# Big BAM: Detecting non-stationarity in peak river flows

Emiko Dupont, Robbie Peck, Tom Smith, Ilaria Prosdocimi, Nicole Augustin

ITT7

31 January 2017

Emiko Dupont, Robbie Peck, Tom Smith, Ilaria Prosdocimi, Nicole Augustin

## Data

- 29,687 obs. of 42 variables.
- Time series at 675 stations.



Emiko Dupont, Robbie Peck, Tom Smith, Ilaria Prosdocimi, Nicole Augustin

## Linear model at each station



#### Model:

$$y_i = \log(\text{flow}_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 t_i + \varepsilon_i \text{ where } \varepsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2) \text{ iid}$$

**Hypothesis test:**  $H_0: \beta_1 = 0$  against  $H_1: \beta_1 \neq 0$ 

$$\mathcal{T}=rac{\hat{eta}_1}{\hat{\sigma}_{\hat{eta}_1}}\sim_{ extsf{approx}} N(0,1)$$
 under  $H_0$ 

Reject  $H_0$  if |T| > 1.64 (time dependence is significant)

Emiko Dupont, Robbie Peck, Tom Smith, Ilaria Prosdocimi, Nicole Augustir

## Test statistic for $\alpha = 0.1$



### Time dependence significant at around 20% of stations

Emiko Dupont, Robbie Peck, Tom Smith, Ilaria Prosdocimi, Nicole Augustin

## What can we do to improve this?

**Improvement 1**: Build a spatial model for the test statistic

Pooling data improves power of statistical test
Approach 1: Mixed effects model based on hydrometric areas:
For station i in area j

$$\mathcal{T}_i = \mu + b_i + arepsilon_i$$
 where  $b_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_b^2), \quad arepsilon_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ 

#### **Results:**

- Reproduced results from Emikos project.
- 80% of tests now significant at level 0.1.

Approach 2: GAM with spatial random effect

$$T_i = \mu + f(c\text{-east}_i, c\text{-north}_i) + \varepsilon_i$$
 where  $\varepsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ 

Use of centroids of catchment as locations.



Emiko Dupont, Robbie Peck, Tom Smith, Ilaria Prosdocimi, Nicole Augustin

### **Improvement 2**: **Approach 1**: Investigate shape of time dependence (GAMM) For station i in hydrometric area j at time $t_k$

$$\log(\mathrm{flow}_{ik}) = f_j(t_k) + b_{0,i} + b_{1,i}t_k + \varepsilon_{ik}$$

$$\mathsf{b}_{0,i} \sim \mathsf{N}(0,\sigma_{b_0}^2), b_{1,i} \sim \mathsf{N}(0,\sigma_{b_1}^2), \varepsilon_i \sim \mathsf{N}(0,\sigma^2)$$

- Standardise the flow
- *f<sub>j</sub>* for each hydrometric area.



# Plots of two $f_j$ 's



Emiko Dupont, Robbie Peck, Tom Smith, Ilaria Prosdocimi, Nicole Augustin

#### Approach 2:

Varying coefficient model based on centralised spatial coordinates. For station i, hydrometric area j and water year k,

 $\log(\text{Standardised Flow}_{ik}) = f((\text{c-north}, \text{c-east})_i)t_k + b_j + \epsilon_{ik}$ 

- , where  $b_j N(0, \sigma_b^2)$ .
  - Reflects that stations nearer are more similar.
  - Shows spatial pattern of time dependence.



Emiko Dupont, Robbie Peck, Tom Smith, Ilaria Prosdocimi, Nicole Augustin

## Future Work

- Can we obtain "estimated time dependence" from test statistic results?
- From the varying coefficient model, how do we assess whether slopes are significant?
- Improve measure of similarity of stations.
- Model AMAX using GEV distribution.