

Recap $(k^{-2}\Delta - 1)u = f$ in \mathbb{R}^d + radiation condition

reformulated as find $u \in H^1(\mathbb{B}_R)$ s.t. $a(u, v) = F(v) \quad \forall v \in H^1(\mathbb{B}_R)$

$$a(u, v) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_R} (k^{-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v - uv) - k^{-1} \langle \text{DEN } u, v \rangle_{\partial \mathbb{B}_R}$$

Galerkin method: given $H_N \subset H^1(\mathbb{B}_R)$

Goal: find conditions on h and p s.t.

$$\|u - u_k\|_{H^1_k} \leq C_{\varepsilon_0} \min_{v \in H_k} \|u - v\|_{H^1_k}$$

indep. of k "quasi-optimality"

- $p=1, hk^2 \leq C_0$
 - $\frac{hk}{p} \leq C_1, p \geq C_2 \log k$
- [Mekka + Sauter 2010]

dof $\sim \left(\frac{p}{h}\right)^d$
 # dof $\sim k^d$

$$|a(u, v)| \leq C_{\text{cont}} \|u\|_{H^1_k} \|v\|_{H^1_k} \quad \forall u, v$$

$$\text{Gårding: } \text{Re } a(v, v) \geq \|v\|_{H^1_k}^2 - 2 \|v\|_{L^2}^2 \quad \forall v$$

$$\|u\|_{H^2_k} \leq C k R \|f\|_{L^2}$$

Warm up: Q.O. when $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is cb and coercive

$$|a(v, v)| \geq C_{\text{coer}} \|v\|_{H_h^1}^2 \quad \forall v$$

Galerkin o/s: $\left. \begin{array}{l} a(u, v) = F(v) \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{N}_N \\ a(u_N, v_N) = F(v_N) \quad \forall v_N \in \mathcal{N}_N \end{array} \right\} \text{subtract } a(u - u_N, v_N) = 0 \quad \forall v_N \in \mathcal{N}_N$

u_N exists by Lax-Milgram

$$\begin{aligned} \cancel{\|u - u_N\|_{H_h^1}^2} &\leq |a(u - u_N, u - u_N)| + 2 \|u - u_N\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &= |a(u - u_N, u - v_N)| + 2 \|u - u_N\|_{L^2}^2 \quad (\text{since } u_N - v_N \in \mathcal{N}_N) \\ &\leq C_{\text{stab}} \|u - u_N\|_{H_h^1} \|u - u_N\|_{H_h^1} + 2 \|u - u_N\|_{L^2}^2 \end{aligned}$$

need

$$\|u - u_N\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u - u_N\|_{H_h^1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \|u - u_N\|_{H_h^1} \leq \frac{C_{\text{stab}}}{\cancel{C_{\text{coer}}}} \|u - v_N\|_{H_h^1} \quad \forall v_N \in \mathcal{N}_N \quad [\text{Céa's lemma}]$$

How to get suff. condition for $\|u - u_N\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u - u_N\|_{H_h^1}$ (Aubin-Nitsche trick "Schätzargument")

Defⁿ Given $f \in L^2$ let $J^* f \in H^1$ be s.t. $a(v, J^* f) = (v, f)_{L^2} \quad \forall v \in H^1$

Lemma $a(J^* \bar{f}, v) = (\bar{f}, v)_{L^2} \quad \forall v \in H^1$

Lemma if $\eta(H_N) := \sup_{f \in L^2} \min_{v_N \in H_N} \frac{\|J^* f - v_N\|_{H_h^1}}{\|f\|_{L^2}} \leq \frac{1}{2C_{\text{stab}}}$

then $\|u - u_N\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u - u_N\|_{H_h^1}$ and $\|u - u_N\|_{H_h^1} \leq 2C_{\text{stab}} \min_{v_N \in H_N} \|u - v_N\|_{H_h^1}$

how well solⁿs of adjoint eq^s are approximated in H_h^1

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|u - u_N\|_{L^2}^2 &= a(u - u_N, j'(u - u_N)) \quad \text{by def. of } j' \\
 &= a(u - u_N, j'(u - u_N) - v_N) \quad \forall v_N \in \mathcal{H}_N \\
 &\leq C_{\text{cont}} \|u - u_N\|_{\mathcal{H}_k'} \|j'(u - u_N) - v_N\|_{\mathcal{H}_k'} \quad \text{by Gårding of } j
 \end{aligned}$$

$$a(v_N j' f) = (v_N j' f) \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{H}'$$

