# The HiLat satellite mission

E. J Fremouw,<sup>1</sup> H. C. Carlson,<sup>2</sup> T. A. Potemra,<sup>3</sup> P. F. Bythrow,<sup>3</sup> C. L. Rino,<sup>4</sup> J. F. Vickrey,<sup>4</sup> R. L. Livingston,<sup>4</sup> R. E. Huffman,<sup>2</sup> C.-I. Meng,<sup>3</sup> D. A. Hardy,<sup>2</sup> F. J. Rich,<sup>2</sup> R. A. Heelis,<sup>5</sup> W. B. Hanson,<sup>5</sup> and L. A. Wittwer<sup>6</sup>

(Received August 2, 1984; accepted October 15, 1984)

On June 27, 1983, USAF satellite P83-1 was launched from Vandenberg Air Force Base carrying the five ionospheric-effects and diagnostic payloads of DNA's HiLat satellite mission. A Scoul launch vehicle placed the satellite in an 800-km circular orbit at an inclination of 82." The HiLat experiments are as follows: (1) a VHF/UHF/L band coherent radio beacon for observing complex-signal scintillation and total electron content; (2) a three-instrument cold-plasma package for measuring number density and temperature, their spatial fluctuations, and plasma convection velocity and its fluctuations; (3) an electron spectrometer for detection of precipitating and upwelling electrons with energies between 20 eV and 20 keV; (4) a three-axis magnetometer, and (5) an optical assembly for imagery and spectrophotometry in the vacuum-ultraviolet spectrum and for photometry at two visual wavelengths. With the exception of partial launch damage to the electron sensor (Langmure probe) in the coldplasma package, all payloads initially operated as designed. After approximately 40 orbits of data collection, however, the imaging spectrophotometer failed. In spite of this failure, the optical instrument proved the concept of imaging the aurora, at wacuum-ultraviolet wavelengths, under condutons of full sunlight. Its vasal-wavelength photometers continue to perform well, as do the other four HiLat

### OBJECTIVES

A wide variety of  $C^{3}I$  systems can suffer performance degradation due to phase, angle, and intensity scintillations produced in ionospheric plasmadensity irregularities. The problem would be exacerbated by enhanced plasma density left after highaltitude nuclear detonations, but it occurs also under conditons of natural disturbance when substantial plasma-density gradients are present. At high latitudes, which we define here as extending from the plasmapause to the poles, the *F* layer is replete with macroscale gradients and with sources of free energy that can cause the neutral, ion, and electron gases to move along and across those gradients. When directional requirements are met, such conditions are unstable to the growth of irregularities throughout a large range of scales.

In the HiLat program, we seek to understand high-latitude structures over the range of scales extending from thousands of km down to tens of meters. Theory and experiment have matured mutually to the stage where such understanding is a realistic goal. Its realization requires physical models of global-scale dynamics, and simultaneous comprehensive diagnostics. The HiLat program is providing in situ measurements of the particles, fields, and currents that can lead to high-latitude irregularities, simultaneously with direct radio beacon observations of the scintillations they produce.

Reliable statistical characterization of the complex-signal (amplitude and phase) scintillation permits design of mitigation schemes. Besides the strength and radio-frequency dependence of the fluctuations (the latter well known), their spatial and temporal spectra are of particular interest.

From an operational point of view, points of concern include the observing-geometry dependence of scintillation, which is dictated by the threedimensional shape of the irregularities. Beyond this, a useful capability would be an ability to predict when, where, and under what solar-geophysical conditions disruptive levels of scintillation will occur. This is possible now only in a crude, statistical sense.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Physical Dynamics, Inc., Northwest Division, Bellevue, Washington

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Air Force Geophysics Laboratory, Bedford, Massachusetts.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Applied Physics Laboratory, Johns Hopkins University, Laurel, Maryland

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Radio Physics Laboratory, SRI International, Menlo Park, California

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, Texas.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Atmospheric Effects Division, Defense Nuclear Agency, Washington, D. C.

