

Recap of material from notes

A family $a = (a_h)_{0 < h \leq h_0}$, with $a_h \in C^\infty(T^*\mathbb{R}^d)$, is a *symbol of order m* , written as $a \in S^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$, if, for any multiindices α, β , there exists $C_{\alpha, \beta}$ such that

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta a_h(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \langle \xi \rangle^{m-|\beta|} \quad \text{for all } (x, \xi) \in T^*\mathbb{R}^d \text{ and for all } 0 < h \leq h_0 \quad (0.1)$$

For $a \in S^m$, we define the *semiclassical quantisation* of a , $\text{Op}_h(a)$ by

$$(\text{Op}_h(a)v)(x) := (2\pi h)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \exp(i(x-y) \cdot \xi/h) a(x, \xi) v(y) dy d\xi \quad (0.2)$$

for $v \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, where the integral is understood as an iterated integral, with the y integration performed first, i.e.,

$$(\text{Op}_h(a)v)(x) = (2\pi h)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \exp(ix \cdot \xi/h) a(x, \xi) \mathcal{F}_h v(\xi) d\xi. \quad (0.3)$$

Lemma 0.1. $\text{Op}_h(a) : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Theorem 0.2. (Composition and mapping properties of semiclassical pseudodifferential operators.) *If $A \in \Psi_h^{m_A}$ and $B \in \Psi_h^{m_B}$, then*

$$(i) \ A^* : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ and } A^* \in \Psi_h^{m_A},$$

Lemma 0.3. *If $a \in h^\infty S^{-\infty}$, then $\text{Op}_h(a) = O(h^\infty)_{\Psi^{-\infty}}$.*

Definition 0.4. (Operator wavefront set.) $(x_0, \xi_0) \in T^*\mathbb{R}^d$ is not in the semiclassical operator wavefront set of $A = \text{Op}_h(a) \in \Psi_h^m$, denoted by $\text{WF}_h A$, if there exists a neighbourhood U of (x_0, ξ_0) such that for all multiindices α, β and all $N \geq 1$ there exists $C_{\alpha, \beta, N, U} > 0$ such that

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta a(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta, N, U} h^N \quad \text{for all } (x, \xi) \in U \text{ and } 0 < h \leq h_0; \quad (0.4)$$

Definition 0.5. (Symbol class S_{phg}^m .) $a \in S_{\text{phg}}^m$ if $a \in S^m$ and there exist $a_j \in S^{m-j}$, independent of h , such that, for all $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,

$$a - \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} h^j a_j \in h^N S^{m-N}. \quad (0.5)$$

If $A = \text{Op}_h(a)$ for $a \in S_{\text{phg}}^m$, we write $A \in \Psi_{\text{phg}}^m$.

Lemma 0.6. (Definitions of compactly and properly supported in terms of cut-off functions.)

- (i) A is compactly supported iff there exist $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $A = \chi_1 A \chi_2$.
- (ii) A is properly supported iff for any $\chi \in \mathcal{D}$ there exist $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in \mathcal{D}$ such that

$$\chi A = \chi A \chi_1, \quad A \chi = \chi_2 A \chi.$$

Theorem 0.7. (Borel's theorem.) *Given $a_j \in S^{m-j}$, $j = 0, 1, \dots$, there exists $a \in S^m$ such that $a \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} h^j a_j$ (in the sense of (0.5)).*

Lemma 0.8. *Suppose $a \in S^m$ and $a_j \in S^{m-j}$ $j = 0, 1, \dots$ are such that $a \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} h^j a_j$. If $a_j \in S_{\text{phg}}^{m-j}$, then $a \in S_{\text{phg}}^m$.*

0.1 Exercises for Section 7

1. (i) Show that $a(x, \xi) = \sum_{|\gamma| \leq m} a_\gamma(x) \xi^\gamma$, where $a_\alpha \in C^\infty$ and $\partial^\gamma a_\alpha \in L^\infty$ for all γ and α , is in S^m .
- (ii) Show that $\langle \xi \rangle^{-m} \in S^{-m}$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.
- (iii) Show that if $\chi \in C_{\text{comp}}^\infty(T^*\mathbb{R}^d)$, then $\chi \in S^{-N}$ for every $N \geq 1$.

