

The differential equation method

Laura Eslava. IIMAS-UNAM

BUC-Chile

Describing elements of a random graph

Consider a graph G on n vertices where edges are present independently at random

Vertex set $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$

Edges r.v.'s $X_{ij} = \mathbb{1}_{i \leftrightarrow j}$ independent

Some interesting statistics:

- number of edges
- isolated vertices
- number of components (of given size)
- degree sequence
- chromatic number
- independence number

Intuitively changing one variable in $\{X_{ij}\}$ won't change statistics by much.

This course: conditions to 'see' trends ... in a (graph) discrete process

Chernoff bounds $t > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}(X \geq a) = \mathbb{P}(e^{tX} \geq e^{ta}) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[e^{tX}]}{e^{ta}}$$

$$t = 4a / \sum (b_i - a_i)^2 \quad \text{optimize } t$$

$$\text{If } S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \text{ independent } X_i$$

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n \geq \mathbb{E}[S_n] + \varepsilon) \leq e^{-t\varepsilon} \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[e^{t(X_i - \mathbb{E}[X_i])}]$$

+ bounded X_i so that $a_i \leq X_i \leq b_i$

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n \geq \mathbb{E}[S_n] + \varepsilon) \leq e^{-2\varepsilon^2 / \sum (b_i - a_i)^2}$$

This gives quantitative bounds for LLN $\mathbb{P}(X_i \in (0, 1))$

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{S_n - \mathbb{E}[S_n]}{n}\right| > u\right) \leq \sum_{n \geq 1} 2e^{-nu^2/2} < \infty$$

$$\Rightarrow_{\text{B.C.}} \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{S_n - \mathbb{E}[S_n]}{n}\right| > u \text{ infinitely often}\right) = 0$$

$$\frac{S_n - \mathbb{E}[S_n]}{n} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$$

Two generalization

① If $\{Z_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ martingale, $Z_0 = 0$

$$|Z_{i+1} - Z_i| \leq c_i$$

$$\mathbb{P}(Z_n \geq \mathbb{E}[Z_n] + \varepsilon) \leq e^{-2\varepsilon^2 / \sum c_i^2}$$

Proof Sketch: $\mathcal{F}_i = \sigma\text{-algebra}(Z_1, \dots, Z_i)$
 $Z_n = \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i - Z_{i-1} = \sum_{i=1}^n V_i$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[e^{tZ_n}] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{i=1}^n e^{tV_i}\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{i=1}^n e^{tV_i} \mathbb{E}[e^{tV_i} | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}]\right]\end{aligned}$$

Now V_n satisfies conditions of Hoeffding's lemma.

② Let $\{X_i\}$ independent $X_i \in \mathcal{X}$

$$f: \mathcal{X}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

Conditions

$$|f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) - f(y_1, \dots, y_n)| \leq \sum c_i \mathbb{1}_{x_i \neq y_i}$$

$$\mathbb{P}(f(X) \geq \mathbb{E}[f] + \varepsilon) \leq e^{-2\varepsilon^2 / \sum c_i^2}$$

$$V_i = \mathbb{E}[f | \mathcal{F}_i] - \mathbb{E}[f | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}]$$

$$\mathcal{F}_i = \sigma\text{-algebra}(X_1, \dots, X_i)$$

$\exists$ r.v.'s L_i, U_i measurable w.r.t $\mathcal{F}_{i-1}$

$$L_i \leq V_i \leq U_i \quad U_i - L_i \leq c_i$$

so can apply Hoeffding's lemma

Extension in Hamming distance

$$\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in S^n \quad A \subset S^n$$