Copyright 1985 by the American Geophysical Union

Paper number 481297. 0048-6604/85/0048-1297\$08.00

| Payload  | Observables   | Characteristics   | Experimenters   |
|--|---|---|---|
| Beacon   | scintillation<br>TEC  | 138, 390, 413, 436 MHz<br>1239-MHz reference<br>circular polarization               | Carlson et al. (AFGL)<br>Forsyth et al. (UWO)<br>Fremouw et al. (PDNW)‡<br>Rino et al. (SRI)6 |
| Plasma monitor   | $\begin{array}{l} \Delta(\log N_e), N_e, T_e \\ N_i, N_{0^+}, N_{\mathrm{H}^+}, T_{\mathrm{H}^+} \\ \mathbf{V}_d \rightarrow \mathbb{E} \end{array}$                                  | Langmuir probe*<br>RPA resolution to 5 km<br>ion drift meter<br>resolution to 400 m | Hanson (UTD)<br>Heclis (UTD)<br>Rich (AFGL)§  |
| Electron<br>spectrometer   | electron flux<br>and energies   | 20 eV-20 keV<br>zenith, nadır, 40°<br>resolution to 400 m                           | Hardy (AFGL)§   |
| Magnetometer   | B, ∆B→J   | three-axis fluxgate<br>quantization 12 nT<br>resolution to 400 m                    | Bythrow (APL)<br>Potemra (APL)§<br>Zanetti (APL)  |
| AIM<br>pholometers†  | autora  | 3914 and 6300 Å   | Huffman (AFGL)§<br>Meng (APL)   |
| L. A. Wittwer (D<br>*Not fully operat<br>†VUV imager far<br>‡HiLat project ss<br>§Experiment Prin<br>DNA, Defense N<br>AFGL, Air Foro<br>UWO, Universit<br>PDNW, Physica | NA), Program Manager,<br>ble.<br>led on July 23<br>cientist<br>icipal Investigator.<br>(uclear Agency<br>e Geophysics Laboratory<br>y of Western Ontario.<br>I Dynamucs. Inc. Northwe | st Division.  | ,   |

TABLE 1. The HiLat Experiments

The first objective of HiLat is to provide definitive, quantitative information on high-latitude scintillation strength and, more particularly, on the spatial and temporal spectra of the intensity and phase fluctuations of which it is composed. The second is to extend into new locations and local times of day/ night the diagnosis of three-dimensional irregularity shape begun with HiLat's single-experiment predecessor, the Wideband beacon satellite [*Fremouw et al.*, 1978]. The third is to provide the additional diagnostic information on background ionospheric processes that cannot be provided by a beacon experiment alone and that is necessary for definitive identification of irregularity development and dynamics.

SRI, SRI International UTD, University of Texas at Dallas.

APL, Applied Physics Lab, Johns Hopkins University

# DESCRIPTION

Wideband's sole experiment was a 10-frequency radio beacon for measuring total electron content (TEC) and for observing scintillation. The forte of a beacon experiment, for diagnostic purposes, is that it is directly sensitive to scintillation-producing irregularities and that it can provide three-dimensional shape information [Rino, 1979] through the geometrical dependence of scintillation [Fremouw and Lansinger, 1981] and, more directly, through spacedreceiver measurements [Rino and Livingston, 1982].



Fig 1. The HiLat satellite, P83-1



Fig 2 HiLat receiving stations and data coverage for beacon (solid circles) and other (dashed circles) experiments in offset magnetic dipole coordinates. Two representative passes are shown

It cannot reveal the processes leading to development of scintillation-producing irregularities, however, since it provides data only after the irregularities have developed. Electric field driven convection and other ionospheric-magnetospheric processes may contribute to development of scintillation-producing irregularities. For instance, it is possible that precipitation



Fig 3 Data distribution network. (NRCC, National Research Council of Canada.) Dashed lines indicate raw data. Solid lines indicate summary data.



1983

patterns of ion-producing electrons are structured on smaller than macroscales, even down to the scale of the scintillation-producing irregularities themselves. Moreover, the electric fields carried down the highly conductive magnetic-field lines from the magnetosphere also may be structured on the relevant scales. In addition to a scaled-down version of the Wideband beacon, HiLat carries experiment payloads designed to probe both finely scaled precipitation and electric fields, as well as magnetic field aligned currents. Moreover, it carries an optical remote sensing experiment intended to provide macroscale information on auroral activity as a general indicator of the disturbance state of the ionosphere.

Table 1 lists the HiLat experiments, their intended purposes and basic characteristics, and the principal experimenters associated with each. Figure 1 shows the location of the sensors on the HiLat satellite, P83-1, in the space test program of USAF Systems Command's space division (SD). The satellite is controlled by the Naval Astronautics Group (NAG), Point Mugu, California, which issues commands under a letter of agreement with SD. On-orbit operations are planned by the science team and carried out by NAG upon request from the project scientist.

Data are collected by means of real-time transmissions to the following four high-latitude stations shown by encircled stars in Figure 2: Tromso, Norway (auroral zone); Sondre Stromfjord, Greenland (polar cusp); Churchill, Manitoba (auroral zone); and Seattle, Washington (plasmapause). A second Canadian station (noncircled star) is planned for Inuvik, Northwest Territories (auroral cusp), with operations likely to begin in the boreal spring of 1985 The Seattle and Inuvik stations are intended to be transportable to other locations for limitedduration observing campaigns. Ephemeris information used for program tracking of the satellite at the receiving stations is provided by NORAD.

