

Neutron transport - a few mathematical perspectives

Ivan Graham

Collaborators:

Paul Smith,
Jack Blake,
Fynn Scheben,
Alastair Spence

Steady-state neutron transport equation

angular flux $\Psi(\mathbf{r}, E, \Omega)$ describes distribution of neutrons in: space ($\mathbf{r} \in V \subset \mathbb{R}^3$), energy ($E \in \mathbb{R}^+$), direction ($\Omega \in \mathbb{S}^2$)

- source problem for BTE:

$$\begin{aligned} & \Omega \cdot \nabla \Psi(\mathbf{r}, E, \Omega) + \sigma(\mathbf{r}, E) \Psi(\mathbf{r}, E, \Omega) \\ &= \left\{ \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \sigma_s(\mathbf{r}, E', E, \Omega', \Omega) \Psi(\mathbf{r}, E', \Omega') \, d\Omega' \, dE' \right\} \\ & \quad + \left\{ \frac{\chi(E)}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \nu(\mathbf{r}, E') \sigma_f(\mathbf{r}, E') \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \Psi(\mathbf{r}, E', \Omega') \, d\Omega' \, dE' \right\} \\ & \quad + Q(\mathbf{r}, E) \end{aligned}$$

- Abstractly:

$$\mathcal{T}\Psi = \mathcal{S}\Psi + \mathcal{F}\Psi + Q$$

+ boundary condition (\mathcal{T} is a first order hyperbolic operator)

Criticality:

Find the **smallest** $\lambda > 0$ such that the eigenpair (λ, Ψ) solves

$$(\mathcal{T} - \mathcal{S})\Psi = \lambda \mathcal{F}\Psi \quad (*)$$

+ homogeneous boundary conditions

- $\lambda = 1$: system is **critical**
- $\lambda < 1$: **supercritical**
- $\lambda > 1$: **subcritical**

(*) is a generalised EVP for a non-self-adjoint operator!

For simplicity, consider model problems with

- **one energy group** (no energy dependence):
- **isotropic scattering** (no dependence on angle):

$$\sigma_s(\mathbf{r}, \Omega, \Omega') = \sigma_s(\mathbf{r})$$

- **vacuum** boundary condition (zero incoming flux)

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r}, E, \Omega) = 0, \quad \forall \mathbf{r} \in \partial V, \quad \forall \Omega \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r}) < 0$$

Comment

Many mathematical results in this scenario. Many open problems to extend these...

$$\Omega \cdot \nabla \Psi(\mathbf{r}, \Omega) + \sigma(\mathbf{r})\Psi(\mathbf{r}, \Omega) = \underbrace{(\sigma_S(\mathbf{r}) + \lambda\nu(\mathbf{r})\sigma_f(\mathbf{r})) \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \Psi(\mathbf{r}, \Omega') d\Omega'}_{\text{scalar flux } \phi(\mathbf{r})} + Q(\mathbf{r}) \quad (1)$$

Classical Discrete Ordinates (S_N) method:

- apply quadrature with N points $\{\Omega_i\}$ to (2).
- evaluate (1) at $\{\Omega_i\}$: N coupled PDEs
- First order hyperbolic: requires “upwinding”
- modelling geometry? - Discontinuous Galerkin methods
- Linear systems with dimension $\sim 10^8 - 10^{10}$ for accuracy ?

Analogue Monte Carlo: no linear systems

Very important tool

- The source problem

$$\mathcal{T}\Psi(\mathbf{r}, \Omega) = g(\mathbf{r}) \quad + \text{vacuum boundary conditions}$$

can be solved analytically (method of characteristics)

- Average Ψ over angle to get scalar flux:

$$\phi(\mathbf{r}) = \mathcal{K}_\sigma g(\mathbf{r}) = \int_V \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\exp(-\tau(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'))}{\|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'\|_2^2} g(\mathbf{r}') \, d\mathbf{r}'$$

$\tau(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$ = line integral of σ from \mathbf{r} to \mathbf{r}' .

\mathcal{K}_σ Self-adjoint, positive definite, positive, easy to estimate

Some consequences

- The EVP

$$(\mathcal{T} - \mathcal{S})\Psi(\mathbf{r}, \Omega) = \lambda \mathcal{F}\Psi(\mathbf{r}, \Omega)$$

is equivalent to a self adjoint generalised EVP for ϕ .

This provided an analysis of AMECs algorithms (“method of perturbations”)

- The convergence of source iteration

$$\mathcal{T}\Psi^{(i+1)} = \mathcal{S}\Psi^{(i)} + \mathcal{F}\Psi^{(i)} + Q \quad (3)$$

can be obtained, **implying the convergence of certain analogue MC methods**

- Convergence of (3) can be accelerated by inverting \mathcal{T} on subdomains and sharing data on boundaries (domain decomposition)
- diffusion approximation in domains when mean free path is small.

perspective

Very little numerical analysis on BTE since mid-80s

Huge ongoing engineering and physics literatures - Rob J

Huge growth in NA of hyperbolic PDEs in general - adaptivity, DG, multiscale

Huge growth in acceleration of MC for other problems - Rob S

Analysis of MC methods: Probabilistic interpretation of BTE is needed - Andreas