Hamming dist $d_H(\underline{x}, y) = \sum \mathbb{1}_{x_i \neq y_i}$

$$d_H(\underline{x}, A) = \inf_{y \in A} d_H(\underline{x}, y)$$

$$[A]_r = \{ \underline{x} \in S^n : d_H(\underline{x}, A) \leq r \}$$

How big becomes $[A]_{\epsilon n}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\underline{x} \in [A]_{\epsilon n}) &= \mathbb{P}(d(\underline{x}, A) \leq \epsilon n) \\ &= 1 - \mathbb{P}(d(\underline{x}, A) > \epsilon n) \end{aligned}$$

$$* \epsilon n > \mathbb{E}[d(\underline{x}, A)] \quad u = \epsilon n - \mathbb{E}[d(\underline{x}, A)]$$

$$\mathbb{P}(d(\underline{x}, A) > \epsilon n) = \mathbb{P}(d(\underline{x}, A) > \mathbb{E}[\cdot] + u) \leq e^{-2u^2}$$

Problem: $\mathbb{E}[d(\underline{x}, A)]$ unknown

$$\epsilon n \geq B \geq \mathbb{E}[d(\underline{x}, A)]$$

$$\mathbb{P}(d(\underline{x}, A) \geq \epsilon n) \leq \mathbb{P}(d(\underline{x}, A) \geq \mathbb{E}[\cdot] + u)$$

$$u = \epsilon n - B$$

Trick: from Hoeffding lemma 'proof

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{t(f - \mathbb{E}[f])}] \leq e^{nt^2/8}$$

$$f = -d(\underline{x}, A) \quad \mathbb{E}[e^{t\mathbb{E}[f]}] = e^{-t\mathbb{E}[f]}$$

$$\mathbb{P}(A) = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_A e^{tf}] \leq \mathbb{E}[e^{tf}] = \mathbb{E}[e^{-td(\underline{x}, A)}]$$

$$\mathbb{P}(A) e^{-t\mathbb{E}[d(\underline{x}, A)]} \leq e^{nt^2/8}$$

Take logarithm + optimize t

$$\mathbb{E}[d(\underline{x}, A)] \leq \sqrt{\frac{-n \log \mathbb{P}(A)}{2}} = B$$

Examples of analysis of algorithms

① Matchings in $G_{n, c/n}$ (Erdős-Rényi)

Graph on n vertices edges independently present w.p. $\frac{c}{n}$

Matching: set of edges that do not share common vertices

Algorithm:

- ① Delete isolated vertices
- ② Select a uniformly random vertex of min-degree v
- ③ Include in matching one of its edges
- ④ Delete v and all other incident edges.

Thm: $c > 0$. The algorithm obtains a maximum matching a.a.s.

(TP $\leftrightarrow$) $\rightarrow 1$ $n \rightarrow \infty$

② 3-coloring regular $G_{n,d}$

uniformly chosen graph on those with n vertices and $\deg(v)=d$ all v .

Proper coloring: assign colors to vertices so that no two adjacent vertices have same color

Algorithm: Classify uncolored vertices according to #available color

$$S_i(t) = \{v : i \text{ possible colors for } v\}$$

while $S_0(t) > 0$

① Select minimum i with $S_i(t) > 0$

② select uniform vertex in $S_i(t)$

③ Color v randomly, update $S_i(t+1)$.

Failure if $S_0(t) > 0$

Thm: $d < 4.003$. The algorithm obtains a proper 3-col. a.a.s.

③ 3-SAT formulae

$$(\bar{x}_1 \vee x_1 \vee x_2) \wedge (\overbrace{\bar{x}_2 \vee \bar{x}_1 \vee x_3}^{\text{clause}})$$

↙ literal: + or -
variable

$$0 \vee 1 = 1$$

$$0 \wedge 1 = 0$$

Assign values to variables, to satisfy formula

Pure literal: when formula only contains literals x_i (or only $\bar{x}_i$)

Algorithm: while $\exists$ pure literal available

- ① choose one at random x_i (or $\bar{x}_i$)
- ② assign 'positive' value $x_i=1$ (or $x_i=0$)
- ③ remove all clauses containing x_i

③ 3-SAT formulae

Pure literal: when formula only contains literals x_i (or only $\bar{x}_i$)

Algorithm: while $\exists$ pure literal available

- ① choose one at random x_i (or $\bar{x}_i$)
- ② assign 'positive' value $x_i=1$ (or $x_i=0$)
- ③ remove all clauses containing x_i

3 literals per clause
m clause
n variables

density $\frac{m}{n}$

Thm: $\exists d_c > 0$. Construct a random 3-SAT where $(\# \text{ literals} = x_i) \approx \text{Poi}(\lambda_i)$ and density is d :

$d < d_c$ formula is satisf. a.a.s.

