

# What does Mathematical Notation actually mean, and how can computers process it?

James Davenport  
Hebron & Medlock Professor of Information Technology<sup>1</sup>

University of Bath (U.K.)

22 July 2016

---

<sup>1</sup>Thanks to many people: typesetters, editors, OpenMath and MathML colleagues, T<sub>E</sub>Xnicians

# Overview

**Disclaimer:** This is a brief introduction to a very large (and diverse) subject: however, I used to typeset mathematics at school, and have been in OpenMath for 23 years, and MathML for 17

- 1 Mathematical notation  
and some of its flaws
- 2 How it is currently displayed/ represented:  $\text{T}_{\text{E}}\text{X}/\text{PDF};=$   
MathML (Presentation/Content); OpenMath
- 3 How it might be understood

The subjects do overlap

## (The outsider's perception of) Mathematical Notation

Unambiguous, unchanging, precise, world-wide (or more so)

- “as clear as  $2+2=4$ ”
- Google the phrase “mathematically precise”
- Various science-fiction stories (e.g. Pythagoras' Theorem)
- And in real life — mathematicians *can* and *do* communicate via notation
- The computing discipline of “Formal Methods” tries to reduce computer programming to mathematics/logic

And indeed there's a lot of truth in this

## Certainly not unchanging

+ is less than 500 years old [Sti44] (also – and  $\sqrt{\quad}$ )

= is slightly younger [Rec57]

Recorders wrote  $2\overline{a+b}$ :  $2(a+b)$  is later

(...) won because it is (much!) easier for manual typesetting

Calculus had/has two conflicting notations  $\dot{x}$  or  $\frac{dx}{dt}$ .

Relativity introduced the summation convention:  $\sum_{i=1}^3 c_i x^i$  is just  $c_i x^i$   
(but  $c_\mu x^\mu$  is short for  $\sum_{\mu=0}^3 c_\mu x^\mu$ ) [Ein16]

And practically every mathematician introduces some notation:  
natural selection (generally) applies

## Not quite so international

Idea	Anglo-Saxon	French	German
half-open interval	$(0, 1]$	$]0, 1]$	varies
single-valued function	arctan	Arctan	arctan
multi-valued function	Arctan	arctan	Arctan
$\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$	$\mathbb{N}$	$\mathbb{N}$	$\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$
$\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$	$\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$	$\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$	$\mathbb{N}$

Or universal:  $\sqrt{-1}$  is *i* to most people, but *j* to Electrical Engineers, and the MatLab system allows both  
And these problems occur at an early age [Lop08]

**MATHEMATICAL NOTATION COMPARISONS BETWEEN  
U.S. AND LATIN AMERICAN COUNTRIES**

**OPERATION DESCRIPTION  
DIVISION**

Many students come into the U.S. schools using algorithms learned in their country of origin. For example, students in many Latin American countries are expected to do and exhibit more mental computation as the following algorithm illustrates. To assist educators in recognizing different procedural knowledge as valid, we explain how this algorithm works

**Format 1**

**Format 2**

$$3\sqrt{74}$$

$$74\overline{)3}$$

In this algorithm, students will divide 3 into 74 and may write it in one of two ways.

$$\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ 3\overline{)74} \\ 1 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{r} 74\overline{)3} \\ 1 \quad 2 \end{array}$$

- Students typically begin to formulate and answer questions such as: How many times can 3 go into 7? Another way of asking is if we divide 70 into 3 sets, how many are in each set.
- Students write the 2 in the tens place, above the 7, on Format 1, but the 2 goes below the divisor when written in Format 2 style. Notice

subtract. The only part that is written on paper is the remainder, 1 ten. Notice its location on both formats.

$$\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ 3 \overline{)74} \\ 14 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{r} 74 \quad | \overline{3} \\ 14 \quad \underline{2} \end{array}$$

- The 4 is brought down and students consider 14 next.
- Notice where the 14 is written on both formats.

$$\begin{array}{r} 24 \\ 3 \overline{)74} \\ 14 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{r} 74 \quad | \overline{3} \\ 14 \quad \underline{24} \end{array}$$

- Students now find that 3 will go into 14 three (3) times. They write 4 in the quotient's place.

$$\begin{array}{r} 24 \\ 3 \overline{)74} \\ 14 \\ 2 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{r} 74 \quad | \overline{3} \\ 14 \quad \underline{24} \\ 2 \end{array}$$

- Students again mentally subtract 12 from 14 and write only the remainder: 2.

in fact there are many variations of long division

The MathML community know of 10, such as

`stackedleftlinetop`: see [http://www.w3.org/Math/draft-spec/mathml.html#chapter3\\_presm.mlongdiv.ex](http://www.w3.org/Math/draft-spec/mathml.html#chapter3_presm.mlongdiv.ex)

Note the utility of being able to re-use one example with different presentations.