Solution: (i)

$$\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta a(x, \xi) = \sum_{|\gamma| \leq m} \partial_x^\alpha a_\gamma(x) \begin{cases} \gamma! ((\gamma - \beta)!)^{-1} \xi^{\gamma - \beta} & \text{if } \beta \leq \gamma, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The bound in (0.1) then follows since, when $\beta \leq \gamma$,

$$|\xi^{\gamma - \beta}| \leq |\xi|^{|\gamma| - |\beta|} = |\xi|^{m - |\beta|} \leq \langle \xi \rangle^{m - |\beta|}.$$

(iii) Since χ has compact support, $\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \chi(x, \xi)$ vanishes as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$ faster than $|\xi|^{-N}$ for any $N > 0$, and thus $\chi \in S^{-N}$ for every $N \geq 1$.

(ii) If we can show that $\langle \xi \rangle^{-1} \in S^1$, then the result follows from the composition property ($a \in S^m, b \in S^\ell$, then $ab \in S^{m+\ell}$). We now prove by induction that for all $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^d$,

$$\partial_\xi^\beta \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq |\beta|} P_\alpha(\xi) \langle \xi \rangle^{-1-|\alpha|-|\beta|} \quad (0.6)$$

for some polynomials P_α of degree $|\alpha|$. Indeed, assume that this holds for some β , and consider

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\xi_i} \left[P_\alpha(\xi) \langle \xi \rangle^{-1-|\alpha|-|\beta|} \right] &= (\partial_{\xi_i} P_\alpha(\xi)) \langle \xi \rangle^{-1-|\alpha|-|\beta|} + (-1 - |\alpha| - |\beta|) P_\alpha(\xi) \langle \xi \rangle^{-1-|\alpha|-|\beta|-1} \frac{\xi_i}{\langle \xi \rangle} \\ &= \underbrace{(\partial_{\xi_i} P_\alpha(\xi))}_{\text{degree } |\alpha| - 1} \langle \xi \rangle^{-1-(|\alpha|-1)-(|\beta|+1)} \\ &\quad + (-1 - |\alpha| - |\beta|) \underbrace{\xi_i P_\alpha(\xi)}_{\text{degree } |\alpha| + 1} \langle \xi \rangle^{-1-(|\alpha|+1)-(|\beta|+1)}; \end{aligned}$$

therefore (0.6) holds for multiindices of order $|\beta| + 1$.

Having proved (0.6), we now bound $|\partial_\xi^\beta \langle \xi \rangle^{-1}|$. To do this, we use the following lemma.

Lemma. *Suppose that $F(X) = P(X) \langle X \rangle^{-m}$, where P is a polynomial of degree ℓ . Then there exists a constant C such that for all $X \in \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$|F(X)| \leq C \langle X \rangle^{\ell-m}.$$

Proof. It suffices to show that the function

$$R(X) := P(X) \langle X \rangle^{-\ell}$$

is bounded on \mathbb{R}^d . We first note that since R is continuous on \mathbb{R}^d , it is bounded on the unit ball. Next we consider R outside the unit ball and write

$$R(X) = \frac{\sum_{|\alpha| \leq \ell} a_\alpha X^\alpha}{(1 + |X|^2)^{\ell/2}}$$

Hence, for $|X| \geq 1$,

$$|R(X)| \leq \frac{|X|^\ell \sum_{|\alpha| \leq \ell} |a_\alpha|}{|X|^\ell} \leq \sum_{|\alpha| \leq \ell} |a_\alpha|.$$

This proves that R is also bounded outside the unit ball, and the result follows. \square

By (0.6),

$$|\partial_\xi^\beta \langle \xi \rangle^{-1}| \leq \sum_{|\alpha| \leq |\beta|} |P_\alpha(\xi)| \langle \xi \rangle^{-1-|\alpha|-|\beta|}. \quad (0.7)$$

By the lemma, there exists C_α such that, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\|P_\alpha(\xi) \langle \xi \rangle^{-1-|\alpha|-|\beta|}\| \leq C_\alpha \langle \xi \rangle^{-1-|\beta|};$$

thus, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$|\partial_\xi^\beta \langle \xi \rangle| \leq \left(\sum_\alpha C_\alpha \right) \langle \xi \rangle^{-1-|\beta|};$$

i.e., $\langle \xi \rangle^{-1} \in S^{-1}$.

