

## M216: Exercise sheet 5

### Warmup questions

- Write down matrices  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$  of the following forms:
  - $A_1 \oplus A_2 \oplus A_3$  with each  $A_i \in M_2(\mathbb{R})$ .
  - $A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_5$  with each  $A_i \in M_1(\mathbb{R})$ .
  - $A \in M_3(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $A$  is not of the form  $A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_k$  with  $k > 1$ .
- Let  $V_1, \dots, V_k \leq V$  and  $\phi_i \in L(V_i)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Suppose that  $V = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_k$  and set  $\phi = \phi_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \phi_k$ .
  - If  $U_i \leq V_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , show that the sum  $U_1 + \cdots + U_k$  is direct.
  - Prove that  $\text{im } \phi = \text{im } \phi_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \text{im } \phi_k$ .

### Homework

- Let  $\phi \in L(V)$  be a linear operator on a vector space  $V$ .  
Prove that  $\text{im } \phi^k \geq \text{im } \phi^{k+1}$ , for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover, if  $\text{im } \phi^k = \text{im } \phi^{k+1}$  then  $\text{im } \phi^k = \text{im } \phi^{k+n}$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- Compute the characteristic and minimum polynomials of

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -5 & -7 \\ 1 & 4 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

### Additional questions

- Let  $\phi \in L(V)$  be a linear operator on a vector space  $V$  and  $v \in V$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\phi^{k+1}(v) = 0$  but  $\phi^k(v) \neq 0$ .  
Show that  $v, \phi(v), \dots, \phi^k(v)$  are linearly independent.  
**Hint:** Induct on  $k$ .
- In the situation of Question 2, prove:
  - $m_{\phi_i}$  divides  $m_\phi$ , for each  $1 \leq i \leq k$ .
  - If each  $m_{\phi_i}$  divides  $p \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ , then  $p(\phi) = 0$ .Thus  $m_\phi$  is the monic polynomial of smallest degree divided by each  $m_{\phi_i}$ .  
Otherwise said,  $m_\phi$  is the **least common multiple** of  $m_{\phi_1}, \dots, m_{\phi_k}$ .

**Please hand in at 4W level 1 by NOON on Friday 10th November**

## M216: Exercise sheet 5—Solutions

1. There are a gazillion possibilities.

(a)

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 & 6 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 7 & 8 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 9 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 9 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(b) Any  $5 \times 5$  diagonal matrix will do:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_5 \end{pmatrix} = (\lambda_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus (\lambda_5).$$

(c) Any block matrix with more than one block will have zeros so

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

cannot be written  $A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_k$  with  $k > 1$ .

2. (a) Let  $u \in U_1 + \cdots + U_k$  so we can write  $u = u_1 + \cdots + u_k$ , with  $u_i \in U_i \leq V_i$ . However, since the sum of the  $V_i$  is direct, there is only one way to write  $u$  as a sum of elements of the  $V_i$  and so, in particular, as a sum of elements of the  $U_i$ . Thus the sum of the  $U_i$  is direct.

(b) Let  $v \in \text{im } \phi$  so that  $v = \phi(w)$ , for some  $w \in V$ . Then, writing  $w = w_1 + \cdots + w_k$  with each  $w_i \in V_i$ , we have

$$v = \phi(w) = \phi_1(w_1) + \cdots + \phi_k(w_k) \in \text{im } \phi_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \text{im } \phi_k.$$

Thus  $\text{im } \phi \leq \text{im } \phi_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \text{im } \phi_k$ .

For the converse, let  $v \in \text{im } \phi_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \text{im } \phi_k$  so that  $v = \phi_1(w_1) + \cdots + \phi_k(w_k)$  with  $w_i \in V_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Since each  $\phi_i = \phi|_{V_i}$ , this reads

$$v = \phi(w_1) + \cdots + \phi(w_k) = \phi(w_1 + \cdots + w_k) \in \text{im } \phi.$$

Thus  $\text{im } \phi_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \text{im } \phi_k \leq \text{im } \phi$  and we are done.

