

I. Solution

(i) Make x_1 tons of A and x_2 tons of B. Problem is:

$$\text{Maximise } Z = 80x_1 + 50x_2 \quad \text{subject to}$$

$$\frac{1}{4}x_1 + \frac{1}{2}x_2 \leq 3200$$

$$\frac{3}{4}x_1 + \frac{1}{2}x_2 \leq 4000 \quad x_1, x_2 \geq 0 \quad (3)$$

$$x_1 \leq 2000$$

After adding slack variables x_3, x_4, x_5 , the initial tableau is

	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	x_5	
x_3	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	0	0	3200
x_4	$\frac{3}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	1	0	4000
x_5	1	0	0	0	1	2000
Z	-80	-50	0	0	0	0

x_1 replaces x_5

x_2 replaces
 x_4

	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	x_5	
x_3	0	0	1	-1	$\frac{1}{2}$	200
x_2	0	1	0	2	$-\frac{3}{2}$	5000
x_1	1	0	0	0	1	2000
Z	0	0	0	100	5	410,000

Optimal solution $x_1 = 2000, x_2 = 5000$

$(x_3 = 200, x_4 = x_5 = 0), Z = 410,000$

i.e. produce 2000 tons A, 5000 tons B

giving proceeds of 410,000

(6)

(ii) In terms of c_2 , the above objective row coefficients are

$$0_4 = 2c_2 \geq 0 \quad \text{when } c_2 \geq 0$$

$$0_5 = -\frac{3}{2}c_2 + 80 \geq 0 \quad \text{when } c_2 \leq \frac{160}{3}$$

Optimal for $0 \leq c_2 \leq \frac{160}{3}$

For c_2 just above $\frac{160}{3}$, 0_5 becomes negative. New optimal solution

found with x_5 replacing x_3 as a basic variable. Thus solution

is $x_1 = 1600, x_2 = 5600, (x_3 = x_4 = 0, x_5 = 400)$

(3)

(iii) Introduce a new variable x_6 representing tonnage of A produced in excess

of 2000 tons. Column a_6 of x_6 in constraints is $a_6 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{3}{4} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$

with objective function coefficient $c_6 = 70$.

$$\text{In final tableau } y_6 = B^{-1}a_6 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 2 & -\frac{3}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{3}{4} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{3}{2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{so objective row element } 0_6 = (0, 50, 80) y_6 - c_6 = 75 - 70 = 5 > 0$$

(5)

2. Solution

(a) (i) The final tableau consists of the original constraint system, in canonical form, multiplied by B^{-1} . Thus the main elements are

$$B^{-1}A : B^{-1} \mid B^{-1}b$$

so the optimal values of the basic variables are $\underline{x}_B^0 = \underline{B}^{-1}b$ with other variables zero. The optimal value of the objective is

$$Z^* = \underline{c}_B^T \underline{x}_B^0 = \underline{c}_B^T \underline{B}^{-1}b \quad (2)$$

(ii) The elements of the objective row are obtained from multiplying final tableau by \underline{c}_B^T and subtracting objective function coefficients. For original main variables this gives

$$\underline{c}_B^T B^{-1}A - c^T$$

and for the slacks

$$\underline{c}_B^T B^{-1}$$

(b) The dual problem is Minimize $b^T w$ subject to

$$A^T w \geq c, w \geq 0. \quad (2)$$

(i) For any feasible solutions $\underline{x}, \underline{w}$ to primal and dual problems $c^T \underline{x} \leq b^T \underline{w}$

$$\text{Since } A\underline{x} \leq \underline{b}, \underline{w} \geq 0 \quad \underline{w}^T A \underline{x} \leq \underline{w}^T \underline{b} = b^T \underline{w}$$

$$\text{Since } A^T w \geq c \text{ or } w^T A \geq c^T \text{ and } \underline{x} \geq 0 \quad w^T A \underline{x} \geq c^T \underline{x} \quad (4)$$

Comparing obtain $b^T \underline{w} \geq c^T \underline{x}$.

(ii) The optimal dual solution \underline{w}^* is given by $\underline{w}^* = (\underline{c}_B^T B^{-1})^T$

Since at optimality objective row elements are non-negative, we have from part (i)

$$\underline{c}_B^T B^{-1}A \geq c^T \quad \text{i.e. } A^T \underline{w}^* \geq c$$

$$\text{and } \underline{c}_B^T B^{-1} \geq \underline{0}^T \quad \text{i.e. } \underline{w}^* \geq 0$$

thus \underline{w}^* is feasible.

(cont.)

2. Solution (cont.)

Value of dual objective is $\underline{b}^T \underline{w}^* = \underline{c}_B^T \underline{B}^{-1} \underline{b} = \underline{z}^*$

\underline{z}^* being the optimal value of the primal objective.

In view of the inequality in (1) both solutions are
optimal. (2)

(iii) Suppose \underline{w} is dual feasible and $\underline{b}^T \underline{w} = k$

Then primal has feasible solutions and for
any feasible solution $\underline{c}^T \underline{x} \leq k$. Therefore primal must
have a finite maximising solution.