## And it's certainly subject area specific

For example (2, 4) might be

**Set Theory** The ordered pair “first 2, then 4”

**(Geometry)** The point  $x = 2, y = 4$

**(Vectors)** The 2-vector of 2 and 4

**Calculus** Open interval from 2 to 4

**Group Theory** The transposition that swaps 2 and 4

**Number Theory** The greatest common divisor of 2 and 4

In general, these are **spoken** differently: the written text “we draw a line from (2,4) to (3,5)” is spoken “we draw a line from the point two four to the point three five” . This makes “text to speech” very difficult for (advanced) mathematics

: consider “Since  $H_i \leq G$  for  $i \leq n$ ”

## Our Notation isn't perfect I (Landau Notation)

Orders of growth (The “Landau Notation” [Bac94])

✓  $O(f(n))$  for  $\{g(n) | \exists N, A : \forall n > N |g(n)| < Af(n)\}$

✓ And similarly  $\Omega$ ,  $\Theta$  etc.

⚡ But we write “ $n = O(n^2)$ ” when we should write “ $n \in O(n^2)$ ”  
Generally spoken “ $n$  is big- $O$  of  $n$  squared”, not **equals**

This isn't the traditional use of “=”, for example “ $n = O(n^2)$ ” but *not* “ $O(n^2) = n$ ”

Causes grief every time I have to explain this (I lecture the first-year Maths course that introduces this), and many books don't give the simple definition  $\Theta(f(n)) = O(f(n)) \cap \Omega(f(n))$  [Lev07] is the only text I know to be “correct”

## Our Notation isn't perfect II: Iterated functions

✓  $\sin(x^2)$ : square  $x$ , then apply  $\sin$

✓  $(\sin x)^2$ : apply  $\sin$  to  $x$ , then square the result

✓  $\sin(\sin(x))$ : apply  $\sin$  to  $x$ , then apply  $\sin$  again

⚠  $\sin^2 x$  is generally used to mean  $(\sin x)^2$ :

“[This] is by far the most objectionable of any” [Bab30]

If anything, it should mean  $\sin(\sin(x))$ :

since this is the sense in which we write  $\sin^{-1}(x)$  — apply the inverse operation of  $\sin$ , not  $1/\sin(x)$

## An example of mathematical notation?

$$\pi = 3 + \frac{1}{7 + \frac{1}{15 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{292 + \dots}}}}$$

which is nearly always written as

$$\pi = 3 + \frac{1}{7} - \frac{1}{15} + \frac{1}{1} - \frac{1}{292} + \dots$$

Much easier for (manual) typesetting, and uses less space

# So how might a computer display mathematical notation?

- Historically** Some kind of image: GIF/JPEG
- Typesetting** Many attempts, then  $\text{T}_{\text{E}}\text{X}$  [Knu84]
  - Principle** boxes with width, height and depth
  - depth** is vital: recall continued fraction
- Since 1998** (at least in theory) MathML (Presentation) [Wor99]
  - But** back then browsers didn't have depth — still a significant problem, and Chrome, for example, sometimes does and sometimes doesn't support MathML (reasons vary)
  - And** the range of fonts is often inadequate, or nonstandard
  - MathJax** is a very pragmatic solution [Mat11]

## Linebreaking: a major challenge

How should a mathematical expression be broken across across multiple lines?

**Author**  $\text{T}_{\text{E}}\text{X}$ , and  $\text{L}_{\text{A}}\text{T}_{\text{E}}\text{X}$ , provide no support for breaking displayed equations, and not much for “in-line” equations

when I reformat a document, re-breaking equations is a significant part of the effort

**System** the author of a web page has no control over the screen-size of the browser, so the browser *has* to break the expression

The author can give hints, and the MathML standard provides suggestions, but this is an unsolved problem (and an important one for e-books!)