2. Prove Lemma 0.1. Hint: perform the y integral in (0.2) and then use the definition of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Solution: by performing the y integral,

$$(\text{Op}_\hbar(a)v)(x) = (2\pi\hbar)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \exp(ix \cdot \xi/\hbar) a(x, \xi) \mathcal{F}_\hbar v(\xi) d\xi,$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} x^\alpha \partial_x^\beta \left((\text{Op}_{\hbar}(a)v)(x) \right) &= \frac{x^\alpha}{(2\pi\hbar)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_x^\beta \left(\exp(ix \cdot \xi/\hbar) a(x, \xi) \right) \mathcal{F}_{\hbar} v(\xi) d\xi, \\ &= \frac{x^\alpha}{(2\pi\hbar)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \exp(ix \cdot \xi/\hbar) \left(\sum_{\gamma: |\gamma| \leq |\beta|} \binom{\beta}{\gamma} \left(\frac{i\xi}{\hbar} \right)^{\beta-\gamma} \partial_x^\gamma a(x, \xi) \right) \mathcal{F}_{\hbar} v(\xi) d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

The idea now is to integrate by parts on the right-hand side, bringing down inverse powers of $|x|$ to show that the right-hand side is bounded. A convenient way to do this is to observe that, with $D := (1/i)\partial$,

$$\left(\frac{1+x \cdot D\xi}{1+|x|^2/\hbar} \right) \exp(ix \cdot \xi/\hbar) = \exp(ix \cdot \xi/\hbar),$$

so that, for any m ,

$$\begin{aligned} x^\alpha \partial_x^\beta \left((\text{Op}_{\hbar}(a)v)(x) \right) &= \frac{x^\alpha}{(2\pi\hbar)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \exp(ix \cdot \xi/\hbar) \left(\frac{1-x \cdot D\xi}{1+|x|^2/\hbar} \right)^m \left[\left(\sum_{|\gamma| \leq |\beta|} \binom{\beta}{\gamma} \left(\frac{i\xi}{\hbar} \right)^{\beta-\gamma} \partial_x^\gamma a(x, \xi) \right) \mathcal{F}_{\hbar} v(\xi) \right] d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{F}_{\hbar} v \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and the derivatives of $a(x, \xi)$ satisfy (0.1), the integral on the right-hand side of this last equation is finite, and the result follows by choosing $m > |\alpha|$.

3. Prove Part (i) of Theorem 0.2 in the special case when A is a Fourier multiplier. Solution:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \text{Op}_{\hbar}(a)u, v \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i(x-y) \cdot \xi/\hbar} a(\xi) u(y) dy d\xi \right) \overline{v(x)} dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \overline{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i(y-x) \cdot \xi/\hbar} \overline{a(\xi)} v(x) dx d\xi \right)} u(y) dy = \langle u, \text{Op}_{\hbar}(\bar{a})v \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d}. \end{aligned}$$

4. Prove Lemma 0.3. Hint: given $s > 0, N \geq 1$, choose an appropriate $M \geq 1$, and use that $a \in \hbar^M S^{-M}$.

Solution: Given $s > 0, N \geq 1$, let $M := \max\{N, 2s\}$. By definition $a \in \hbar^M S^{-M}$, and so, by Part (iv) of Theorem 0.2, given $\hbar_0 > 0$, there exists $C_{s,M}$ such that

$$\hbar^{-M} \|\text{Op}_{\hbar}(a)\|_{H_{\hbar}^{-s} \rightarrow H_{\hbar}^{-s+M}} \leq C_{s,M} \quad \text{for all } 0 < \hbar \leq \hbar_0.$$

Then, since $M \geq 2s$, by the definition of $\|\cdot\|_{H_{\hbar}^s}$,

$$\|\text{Op}_{\hbar}(a)\|_{H_{\hbar}^{-s} \rightarrow H_{\hbar}^s} \leq \|\text{Op}_{\hbar}(a)\|_{H_{\hbar}^{-s} \rightarrow H_{\hbar}^{-s+M}} \leq C_{s,M} \hbar^M \lesssim C_{s,M} \hbar^N,$$

and thus $\text{Op}_{\hbar}(a) = O(\hbar^\infty)_{\Psi^{-\infty}}$ by the definition of the latter.