$d > d_c$ formula is not satisf. a.a.s.

An introductory example

There are n bins and $m = cn$ balls, sequentially place balls independ. into bins
How many empty bins there are left?
 B

$$B = \sum_{j=1}^n B_j \quad B_j = \mathbb{1}_{\{j\text{-th bin is empty}\}} \quad \mathbb{P}(B_j=1) = (1 - 1/n)^{cn} \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[B] = n e^{-c(1 \ln(1))}$$

Let $\{X_i\}$ iid. $X_i \sim \text{Unif}[n] \rightarrow X_i = j$ if i -th ball goes to j -th bin

Now B satisfies the bounded differences condition

$$\mathbb{P}(|B - \mathbb{E}[B]| \geq \epsilon n) \leq 2 e^{-\frac{2\epsilon^2 n^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{cn} 1^2}} = 2 e^{-2n\epsilon^2/c}$$

If need to estimate $\mathbb{E}[B]$

$Y_i = \# \text{empty bins when } i \text{ balls have been located}$

$$|Y_{i+1} - Y_i| \leq 1$$

If need to estimate $\mathbb{E}[B]$

$Y_i = \# \text{ empty bins when } i \text{ balls have been located}$

Local changes, given current information $\mathcal{F}_i = \text{generated by "info" at time } i$

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_{i+1} - Y_i \mid \mathcal{F}_i] = -1 \mathbb{P}(\text{ball into empty bin}) = -\frac{Y_i}{n}$$

Idealized ODE:

$$\frac{Y(t_n)}{n} \approx y(t) \quad \frac{Y(0)}{n} = y(0) = 1$$

$$\Delta Y_i = Y_{i+1} - Y_i$$

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\Delta Y(t_n)}{n} \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_n}\right]}{1/n} \cong y'(t) = -y(t)$$

Solution $y(t) = e^{-t}$

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}[B]}{n} = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{Y_{cn}}{n}\right] \approx e^{-c}$$

A toy deterministic question

How much can two collections of functions can differ if they have similar derivatives and initial values?

$\exists \lambda, \delta > 0$ small perturbations such that

$$\begin{array}{ll} y(0) = \hat{y}_k & \text{de} \\ |z_k(0) - y_k(0)| \leq \lambda & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} y'_k(t) = F_k(t, \underline{y_k(t)}) \\ |z'_k(t) - F_k(t, \underline{z_k(t)})| \leq \delta \end{array}$$

Condition: F is L -Lipschitz cont. in norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$

in particular $|F_k(t, y_k(t)) - F_k(t, z_k(t))| \leq L \max_{k \in I} |y_k(t) - z_k(t)|$

$$\Rightarrow \max_{k \in I} |y_k(t) - z_k(t)| \leq (\lambda + \delta T) e^{Lt} \quad \forall t \leq T$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|y'(s) - z'(s)| &< |y'(s) - F(s, z(s))| + \underbrace{|F(s, z(s)) - z'(s)|}_{\delta} \\
&< |F(s, y(s)) - F(s, z(s))| + \delta \\
&\leq L \cdot |y(s) - z(s)| + \delta
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\underbrace{|y(t) - z(t)|}_{x(t)} &\leq |y(0) - z(0)| + \int_0^t |y'(s) - z'(s)| ds \\
&\leq (\lambda + \delta T) + \int_0^t L x(s) ds
\end{aligned}$$

By Grönwall's ineq. $\Rightarrow x(t) \leq (\lambda + \delta T) e^{Lt}$