3. Let  $v \in \text{im } \phi^{k+1}$  so that  $v = \phi^{k+1}(w)$ , for some  $w \in V$ . Then  $v = \phi^k(\phi(w)) \in \text{im } \phi^k$ . Thus  $\text{im } \phi^k \geq \text{im } \phi^{k+1}$ .

Suppose now that  $\text{im } \phi^k = \text{im } \phi^{k+1}$ . We prove that  $\text{im } \phi^k = \text{im } \phi^{k+n}$  by induction on  $n$ . We are given that this holds for  $n = 1$  so we suppose this holds for some  $n$  ( $\text{im } \phi^k = \text{im } \phi^{k+n}$ ) and prove it then holds for  $n + 1$ . Thus, let  $v \in \text{im } \phi^k = \text{im } \phi^{k+1}$  so that  $v = \phi(\phi^k(w))$ , for some  $w \in V$ . Then  $\phi^k(w) \in \text{im } \phi^k = \text{im } \phi^{k+n}$ , by the induction hypothesis, so that  $\phi^k(w) = \phi^{k+n}(u)$ , some  $u \in V$ , whence  $v = \phi(\phi^{k+n}(u)) = \phi^{k+n+1}(u) \in \text{im } \phi^{k+n+1}$ . We conclude that  $\text{im } \phi^k \leq \text{im } \phi^{k+n+1}$ . The converse inclusion always holds so we have equality. Induction now bakes the cake.

4. We compute the characteristic polynomial of  $A$  to be

$$\Delta_A = -x^3 + 9x^2 - 27x + 27 = -(x - 3)^3.$$

We learn from the Cayley-Hamilton theorem that  $m_A = (x - 3)^k$ , for some  $k$  with  $k \leq 1 \leq 3$ . Clearly  $k = 1$  is out, since  $A$  is not diagonal, so we try  $k = 2$ :

$$(A - 3I)^2 = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & -5 & -7 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -2 & -5 & -7 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -2 & -3 \\ -1 & -2 & -3 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix},$$

which is non-zero. This means we must have  $m_A = (x - 3)^3$ .

5. We follow the hint and induct on  $k$ . For  $k = 0$ , the assertion is that if  $v \neq 0$  and  $\phi(v) = 0$  then the one element list  $v$  is linearly independent which is certainly true (whether or not  $\phi(v) = 0$ ).

Suppose now that the result holds for  $\ell < k$  so that  $\phi^{\ell+1}(w) = 0$  and  $\phi^\ell(w) \neq 0$  forces  $w, \dots, \phi^\ell(w)$  to be linearly independent. Now suppose that  $\phi^{k+1}(v) = 0$  and  $\phi^k(v) \neq 0$ . If there are  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{F}$  with

$$\lambda_1 v + \dots + \lambda_k \phi^k(v) = 0, \quad (1)$$

then taking  $\phi$  of this gives

$$\lambda_1 \phi(v) + \dots + \lambda_{k-1} \phi^{k-1}(\phi(v)) = 0.$$

But with  $w = \phi(v)$ , we have  $\phi^k(w) = 0$  and  $\phi^{k-1}(w) \neq 0$  so the induction hypothesis applies with  $\ell = k - 1 < k$  to give that  $w, \dots, \phi^{k-1}(w)$  are linearly independent. Therefore  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{k-1}$  all vanish. Plugging this back into (1) gives  $\lambda_k \phi^k(v) = 0$  whence  $\lambda_k = 0$  also. We conclude that  $v, \dots, \phi^k(v)$  are linearly independent and so the result holds for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  by induction.

6. (a) We have that  $m_\phi(\phi) = 0$  so that  $0 = m_\phi(\phi)|_{V_i} = m_\phi(\phi_i)$ . It follows that  $m_{\phi_i}$  divides  $m_\phi$ .

(b) Since  $m_{\phi_i}$  divides  $p$ ,  $p(\phi_i) = 0$  for each  $i$ . But then

$$p(\phi) = p(\phi_1) \oplus \dots \oplus p(\phi_k) = 0$$

so that  $m_\phi$  divides  $p$ .