5. If $P_{\hbar}u := -\hbar^2 \nabla \cdot (A \nabla u) - nu$, show that

- (i) P_{\hbar} is the quantisation of a symbol in S_{phg}^2 , and
(ii) $\sigma_{\hbar}(P_{\hbar}) = (A\xi) \cdot \xi - n \in S^2$.

Solution: since $P_{\hbar}u = -\hbar^2 A_{j\ell} \partial_j \partial_\ell u - \hbar^2 (\partial_j A_{j\ell}) (\partial_\ell u) - n$, the fact that $P_{\hbar} = \text{Op}_{\hbar}((A\xi) \cdot \xi - n - i\hbar \xi_\ell \partial_j A_{j\ell})$ follows from the definition of Op_{\hbar} . The fact that the symbol is in S_{phg}^2 follows from Definition 0.5, and the fact that $\sigma_{\hbar}(P_{\hbar}) = (A\xi) \cdot \xi - n \in S^2$ then follows from the fact that $\hbar \xi_\ell \partial_j A_{j\ell} \in \hbar S^1$.

6. Prove that if $a(x, \xi)$ is independent of \hbar , then $\text{WF}_{\hbar}(\text{Op}_{\hbar}(a)) = \text{supp } a$. Solution: If $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin \text{supp } a$, then there exists a neighbourhood U of (x_0, ξ_0) such that $a(x, \xi) = 0$ for all $(x, \xi) \in U$. Therefore, by (0.4), $(x_0, \xi_0) \in (\text{WF}_{\hbar}(\text{Op}_{\hbar}(a)))^c$; i.e., $(\text{supp } a)^c \subset (\text{WF}_{\hbar}(\text{Op}_{\hbar}(a)))^c$.

Conversely, if $(x_0, \xi_0) \in (\text{WF}_{\hbar}(\text{Op}_{\hbar}(a)))^c$ and a is independent of \hbar , then by (0.4) there exists a neighbourhood U of (x_0, ξ_0) such that $a(x, \xi) = 0$ for all $(x, \xi) \in U$. Therefore $(x_0, \xi_0) \in (\text{supp } a)^c$; i.e. $(\text{WF}_{\hbar}(\text{Op}_{\hbar}(a)))^c \subset (\text{supp } a)^c$.

7. Prove Lemma 0.6. Solution:

(i) \Rightarrow Since K_A is compactly supported, there exist $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in \mathcal{D}$ such that

$$K_A(x, y) = \chi_1(x)K_A(x, y)\chi_2(y). \quad (0.8)$$

\Leftarrow The assumption implies that there exist $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in \mathcal{D}$ such that (0.8) holds. Since χ_1 and χ_2 both have compact support, so does K_A .

(ii) \Leftarrow Given a compact $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, there exists $\chi_X \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\chi_X = 1$ on X . By assumption there exists $\chi_Y \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\chi_X A = \chi_X A \chi_Y$. Thus

$$\chi_X(x)K_A(x, y) = \chi_X(x)K_A(x, y)\chi_Y(y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (0.9)$$

Therefore

$$\{(x, y) \in \text{supp } K_A : x \in \text{supp } X\} \subset \{(x, y) \in \text{supp } K_A : x \in \text{supp } \chi_X\} \subset \text{supp } \chi_Y,$$

which is compact. The proof that, for compact Y , $\{(x, y) \in \text{supp } K_A : y \in \text{supp } Y\}$ is compact is similar.

\Rightarrow Given $\chi_X \in \mathcal{D}$, by assumption $\{(x, y) \in \text{supp } K_A : x \in \text{supp } \chi_X\}$ is compact. Therefore there exists $\chi_Y \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\chi_Y = 1$ on this last set. Then (0.9) holds so $\chi_X A = \chi_X A \chi_Y$. The proof that, given $\chi_Y \in \mathcal{D}$ there exists $\chi_X \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $A \chi_Y = \chi_X A \chi_Y$ is similar.