## MathML (Presentation)

This specifies the ‘presentation’ elements of MathML, which can be used to describe the layout structure of mathematical notation.  $f(x)$ ,  $f(x)$  in T<sub>E</sub>X, would (best) be represented in MathML as

```
<mrow>
  <mi> f </mi>
  <mo> &ApplyFunction; </mo>
  <mrow>
    <mo> ( </mo>
    <mi> x </mi>
    <mo> ) </mo>
  </mrow>
</mrow>
```

Note that it is clear precisely what the argument of  $f$  is: this matters for line breaking and speech rendering — “ $f$  of  $x$ ”, as well as meaning

## But it is presentation

and, I would argue, largely written presentation, though MathML→speech is definitely better than predecessors, and good for “K-12” (school) mathematics

```
<mrow>  
  <mo> ( </mo>  
  <mn> 2 </mn>  
  <mo> , </mo>  
  <mn> 4 </mn>  
  <mo> ) </mo>  
</mrow>
```

(spoken “open bracket, two, comma, four, close bracket”)  
is just as ambiguous as (2, 4) (indeed, it’s really the same thing) To ask what the mathematics “means”, we need MathML (Content)



## MathML (Content)

“an explicit encoding of the underlying mathematical meaning of an expression, rather than any particular rendering for the expression” [Wor12]

Consider  $(F + G)x$ : this could be multiplication or function application

<code>&lt;apply&gt;&lt;times/&gt;</code>	<code>&lt;apply&gt;</code>
<code>  &lt;apply&gt;&lt;plus/&gt;</code>	<code>  &lt;apply&gt;&lt;plus/&gt;</code>
<code>    &lt;ci&gt;F&lt;/ci&gt;</code>	<code>    &lt;ci&gt;F&lt;/ci&gt;</code>
<code>    &lt;ci&gt;G&lt;/ci&gt;</code>	<code>    &lt;ci&gt;G&lt;/ci&gt;</code>
<code>  &lt;/apply&gt;</code>	<code>  &lt;/apply&gt;</code>
<code>  &lt;ci&gt;x&lt;/ci&gt;</code>	<code>  &lt;ci&gt;x&lt;/ci&gt;</code>
<code>&lt;/apply&gt;</code>	<code>&lt;/apply&gt;</code>

No need for brackets, as `<apply>` groups, and the meaning is explicit: in the first we have application of `<times/>` while in the second we are applying  $F + G$

## OpenMath: 1993–

This grew out of the computer algebra community: exchanging mathematics between different algebra systems

Extensibility was key: very few basic concepts

**Basic objects** OMI integers, OMF (IEEE) floating point numbers, OMSTR (Unicode) strings, OMB byte arrays, OMV (mathematical) variables, OMS OpenMath symbols

**OMA** (the concept of) function application

**OMATTR** attributes of an object

**OMBIND** binding variables ( $\lambda$ ,  $\sum_i$ ; etc.)

**OMERR** error objects

All else is built from these: even addition is just a symbol

## OpenMath symbols

A symbol (or several) is defined in a *Content Dictionary* (CD), which lists the symbols and, formally or informally, their meaning

- `<OMS name="plus" cd="arith1"/>` the “addition” operator
- `<OMS name="times" cd="arith1"/>` the “multiplication” operator
- `<OMS name="times" cd="arith2"/>` non-commutative multiplication
- `<OMS name="log" cd="transc1"/>` the complex logarithm, with an informal specification of the branch cut (following [AS64])
- `<OMS name="arctan" cd="transc1"/>` the inverse tangent, with a **formal** relationship with log.

Anyone can write a Content Dictionary: private, experimental and can become official

## MathML (Content) evolution

MathML was the first XML application

1.0: 1998 “K–12” (Kindergarten to High School) Mathematics:  
90 elements

2.0: 2000 rather more calculus: 127 elements

2.0 2nd ed: 2003 ability to extend via OpenMath

3.0: 2010 Full interoperability with OpenMath

3.0 2nd ed: 2014 (some bug fixes)

so now `<times/>` is just a shorthand for

```
<OMS name="times" cd="arith1"/>
```

