_1)}_{> 0} \Leftrightarrow S_+(M'_1) \neq S_-(M'_1)$$

We can write  $S_+(M'_1) \neq S_-(M'_1)$  as a quantifier-free formula in the  $a_i$ : call this  $I_d(a_{d-1}, \dots, a_0)$ .

No statements made about the complexity of this.

# Basic QE setting [FIS15]: MainQE( $S, \phi$ )

We consider an “innermost block” in this form (C):

$$\exists \bar{x} \left( \begin{array}{l} f_1(\bar{y}, \bar{x}) = 0 \wedge \cdots \wedge f_r(\bar{y}, \bar{x}) = 0 \wedge \\ p_1(\bar{y}, \bar{x}) > 0 \wedge \cdots \wedge p_s(\bar{y}, \bar{x}) > 0 \wedge \\ q_1(\bar{y}, \bar{x}) \neq 0 \wedge \cdots \wedge q_t(\bar{y}, \bar{x}) \neq 0 \end{array} \right)$$

$f_i, p_j, q_k \in \mathbf{Q}[\bar{y}, \bar{x}] \setminus \mathbf{Q}[\bar{y}]$ .

Let  $\bar{z}, \bar{w}$  be new variables with  $\bar{z}, \bar{w} \succ \bar{x}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{G} = (S_i, G_i)$  be a CGS (parameters  $\bar{y}$ ) over  $S$  (A) for

$$\{f_1, \dots, f_r, \underbrace{z_1^2 p_1 - 1, \dots, z_s^2 p_s - 1}_{\text{forcing positive}}, \underbrace{w_1 q_1 - 1, \dots, w_t q_t - 1}_{\text{forcing nonzero}}\}$$

## Claim

Each  $G_i$  will be

$$\{f'_1, \dots, f'_r, u_1 z_1^2 - p'_1, \dots, u_s z_s^2 - p'_s, v_1 w_1 - q'_1, \dots, v_t w_t - q'_t\}.$$

Our answer will be  $\bigvee_i \Psi_i(S_i, G_i)$ : next two slides explain  $\Psi_i$ .

## $G_i$ zero-dimensional ( $\bar{z}, \bar{w}$ irrelevant for dimension)

If  $G_i = (1)$  then we return false. Otherwise recall

$$G_i = \{f'_1, \dots, f'_{r'}, u_1 z_1^2 - p'_1, \dots, u_s z_s^2 - p'_s, v_1 w_1 - q'_1, \dots, v_t w_t - q'_t\}.$$

Let  $I = \langle f'_1, \dots, f'_{r'} \rangle$ ,

$$\chi(x) = \prod_{(e_1, \dots, e_s) \in \{0,1\}^s} \chi'_{(p'_1/u_1)^{e_1}, \dots, (p'_s/u_s)^{e_s}}(x) = x^{2^s d} + \sum_0^{2^s d - 1} a_i x^i.$$

The answer is  $\Psi_i := \mathcal{F}(S_i) \wedge I_{2^s d}(a_i)$ .

JHD: at least that's my reconstruction. I can't see where the  $w_i$  (the  $\neq 0$ ) terms come in. Also, the subscript of  $\chi'_{\dots}$ , the characteristic polynomial of  $M'_{\dots}$ , is not a polynomial.

$\exists\phi: G_i > 0$ -dimensional ( $\bar{x}, \bar{w}$  irrelevant for dimension)

$\bar{u} :=$  maximal independent variables ( $\bar{x}, G_i, \succ$ ). (B)

If  $\bar{u} = \bar{x}$  return  $\text{SYNRAC}(\mathcal{F}(S) \wedge \exists\bar{x}\phi)$  [Wei98]

$\bar{x}' := \bar{x} \setminus \bar{u}; \phi_1 := \text{Free}(\phi, \bar{x}'); \phi_2 := \text{NonFree}(\phi, \bar{x}');$

$\varphi := \phi_1 \wedge \text{Recurse}(S_i, \exists\bar{x}'\phi_2)$  (1)

JHD: I think this means  $\varphi$  now only contains  $\bar{u}$ -variables

Let  $\varphi_1 \vee \dots \vee \varphi_l$  be a disjunctive normal form of  $\varphi$ . (C)

**for**  $1 \leq j \leq l$  **do**

$\varphi_j^{(1)} := \text{Free}(\varphi, \bar{u}); \varphi_j^{(2)} := \text{NonFree}(\varphi_j, \bar{u});$

$\psi_j := \varphi_j^{(1)} \wedge \text{Recurse}(S_i, \exists\bar{u}\varphi_j^{(2)})$  (2)(E)

Return  $\Psi := \mathcal{F}(S_i) \wedge (\psi_1 \vee \dots \vee \psi_l)$

JHD: “Recurse” goes right back to the MainQE, note that call (1) has pushed the  $\bar{u}$ -variables into being parameters (I think) (D).

But somehow  $S_i$  gets lost in these recursions: I hope I've added it in the right place. Their Theorem 16 states that this does terminate — far from obvious (F).



- Ⓐ Recursing with  $S$  is, I think, my interpolation to make sense of the recursions we'll see later.  $S$  initially is  $\mathbf{R}^{\#\bar{y}}$ .
- Ⓑ There's a lot of freedom here: ML?
- Ⓒ Note that our main recursion is on  $\phi$  in conjunctive normal form (CNF), whereas here we convert to disjunctive normal form (DNF) and implicitly back at the end of the block. Since  $\text{CNF} \leftrightarrow \text{DNF}$  naïvely is exponential, this would provide an exponential blowup at each  $\exists/\forall$  boundary, similar to [DH88].
- Ⓓ Therefore this recursion is on strictly fewer variables, since  $\dim > 0$ .
- Ⓔ Therefore this recursion is on strictly fewer variables, since  $\bar{u} \neq \bar{x}$ .  $\varphi_j^{(1)}$  is free of  $\bar{u}$  by construction, and free of  $\bar{x}'$  since it comes from  $\phi_1$ , so actually belongs in an outer block. We might ask why such things exist, but they could be generated by the recursion.
- Ⓕ But the two previous notes are probably key.



J.H. Davenport and J. Heintz.

Real Quantifier Elimination is Doubly Exponential.

*J. Symbolic Comp.*, 5:29–35, 1988.



R. Fukasaku, H. Iwane, and Y. Sato.

Real Quantifier Elimination by Computation of Comprehensive Gröbner Systems.

In D. Robertz, editor, *Proceedings ISSAC 2015*, pages 173–180, 2015.



D. Kapur and Y. Yang.

An Algorithm for Computing a Minimal Comprehensive Gröbner Basis of a Parametric Polynomial System.

<https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.07957>, 2020.



P. Pedersen, M.-F. Roy, and A. Szpirglas.

Counting Real Zeroes in the Multivariate Case.

In *Proceedings MEGA '92*, pages 203–224, 1993.



V. Weispfenning.

Comprehensive Gröbner Bases.

*J. Symbolic Comp.*, 14:1–29, 1992.



V. Weispfenning.

A New Approach to Quantifier Elimination for Real Algebra.

*Quantifier Elimination and Cylindrical Algebraic Decomposition*, pages 376–392, 1998.