8. Prove Theorem 0.7 via the following steps.

(a) Let $\chi \in C_{\text{comp}}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with $\chi \equiv 1$ on $[-1, 1]$. Show that if $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=0}^\infty \subset \mathbb{R}$ with $\lambda_j \rightarrow \infty$, the sum

$$a(x, \xi) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \chi\left(\frac{\lambda_j \hbar}{\langle \xi \rangle}\right) \hbar^j a_j(x, \xi)$$

converges.

Solution: Given ξ_0 and $\hbar > 0$, since $\lambda_j \rightarrow \infty$ and χ has compact support, there exists $J \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $\chi(\lambda_j \hbar \langle \xi \rangle^{-1}) = 0$ for all $j \geq J$. Therefore, for each x_0, ξ_0 , and $\hbar > 0$, the sum converges since there are at most finitely-many non-zero terms.

(b) Show that, given β and $\chi \in C_{\text{comp}}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, there exists $C_{\beta, \chi}$ such that

$$\partial_\xi^\beta \left(\chi \left(\frac{\lambda_j \hbar}{\langle \xi \rangle} \right) \right) \leq \frac{C_{\beta, \chi}}{\lambda_j \hbar} \langle \xi \rangle^{1-|\beta|}. \quad (0.10)$$

Solution: We prove the result via induction on $|\beta|$. For $|\beta| = 0$, we write

$$\chi \left(\frac{\lambda_j \hbar}{\langle \xi \rangle} \right) = \frac{\lambda_j \hbar}{\langle \xi \rangle} \chi \left(\frac{\lambda_j \hbar}{\langle \xi \rangle} \right) \frac{\langle \xi \rangle}{\lambda_j \hbar},$$

and the result for $|\beta| = 0$ holds with $C_{0, \chi} = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} t \chi(t)$.

Now

$$\partial_{\xi_i} \partial_\xi^\beta \chi \left(\frac{\lambda_j \hbar}{\langle \xi \rangle} \right) = \partial_\xi^\beta \left(-\frac{\lambda_j \hbar \xi_i}{\langle \xi \rangle^3} \chi' \left(\frac{\lambda_j \hbar}{\langle \xi \rangle} \right) \right). \quad (0.11)$$

By Exercise 1, $\xi_i \in S^1$ and $\langle \xi \rangle^{-1} \in S^{-1}$.

Our aim is to apply the Leibniz formula to the right-hand side of the last displayed equation, and use the induction hypothesis. However, a direct application of this to $(\xi_i \langle \xi \rangle^{-3}) \chi'$ obtains the bound $C_{\beta, \chi} \langle \xi \rangle^{-1-|\beta|}$, i.e., a better bound in $\langle \xi \rangle$, but missing a factor of $1/(\lambda_j \hbar)$.

If we apply the Leibniz formula to $b\psi$, with ψ satisfying (0.10) and $b \in S^0$, we get the bound $C_{\beta, \psi} \langle \xi \rangle^{1-|\beta|}$. Motivated by this, we let $b := \xi_i \langle \xi \rangle$ (which is in S^0 by Theorem 0.2 (ii)), and let $\psi(y) = y^2 \chi'(y)$. Observe that $\psi \in C_{\text{comp}}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, and thus (0.10) holds with χ replaced by ψ .