OpenMath workshop at CICM 2016 next week

(<http://cicm-conference.org/2016/cicm.php>) will consider  
closer integration

# How might a computer understand written mathematics?

The technical term is **parsing** and there are papers, books and numerous tools (`flex`, `bison` etc.) to do this, for over fifty years  
But two-dimensional parsing? Little literature and no tools  
It's not even clear what the specification would be  
A few packages, both for reverse-engineering PDF [BSS12, Suz11] and for handwritten mathematics [HW13]  
Generally a mass of heuristics, often with machine-learning  
And some of the tables are indescribable

4.3.131

$$\int \frac{dz}{a+b \sin z} = \frac{2}{(a^2-b^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \arctan \frac{a \tan \left(\frac{z}{2}\right) + b}{(a^2-b^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \quad (a^2 > b^2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{(b^2-a^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \ln \left[ \frac{a \tan \left(\frac{z}{2}\right) + b - (b^2-a^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{a \tan \left(\frac{z}{2}\right) + b + (b^2-a^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right] \quad (b^2 > a^2)$$

4.3.132

$$\int \frac{dz}{1 \pm \sin z} = \mp \tan \left( \frac{\pi}{4} \mp \frac{z}{2} \right)$$

4.3.133

$$\int \frac{dz}{a+b \cos z} = \frac{2}{(a^2-b^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \arctan \frac{(a-b) \tan \frac{z}{2}}{(a^2-b^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \quad (a^2 > b^2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{(b^2-a^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \ln \left[ \frac{(b-a) \tan \frac{z}{2} + (b^2-a^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(b-a) \tan \frac{z}{2} - (b^2-a^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right] \quad (b^2 > a^2)$$

4.3.141

$$\int_0^{\pi} \sin^2 nt \, dt = \int_0^{\pi} \cos^2 nt \, dt = \frac{\pi}{2} \quad (n \text{ an integer,})$$

4.3.142

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{\sin mt}{t} \, dt = \frac{\pi}{2} \quad (m > 0)$$

$$= 0 \quad (m = 0)$$

$$= -\frac{\pi}{2} \quad (m < 0)$$

4.3.143

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{\cos at - \cos bt}{t} \, dt = \ln(b/a)$$

4.3.144

$$\int_0^{\infty} \sin t^2 \, dt = \int_0^{\infty} \cos t^2 \, dt = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}$$

4.3.145

$$\int_0^{\pi/2} \ln \sin t \, dt = \int_0^{\pi/2} \ln \cos t \, dt = -\frac{\pi}{2} \ln 2$$

4.3.146

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{\cos mt}{1+t^2} \, dt = \frac{\pi}{2} e^{-m}$$

[AS64, p.576]: metasymbols  $p, q \in \{c, d, s, n\}$

### 16.25. Notation for the Integrals of the Squares of the Twelve Jacobian Elliptic Functions

$$16.25.1 \quad Pq u = \int_0^u pq^2 t \, dt \text{ when } q \neq s$$

$$16.25.2 \quad Ps u = \int_0^u \left( pq^2 t - \frac{1}{t^2} \right) dt - \frac{1}{u}$$

**Examples**

$$Cd u = \int_0^u cd^2 t \, dt, \quad Ns u = \int_0^u \left( ns^2 t - \frac{1}{t^2} \right) dt - \frac{1}{u}$$

## Even the one-dimensional parsing is hard:

What does juxtaposition mean?

Number formation  $23 (2 \cdot 10 + 3)$

Word formation  $\sin$

function application  $\sin x$  (`<sin/>&ApplyFunction;x`)

Multiplication  $xy$  (`x&InvisibleTimes;y`)

Concatenation  $M_{ij}$  (`i&InvisibleComma;j`)

Addition  $4\frac{1}{2}$  (`4&#x2064;...`)

(for technical reasons, this isn't `4&InvisiblePlus;`)

What is  $M_{12}$ ? — “Em twelve” or “Em one two”?



