

## MA22020: Exercise sheet 2

### Warmup questions

1. Let  $U_1, U_2, U_3 \leq \mathbb{R}^3$  be the 1-dimensional subspaces spanned by  $(1, 2, 0)$ ,  $(1, 1, 1)$  and  $(2, 3, 1)$  respectively.

Which of the following sums are direct?

- (a)  $U_i + U_j$ , for  $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$ .
- (b)  $U_1 + U_2 + U_3$ .

2. Let  $V_i \leq V$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Prove the converse of Corollary 2.8: if

$$\dim V_1 + \cdots + V_k = \dim V_1 + \cdots + \dim V_k$$

then the sum  $V_1 + \cdots + V_k$  is direct.

3. Let  $U \leq V$ . Show that congruence modulo  $U$  is an equivalence relation.
4. Let  $U = \text{span}\{(1, -1, 0), (0, 1, -1)\} \leq \mathbb{R}^3$ . Determine which, if any, of the following cosets are equal:

$$(1, 2, 3) + U, \quad (3, 3, 0) + U, \quad (1, 1, 1) + U.$$

5. Let  $U \leq V$  and  $q : V \rightarrow V/U$  the quotient map. Let  $W$  be a complement to  $U$ . Show that  $q|_W : W \rightarrow V/U$  is an isomorphism.

### Homework

6. Let  $V$  be a vector space. A linear map  $\pi : V \rightarrow V$  is called a *projection* if  $\pi \circ \pi = \pi$ . In this case, prove that  $\ker \pi \cap \text{im } \pi = \{0\}$  and deduce that  $V = \ker \pi \oplus \text{im } \pi$ .
7. Let  $U, W \leq V$ . Define a linear map  $\phi : U \rightarrow (U + W)/W$  by  $\phi(u) = u + W$ .
  - (a) Use the first isomorphism theorem, applied to  $\phi$ , to prove the second isomorphism theorem:

$$U/(U \cap W) \cong (U + W)/W.$$

- (b) Deduce that, when  $V$  is finite-dimensional,

$$\dim(U + W) = \dim U + \dim W - \dim(U \cap W).$$

Please hand in at 4W level 1 by NOON on Thursday 30th October 2025

## MA22020: Exercise sheet 2—Solutions

1. (a) All these sums are direct as each  $U_i \cap U_j = \{0\}$ .  
 (b) Note that  $(2, 3, 1) = (1, 2, 0) + (1, 1, 1)$  and so can be written in two different ways as a sum  $u_1 + u_2 + u_3$ , with each  $u_i \in U_i$ :

$$(1, 2, 0) + (1, 1, 1) + (0, 0, 0) \\ (0, 0, 0) + (0, 0, 0) + (2, 3, 1).$$

Thus  $U_1 + U_2 + U_3$  is not a direct sum.

This shows us that  $U_i \cap U_j = \{0\}$ ,  $i \neq j$ , is not enough to force  $U_1 + U_2 + U_3$  to be direct.

2. Let  $\mathcal{B}_i$  be a basis for  $V_i$  and let  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_k$  be the concatenation of these. By Corollary 2.7, it suffices to see that  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis for  $V_1 + \dots + V_k$ . However,  $\mathcal{B}$  clearly spans and, by hypothesis,

$$|\mathcal{B}| = \dim V_1 + \dots + \dim V_k = \dim V_1 + \dots + V_k.$$

We know from last year that, for any vector space  $W$ , a list of  $\dim W$  vectors that span is a basis and so we are done.

3. **Reflexive**  $v - v = 0 \in U$  so  $v \equiv v \pmod{U}$ .

**Symmetric** If  $v \equiv w \pmod{U}$  then  $v - w \in U$  so that  $w - v = -(v - w) \in U$  and  $w \equiv v \pmod{U}$ .