The data collected at the stations shown on Figure 2 (plus early-mission data from Laurel, Maryland, and Kiruna, Sweden) are distributed to the partici-



Fig 5 Data from a HiLat pass over Sondre Stromfjord, Greenland, as the satellite travelled equatorward essentially along the 2130 MLT meridian on September 19, 1983.

pating organizations via the network indicated in Figure 3. Raw data are reduced to summary form at the three fixed stations and at the home locations of the organizations operating the two transportable stations. Summary tapes (solid lines) are sent to AFGL for reproduction and routine distribution, while raw tapes (dashed lines) are archived at AFGL and copied for experimenters upon request.

The experiment payloads normally are operated over the northern quadrisphere of the earth, although they can be turned on for any quarter of the orbit. The satellite is in an approximately 800-km circular orbit at 82 inclination, and the orbital plane precesses through 24 hours of solar time approximately twice prever. Data collection is planned for 3 years.

## EARLY DATA

Following launch, all experiments operated as designed, with the partial exception of the electron sensor (Langmuire probe) of the plasma monitor. Apparently, the connection from its electronics package to the outer grid of the Langmuire probe was severed during launch or orbital insertion, resulting in a logally floating ground. The upshot is that the probe is acting more as an omnidirectional detector of superthermal electrons than as a thermal-electron probe.

More distressingly, the high-voltage power supply to the imaging spectrophotometer in the optics package failed after producing several tantalizing images of both the nightside and dayside aurora, including some in full sunlight. This auroral ionosphetic mapper (AIM) produced data simultaneously with the energetic-electron spectrometer, magnetometer, and plasma probe on approximately 40 passes during the engineering initialization phase of the HiLat mission. Unfortunately, the failure occurred prior to activation of the fully equipped HiLat receiving stations for the operational phase of the mission. Consequently, beacon data are not available from the passes that produced AIM images.

Plate 1 shows one of the spectacular vacuumultraviolet (VUV) images produced by AIM during the HiLat initialization phase, recorded at the ES-RANGE station at Kiruna, Sweden. Figure 4 shows partial data from the in situ instruments. At about 69570 s UT, HiLat passed from the diffuse aurora into the discrete-arc oval on the eveningside of the earth (~1940 MLT) near 69° magnetic eccentric dipole latitude (ELAT). Plate 2 contains dynamic



Plate 1 Vacuum ultraviolet (VUV) image of the aurora developed in daylight at 143 Å on July 23, 1983, between 1917 and 1928 UT Evening oval at bottom, morning at top Sunlit midnight oval to right. Moderate brightness at left is due to atmospheric limb brightening



Plate 2 Energy spectra of precipitating and upwelling electrons recorded by the three directional sensors on HiLat's electron spectrometer during four contiguous portions of the pass on which the data in Plate 1 and Figure 4 were collected. Universal time seconds at start and end of each portion are indicated above each triplet of data. Energy scale in electron volts. Color brightness scale is in el/cm<sup>4</sup> s r keV



Plate 3. Horizontal magnetic perturbations recorded during HiLat crossing of the eveningside aurora shown in Plate 1 Negative (positive) gradients correspond to downward (upward) field-aligned currents.



Fig. 6. Scintullation recorded at Sondre Stromfjord on November 4, 1983 (a) Phase scintillation index (standard deviation of phase over 30-s period). (b) Intensity scintillation index (normalized standard deviation) Note two-frequency corroboration in Figure 6.

energy spectra from the three directional energeticelectron sensors, broken into four time segments. It clearly shows strengthening and hardening of both precipitating and upwelling electrons as the discretearc oval was reached, which persisted until the satellite passed into the polar rain about 100 s later. After traversing the polar cap, HiLat encountered the morningside oval near 75° ELAT at about 70000 s UT.

The two traverses of the discrete-arc oval are demarked clearly in Figure 4 by elevated fluxes of precipitating electrons ( $J_{toc}$ ), multiple current sheets inferred from the magnetometer, and directly by the VUV emission recorded in AIM's nadir pixel. The thermal-ion sensors disclosed rapid shear flows in the auroral oval plasma, with the horizontal ion velocity closely correlated with the magnetic field perturbations on the eveningside. They also showed elevted plasma density on the eveningside, including the region of diffuse aurora, as compared with the early morningside, and some density enhancement associated with the nightside arcs. Although many topside density enhancements are related to precipitatingelectron flux, there is by no means a one-to-one correspondence.

Direct application of Ampere's law permits evaluation of local currents from field perturbations recorded by the magnetometer. Specifically, given the orientation of the magnetometer's x, y, and z sensors, the steep inclination of high-latitude field lines, and HiLat's velocity (7.44 km/s in the x direction), fieldaligned currents can be estimated as

$$J_{||} \sim 0.1 \, \frac{dB_y}{dt} \, \mu \text{A}/\text{m}^2$$

where the rate of change of the y component B field is measured in nanoteslas per second.