# Juxtaposition “explained” [Dav14, Table 1]

left weight	right weight	meaning	example
normal	normal	lexical	$\sin$
normal	italic	application	$\sin x$
italic	italic	multiplication	$xy$ (but $M_{ij}$ )
italic	normal	multiplication	$a \sin x$
digit	digit	lexical	42 (but $M_{42}$ )
digit	italic	multiplication	$2x$
digit	normal	multiplication	$2 \sin x$
normal	digit	application	$\sin 2$
		(but note the precedence in	$2 \sin 3x$ )
italic	digit	error	$x2$
		(but reconsider)	$x^2$ or $x_2?$
digit	fraction	addition	$4\frac{1}{2}$
italic	greek	application <sup>-1</sup>	$a\phi$
		(as in group theory)	i.e. $\phi(a)$
italic	(	unclear	$f(y+z)$ or $x(y+z)$

## Consequences




- Compare “ $\sin x$ ” ( $\sin x$ ) with “ $\sin x$ ” ( $\text{sin}x$ )
- The (trained!) eye is very sensitive to these differences of spacing
- Note also that the font drives the **meaning** of juxtaposition
- Hence the requirement to digitise mathematics more carefully than normal text (at least 400dpi, preferably 600dpi, whereas normal text is fine at 300dpi)
- Size of characters also matters: at least as important a clue as vertical alignment to sub/super scripts, especially prescripts

We've come a long way from just images, but there's still a long way to go: in particular *searching* for formulae is still an unsolved problem (MathSearch workshops/challenges)

# Conclusions

- ① “Mathematical Notation” is like “Chinese cooking”: all one to the outsider, but a wide variety of tastes with subtle fusions to the expert
  - \* Hence recognition of subject area is crucial (and hard to do automatically)
- ② “All variables are equal” ( $\alpha$ -conversion) isn't true in practice:  $f(y + z)$  versus  $x(y + z)$ , or  $n_i \leq n$  versus  $G_i \leq G$  versus  $R_i \leq R$ , however, there's no theory here (except in relativistic summation)
- ? Is  $N_i \leq N$  about numbers, or normalisers? Probably needs a lot of context.

# Bibliography I

-  M. Abramowitz and I. Stegun.  
Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs,  
and Mathematical Tables, 9th printing.  
*US Government Printing Office, 1964.*
-  C. Babbage.  
Article “Notation” .  
*Edinburgh Encyclopaedia, 15:394–399, 1830.*
-  P. Bachmann.  
*Die analytische Zahlentheorie.*  
Teubner, 1894.

## Bibliography II



J. Baker, A. Sexton, and V. Sorge.

Maxtract: Converting PDF to  $\text{\LaTeX}$ , MathML and Text.

In J. Jeuring *et al.*, editor, *Proceedings CICM 2012*, pages 421–425, 2012.



J.H. Davenport.

Nauseating Notation.

[http:](http://staff.bath.ac.uk/masjhd/Drafts/Notation.pdf)

[//staff.bath.ac.uk/masjhd/Drafts/Notation.pdf](http://staff.bath.ac.uk/masjhd/Drafts/Notation.pdf),  
2014.



A. Einstein.

Die Grundlage der allgemeinen Relativitaetstheorie (The Foundation of the General Theory of Relativity).

*Annalen der Physik Fourth Ser.*, 49:284–339, 1916.

## Bibliography III



R. Hu and S. Watt.

Determining Points on Handwritten Mathematical Symbols.  
In J. Carette *et al.*, editor, *Proceedings CICM 2013*, pages  
168–183, 2013.



D.E. Knuth.

*The T<sub>E</sub>Xbook: Computers and Typesetting Vol. A.*  
Addison–Wesley, 1984.



A. Levitin.

*Introduction to the design and analysis of algorithms.*  
Pearson Addison–Wesley, 2007.

## Bibliography IV



N.R. Lopez.

Mathematical Notation Comparisons between U.S. and Latin American Countries.

<https://sites.google.com/site/algorithmcollectionproject/mathematical-notation-comparisons-between-u-s-and-latin-2008>.



MathJax Consortium.

MathJax: Beautiful math in all browsers.

<http://www.mathjax.org/>, 2011.






R. Recorde.

*The Whetstone of Witte.*

J. Kyngstone, London, 1557.

# Bibliography V

-  Stifelius [Michael Stifel].  
*Arithmetica Integra*.  
Iohan Petreius, Norimberg, 1544.
-  M. Suzuki.  
Infty (2011).  
<http://www.inftyproject.org>, 2011.
-  World-Wide Web Consortium.  
Mathematical Markup Language (MathML[tm]) 1.01  
Specification: W3C Recommendation, revision of 7 July 1999.  
<http://www.w3.org/TR/REC-MathML/>, 1999.



# Bibliography VI



World-Wide Web Consortium.

Mathematical Markup Language (MathML) Version 3.0:  
second edition.

<http://www.w3.org/Math/draft-spec/>, 2012.