Applying the Leibniz formula to $b\psi$, we find

$$\partial_\xi^\beta \left((\lambda_j \hbar)^2 \frac{\xi_i}{\langle \xi \rangle^3} \chi' \left(\frac{\lambda_j \hbar}{\langle \xi \rangle} \right) \right) = \sum_{\beta' \leq \beta} \binom{\beta}{\beta'} \partial_\xi^{\beta'} \left(\psi \left(\frac{\lambda_j \hbar}{\langle \xi \rangle} \right) \right) \partial_\xi^{\beta-\beta'} b.$$

By the triangle inequality, the induction hypothesis applied to ψ , and the fact that $b \in S^0$,

$$\partial_\xi^\beta \left((\lambda_j \hbar)^2 \frac{\xi_i}{\langle \xi \rangle^3} \chi' \left(\frac{\lambda_j \hbar}{\langle \xi \rangle} \right) \right) \leq \sum_{\beta' \leq \beta} \binom{\beta}{\beta'} \frac{C_{\beta', \psi}}{\lambda_j \hbar} \langle \xi \rangle^{1-|\beta'|} C_b \langle \xi \rangle^{|\beta'|-|\beta|} \leq \frac{C_{\beta, \chi}}{\lambda_j \hbar} \langle \xi \rangle^{1-|\beta|}.$$

Combining this last inequality with (0.11) and using that $(\lambda_j \hbar)^{-1} \leq C$ (since \hbar is fixed and $\lambda_j \rightarrow \infty$), we obtain the result.

- (c) Show that there is an increasing sequence $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ with $\lambda_j \rightarrow \infty$ such that for any multiindices $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^d$ with $|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq j$,

$$\left| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \left(\chi \left(\frac{\lambda_j \hbar}{\langle \xi \rangle} \right) a_j \right) \right| \leq 2^{-j} \hbar^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{m-j-|\beta|+1}.$$

Solution: by the Leibniz rule

$$\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \left(\chi \left(\frac{\lambda_j \hbar}{\langle \xi \rangle} \right) a_j \right) = \sum_{\beta' \leq \beta} \binom{\beta}{\beta'} \partial_\xi^{\beta'} \left(\chi \left(\frac{\lambda_j \hbar}{\langle \xi \rangle} \right) \right) \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^{\beta-\beta'} a_j.$$

By the triangle inequality, Part (b), and the fact that $a_j \in S^{m-j}$,

$$\left| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \left(\chi \left(\frac{\lambda_j \hbar}{\langle \xi \rangle} \right) a_j \right) \right| \leq \sum_{\beta' \leq \beta} \binom{\beta}{\beta'} \frac{C_{\beta', \chi}}{\lambda_j \hbar} \langle \xi \rangle^{1-|\beta'|} C_{\alpha, \beta-\beta'} \langle \xi \rangle^{m-j-|\beta|+|\beta'|} \leq \frac{\tilde{C}_{\alpha, \beta}}{\lambda_j \hbar} \langle \xi \rangle^{m-|\beta|+1}.$$

Choosing $(\lambda_j)_{j=0}^{\infty}$ such that $\lambda_j \geq \tilde{C}_{\alpha, \beta} 2^{-j}$, we obtain the result.

- (d) With the choice of λ_j from (c), show that for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^d$ with $|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq N$,

$$\left| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \left(a(x, \xi) - \sum_{j=0}^N a_j(x, \xi) \right) \right| \leq C_{\alpha \beta N} \hbar^N \langle \xi \rangle^{m-|\beta|-N}, \quad (0.12)$$

and conclude that $a \sim \sum_j \hbar^j a_j$.

Solution: We now assume that $\hbar \leq 1$ (if instead $\hbar \leq \hbar_0$, we replace 2^{-j} by $(\hbar_0 + 1)^{-j}$ in Part (c) and in the rest of the argument).

$$\begin{aligned} a(x, \xi) - \sum_{j=0}^N \hbar^j a_j(x, \xi) &= \sum_{j=0}^N \hbar^j \left(\chi \left(\frac{\lambda_j \hbar}{\langle \xi \rangle} \right) - 1 \right) a(x, \xi) + \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \hbar^j \chi \left(\frac{\lambda_j \hbar}{\langle \xi \rangle} \right) a_j(x, \xi) \\ &=: T_1(x, \xi, N) + T_2(x, \xi, N). \end{aligned}$$

By the result of Part (c),

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta T_2(x, \xi, N)| &\leq \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^j} \hbar^{j-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{m-j-|\beta|+1} = \frac{\langle \xi \rangle^{m-|\beta|+1}}{\hbar} \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\hbar}{2\langle \xi \rangle} \right)^j \\ &\leq 2^{-N} \hbar^N \langle \xi \rangle^{m-|\beta|-N}, \end{aligned} \quad (0.13)$$

where we have used that $\hbar/(2\langle \xi \rangle) \leq 1/2$.