**Transitive** If  $v \equiv w \pmod{U}$  and  $w \equiv u \pmod{U}$ , then  $v - w, w - u \in U$  whence  $v - u = (v - w) + (w - u) \in U$  and so  $v \equiv u \pmod{U}$ .

4. There are two ways to proceed. The first is to work straight from the definitions: we know that  $v_1 + U = v_2 + U$  if and only if  $v_1 - v_2 \in U$ , that is,  $v_1 - v_2$  is a linear combination of  $(1, -1, 0)$  and  $(0, 1, -1)$ . Now,

$$(3, 3, 0) - (1, 2, 3) = (2, 1, -3) = 2(1, -1, 0) + 3(0, 1, -1) \in U$$

so that  $(3, 3, 0) + U = (1, 2, 3) + U$ . On the other hand, trying to solve

$$(3, 3, 0) - (1, 1, 1) = (2, 2, -1) = \lambda(1, -1, 0) + \mu(0, 1, -1),$$

for  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ , leads to inconsistent equations:

$$\lambda = 2; \quad \mu = -1; \quad \mu - \lambda = 2.$$

Thus  $(2, 2, -1) \notin U$  and  $(3, 3, 0) + U \neq (1, 1, 1) + U$ .

A slicker approach is to observe that  $U = \ker \phi$  where  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the linear map  $\phi(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1 + x_2 + x_3$  (indeed,  $U \leq \ker \phi$  and  $\dim \ker \phi = 2$  by rank-nullity). Now  $v_1 + U = v_2 + U$  if and only if  $\phi(v_1) = \phi(v_2)$  and we simply compute:

$$\phi(1, 2, 3) = \phi(3, 3, 0) = 6, \quad \phi(1, 1, 1) = 3$$

to learn that

$$(1, 2, 3) + U = (3, 3, 0) + U \neq (1, 1, 1) + U.$$

5. Let  $v \in V$ . Since  $V = U + W$ , we write  $v = u + w$  with  $u \in U$  and  $w \in W$ . Then, since  $\ker q = U$ ,  $q(v) = q(u + w) = q(w)$  so that  $\text{im } q|_W = \text{im } q = V/U$ . Thus  $q|_W$  surjects. Further,  $\ker q|_W = \ker q \cap W = U \cap W = \{0\}$  since  $\ker q = U$ . Thus  $q|_W$  has trivial kernel and so injects.

6. Let  $v \in \ker \pi \cap \text{im } \pi$ . Then there is  $w \in V$  such that  $v = \pi(w)$  since  $v \in \text{im } \pi$ . But  $v \in \ker \pi$  also so that

$$0 = \pi(v) = \pi(\pi(w)) = \pi(w) = v.$$

Thus  $\ker \pi \cap \text{im } \pi = \{0\}$  so it remains to show that  $V = \ker \pi + \text{im } \pi$ . For this, write  $v = (v - \pi(v)) + \pi(v)$ . The second summand is certainly in  $\text{im } \pi$  while

$$\pi(v - \pi(v)) = \pi(v) - \pi(\pi(v)) = \pi(v) - \pi(v) = 0$$

so the first is in  $\ker \pi$  and we are done.

7. (a) Let  $q : U + W \rightarrow (U + W)/W$  be the quotient map. Then  $\phi$  is simply the restriction  $q|_U$  of  $q$  to  $U$  and so is linear. Moreover,  $\ker \phi = U \cap \ker q = U \cap W$ . Finally, if  $q(u + w) \in (U + W)/W$ , then, since  $q(w) = 0$ ,

$$q(u + w) = q(u) + q(w) = q(u) = \phi(u)$$

so that  $\phi$  is onto. The first isomorphism theorem now reads

$$U/(U \cap W) = U/\ker \phi \cong \text{im } \phi = (U + W)/W.$$

(b) When  $V$  is finite-dimensional, we have

$$\dim U - \dim(U \cap W) = \dim U/(U \cap W) = \dim(U + W)/W = \dim(U + W) - \dim W$$

and rearranging this gives the result.