(Diagram showing the mapping from $u - u_N$ to $v_N j' f$ and the space \mathcal{H}')

by def. of $\eta(\mathcal{H}_k) \exists v_N \in \mathcal{H}_N$ s.t.

$$\|j'(u - u_N) - v_N\|_{\mathcal{H}_k'} \leq \eta(\mathcal{H}_k) \|u - u_N\|_{L^2}$$

$\eta(\mathcal{H}_N) := \sup_{f \in L^2} \min_{v_N \in \mathcal{H}_N} \frac{\|j' f - v_N\|_{\mathcal{H}_k'}}{\|f\|_{L^2}} \Rightarrow \|u - u_N\|_{L^2} \leq C_{\text{cont}} \eta(\mathcal{H}_k) \|u - u_N\|_{\mathcal{H}_k'}$

$\therefore \text{need } \eta(\mathcal{H}_k) \leq \frac{1}{2 C_{\text{cont}}}$

Piecewise polynomial approx. theory

$$\|v - I_h v\|_{H^m(\Omega)} \leq C h^{s-m} |v|_{H^s(\Omega)} \quad \text{if } p \geq s-1$$

interpolation operator
 $I_h v \in \mathcal{N}_N$

e.g. $m=0$

$p=s-1$

Taylor wie (s-1) term remainder $h^s (d^s v)$

Given $v \in H^s$

$$\min_{v_N \in \mathcal{N}_N} \|v - v_N\|_{H^1_k} \leq C_{\text{approx}} \left(\frac{hk}{p}\right)^{s-1} \left(1 + \frac{hk}{p}\right) \underbrace{k^{-s} |v|_{H^s}}_{|v|_{H^s_k}} \quad \text{if } p \geq s-1$$

depends on s

bound on $a(\mathcal{N}_N)$

↑ we $p=1, s=2$

$$a(\mathcal{N}_N) := \sup_{f \in L^2} \frac{1}{\|f\|_{L^2}} \min_{v_N \in \mathcal{N}_N} \|f - v_N\|_{H^1_k} \leq \sup_{f \in L^2} \frac{1}{\|f\|_{L^2}} C_{\text{approx}} hk (1+hk) \frac{k^{-2} |f|_{H^2}}{\|f\|_{L^2}} \leq C kR \|f\|_{L^2} \leq C_{\text{approx}} C hk \cdot kR (1+hk) \text{ " } h^2 \text{ uft. modl "}$$

Theorem (Melenk + Sauter 2010)

choose $k_0 > 0$

$$u|_{B_R} = u_{H^2} + u_A$$

where $\|u_{H^2}\|_{H_k^2(B_R)} \leq C_1 \|f\|_{L^2}$ $\forall k \geq k_0$
one power of kR better

and $\|(k^{-1})^\alpha u_A\|_{L^2(B_R)} \leq C_2 kR (C_3)^{|\alpha|} \|f\|_{L^2(B_R)}$ $\forall k \geq k_0$
 $\forall \alpha$
same kR dependence

(recall $\|u\|_{H_k^2(B_R)} \leq C kR \|f\|_{L^2(B_R)}$)

use splitting to bound $\mathcal{E}(M_R)$

$$\mathcal{E}(M_R) \leq \sup_f \left(\min_{v_{H^2} \in M_R} \frac{\|u_{H^2} - v_{H^2}^{(1)}\|_{H_k^1}}{\|f\|_{L^2}} + \min_{v_A \in M_R} \frac{\|u_A - v_A^{(2)}\|_{H_k}}{\|f\|_{L^2}} \right)$$

$\leq C \frac{hk}{p} (1 + \frac{hk}{p})$

can show $\leq C kR \left(\frac{hk}{\sigma p}\right)^p$

suff small if $\frac{hk}{p} \leq C_1, p \geq C_2 \log k$