Details of field-aligned currents associated with the vuv aurora can be inferred from Plate 3, which shows the magnetic perturbations measured by the transverse y sensor superimposed on a portion of the image shown in Plate 1. The straight line in Plate 3 represents both a local baseline (determined by means of a fifth-order polynomial fit to the undisturbed magnetometer data) and the track of HiLat from left to right over the eveningside auroral forms (shifted in time to account for field-aligned mapping from 800 km to the *E* layer). A negative gradient in the magnetic perturbation represents current flowing downward into the ionosphere, and a positive gradient represents upward current.

The brightest auroral region is one of generally upward current, presumably carried by the precipitating energetic electrons represented in the top panel of Figure 4 and Plate 2. Plate 2 also shows considerable flux of upwelling electrons, however, and the magnetometer indicates an intricate pattern of currents locally flowing downward and upward. The locally strongest current was one flowing downward near HiLat's first encounter with the auroral form. It extended over about 4 km and measured approximately 100  $\mu A/m^2$ , which is about 20 times stronger than the larger-scale downward current in which it was imbedded.

Figure 5 shows data from an operational pass over the HiLat station at Sondre Stromfjord, Greenland, on September 19, 1983. The total energy flux (JETOT) carried by precipitating electrons clearly shows passage of the satellite from the polar cap into the nightside auroral oval near 75 ELAT, at about 2130 UT, as it moved equatorward essentially along the 2130 MLT meridian. The magnetometer again revealed considerable current flowing in the region. Moreover, the beacon receiver now showed that at least the equatorward portion of the oval was the seat of decidedly elevated levels of both phase and intensity scintillation, indicated in Figure 5 by nearly saturated intensity scintillation (normalized standard deviation,  $S_a$ , near unity) at VHF (138 MHz).

Figure 6 shows a scintillation event that is characteristic of a good many records from Sondre Stromfjord. The prominent feature just before midpass is the feature of interest. Such features typically coincide with localized increases in TEC and are believed to stem from localized, highly structured F region density enhancements This event and others like it have yet to be investigated by means of the in situ and optical data from HiLat

#### CONCLUSION

Careful attention must be given to the relative locations of the in situ sensors and the transionospheric radio propagation path prior to forming conclusions about development of scintillationproducing irregularities. Equally important will be consideration of the dynamics of the situation, as revealed, for instance, by yet-to-be-processed ion drift data from the plasma probe for scintillation events such as those shown in Figures 5 and 6. Early data from HiLat show promise toward this end, and we hope to be able to report substantial progress toward it at a future ionospheric effects symposium.

Acknowledgments HiLat is a program of DNA's Atmospheric Effects Division, H Carl Fitz, Chief, with scientific cooperation by AFGL and the National Research Council of Canada, Launch was carried out by a NASA-Vought team, under the direction of Space Division, which manitans administrative responsibility for P83-1 as part of its space test program The authors and DNA express thanks to Naval Astronautics Group for its continued cooperation in providing on-orbit command and housekeepingtelemetry support of the HiLat satellite. The authors also acknowledge, with thanks, the technical contributions of K A Potocki of APL, M. D. Cousins of SRI, J M Lansinger of Physical Dynamics NW, R Raistick of AFGL, and many other individuals at the participating organizations.

#### REFERENCES

- Fremouw, E J., and J. M Lansinger, Dominant configurations of scintillation-producing irregularities in the auroral zone, J. Geophys. Res., 86(A11), 10,087-10,093, 1981.
- Fremouw, E J., R L. Leadabrand, R. C Livingston, M. D. Cousins, C. L Rino, B C. Fair, and R A. Long, Early results from the DNA Wideband satellite experiment Complex-signal scintillation, Radio Sci, 13(1), 167-187, 1978.
- Rino, C. L., A power law phase screen model for ionospheric scintillation, 1, Weak scatter, Radio Sci, 14(6), 1135, 1979.
- Rino, C. L., and R. C. Livingston, On the analysis and interpretation of spaced-receiver measurements of transionospheric radio waves, *Radio Sci*, 17(4), 845, 1982

L. A. Wittwer, Atmospheric Effects Division, Defense Nuclear Agency, Washington, DC 20305.

P F Bythrow, C-I Meng, and T A Potemra, Applied Physics Laboratory, Johns Hopkins University, Johns Hopkins Rd., Laurel, MD 20707

H. C. Carlson, D A. Hardy, R. E Huffman, and F J Rich, Air Force Geophysics Laboratory, Bedford, MA 01731.

E. J Fremouw, Physical Dynamics, Inc., P.O. Box 3027, Bellevue, WA 98009

W B. Hanson and R. A. Heelis, University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, TX 75080

R. L. Livingston, C. L. Rino, and J F. Vickrey, Radio Physics Laboratory, SRI International, Menlo Park, CA 94025