Since $\chi \equiv 1$ on $[-1, 1]$, if $\hbar \leq \lambda_j^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle$ for $j = 1, \dots, N$, then $\delta_1(x, \xi, N) = 0$. This condition is ensured if $\hbar \leq \lambda_N^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle$ (since λ_j is increasing), so we now assume, without loss of generality, that $\hbar \geq \lambda_N^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle$, i.e.

$$(\langle \xi \rangle \hbar^{-1} \lambda_N^{-1})^{-1} \geq 1. \quad (0.14)$$

Now

$$\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta T_1(x, \xi) = \sum_{j=0}^N \hbar^j \partial_\xi^\beta \left(\chi \left(\frac{\lambda_j \hbar}{\langle \xi \rangle} \right) \partial_x^\alpha a(x, \xi) \right) - \sum_{j=0}^N \hbar^j \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta a(x, \xi) =: T_{11} - T_{12}.$$

Using the fact that $a \in S^m$, the inequality (0.14), and the fact that $\hbar \leq 1$, we have

$$|T_{12}| \leq \sum_{j=0}^N \hbar^j C_{\alpha \beta} \langle \xi \rangle^{m-|\beta|} \leq \sum_{j=0}^N \hbar^j C_{\alpha \beta} \langle \xi \rangle^{m-|\beta|} (\langle \xi \rangle \lambda_N^{-1} \hbar^{-1})^{-N} \leq (2\lambda_N^N C_{\alpha, \beta}) \hbar^N \langle \xi \rangle^{m-|\beta|-N}. \quad (0.15)$$

By the Leibniz rule, the bound (0.10), and the fact that $a \in S^m$,

$$\begin{aligned} |T_{11}| &\leq \sum_{j=0}^N \hbar^j \sum_{\beta' \leq \beta} \binom{\beta}{\beta'} \frac{C_{\beta', \chi}}{\lambda_j \hbar} \langle \xi \rangle^{1-|\beta'|} C_{\alpha, \beta-\beta'} \langle \xi \rangle^{m-|\beta|+|\beta'|} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\lambda_1 \hbar} C_{\alpha, \beta, \chi} \langle \xi \rangle^{m-|\beta|+1} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\lambda_1 \hbar} C_{\alpha, \beta, \chi} \langle \xi \rangle^{m-|\beta|+1} (\langle \xi \rangle \lambda_N^{-1} \hbar^{-1})^{-N-1} \leq 2\lambda_N^N C_{\alpha, \beta, \chi} \hbar^N \langle \xi \rangle^{m-|\beta|-N}. \end{aligned} \quad (0.16)$$

Combining (0.13), (0.15), and (0.16) completes the proof of (0.12).

9. Prove Lemma 0.8.

Solution: Since $a \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j$, given $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, there exists $R_N \in S^{m-N}$ such that

$$a = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \hbar^j a_j + \hbar^N R_N.$$

Since $a_j \in S_{\text{phg}}^{m-j}$, there exist symbols $a_{jk} \in S^{m-j-k}$ independent of \hbar , and $Q_{N-j} \in S^{m-N}$ such that

$$a_j = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1-j} \hbar^k a_{jk} + \hbar^{N-j} Q_{N-j}.$$

Therefore

$$a = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1-j} \hbar^{j+k} a_{jk} + \hbar^N \left(R_N + \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} Q_{N-j} \right).$$

Now

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1-j} \hbar^{j+k} a_{jk} = \sum_{p=0}^{N-1} \sum_{q=0}^p \hbar^p a_{q(p-q)}.$$

So

$$a = \sum_{p=0}^{N-1} \hbar^p \tilde{a}_p + \hbar^N \tilde{R}_N$$

where $\tilde{a}_p := \sum_{q=0}^p a_{q,p-q} \in S^{m-p}$ are independent of \hbar and $\tilde{R}_N := R_N + \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} Q_{N-j} \in S^{m-N}$; i.e., $a \in S_{\text{phg}}^m$.