Similarly if \underline{x} is feasible w.r.t $\underline{c}^T \underline{x} = m$

then dual has feasible solutions with objective bounded below
by m . It has a finite minimising solution. (4)

Total 20

3. Solution

(a) (i) Dual is to find $u_i : i=1, \dots, m$, $v_j : j=1, \dots, n$ to
 maximize $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i u_i + \sum_{j=1}^n b_j v_j$ subject to $u_i + v_j \leq c_{ij} \quad \forall (i, j)$. (2)

(ii) Using cheapest route method to obtain initial basic feasible solution and solving iteratively:

	6	4	6	3	u_i
4		4		-7	
10	1+	4	2-	3	0
5	5-	+		-2	
v_j	4	3	8	2	

	6	4	6	3	u_i
4		4		-5	
10	3	4	3	0	
5	3		2		-2
v_j	4	3	6	2	

Hence $u_i + v_j \leq c_{ij} \quad \forall (i, j)$ so solution is optimal
 i.e. $x_{13} = 4$, $x_{21} = 3$, $x_{22} = 4$ etc. write $C = 48$ (5)

(iii) Shadow costs u_i, v_j satisfy dual constraints with dual objective = $\sum a_i u_i + \sum b_j v_j = -30 + 78 = 48$ (2)

This equals primal objective so both solutions must be optimal.

(iv) Solution optimal provided $u_1 + v_1 \leq c_{11}$ i.e. $c_{11} \geq -1$ (1)

Dealing with c_{21} is more difficult as x_{21} is basic.

Calculating shadow costs in terms of c_{21} gives:

$$u_1 = -1, \quad u_2 = c_{21}, \quad u_3 = 2, \quad v_1 = 0, \quad v_2 = 3 - c_{21}, \quad v_3 = 2, \quad v_4 = 2 - c_{21}$$

Solution is optimal provided:

$$u_1 + v_1 \leq c_{11} \quad \text{i.e.} \quad -1 \leq 0, \quad u_1 + v_2 \leq c_{12} \quad \text{i.e.} \quad 2 - c_{21} \leq 2 \quad c_{21} \geq 0$$

$$u_1 + v_4 \leq c_{14} \quad \text{i.e.} \quad 1 - c_{21} \leq 7 \quad c_{21} \geq -6,$$

$$u_2 + v_3 \leq c_{23} \quad \text{i.e.} \quad c_{21} + 2 \leq 8 \quad c_{21} \leq 6$$

$$u_3 + v_2 \leq c_{32} \quad \text{i.e.} \quad 5 - c_{21} \leq 6 \quad c_{21} \geq -1$$

$$u_3 + v_4 \leq c_{34} \quad \text{i.e.} \quad 4 - c_{21} \leq 8 \quad c_{21} \geq -4$$

Solution is optimal for $0 \leq c_{21} \leq 6$ (4)

(cont)

3 Solution (cont)

(b) Assuming $\sum m_i + \sum p_i > \sum r_i$ else there is no feasible solution or, in the case of equality no problem, the formulation is as follows.

	r_1	r_2	r_3	-----	r_n	$\begin{pmatrix} \sum m + \sum p \\ -\sum r_i \end{pmatrix}$	Dummy destination
m_1	c_1	c_1+h	c_1+2h	...	$c_1+(n-1)h$	0	
p_1	d_1	d_1+h	d_1+2h	...	$d_1+(n-1)h$	0	
m_2	M	c_2	c_2+h	...	$c_2+(n-2)h$	0	
p_2	M	d_2	d_2+h	...		0	
m_3	M	M	c_3	...		0	
p_3	M	M	d_3	...		0	M large cost to "price out"
	impossible "routes".
m_n	M	M	-	---	M	c_n	0
p_n	M	-	-	-	M	a_n	0

Total 20

⑥

4. Solution

(a) $\max \{r_{ij}\} = 8$ so first convert to a minimisation problem
by setting $c_{ij} = 8 - r_{ij}$ $\forall (i,j)$ and minimizing $\sum_{i=1}^5 \sum_{j=1}^5 c_{ij} x_{ij}$

$$\{c_{ij}\} = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 7 & 4 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 5 & 2 & 4 & 5 \\ 1 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 4 \\ 2 & 7 & 5 & 4 & 6 \\ 3 & 1 & 4 & 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Initial dual solution $u_i = 0 \quad i=1, \dots, 5$

$$v_1 = 1, v_2 = 1, v_3 = 2, v_4 = 1, v_5 = 0$$

For algorithm work with

$$w_{ij} = c_{ij} - u_i - v_j$$

$$\{w_{ij}\} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 6 & 2 & 0^* & 0 \\ 2 & 4 & 0^* & 3 & 5 \\ 0^* & 2 & 1 & 1 & 4 \\ 1 & 6 & 3 & 3 & 6 \\ 2 & 0^* & 2 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} *$$

Initial assignment shown

by *

Not feasible row 4 marked

$$t = \min\{w_{ij}\} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} i \text{ marked} \\ j \text{ unmarked} \end{array} \right.$$

$$= 1$$

$$w_{ij}' = w_{ij} - 1 \quad i \text{ marked}$$

$$w_{ij}' = w_{ij} + 1 \quad j \text{ marked}$$

$$\{w_{ij}'\} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 6 & 2 & 0^* & 0 \\ 2 & 4 & 0^* & 3 & 5 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 4 \\ 0^* & 5 & 2 & 2 & 5 \\ 2 & 0^* & 2 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} *$$

Not feasible

Rows 3, 4 + col 1 marked

$$t = 1$$

$$w_{ij}' = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 6 & 2 & 0 & 0^* \\ 3 & 4 & 0^* & 3 & 5 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0^* & 3 \\ 0^* & 4 & 1 & 1 & 4 \\ 3 & 0^* & 2 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Feasible and hence optimal.

Assign 1 to 5, 2 to 3, 1 to 4,

4 to 1 and 5 to 2.

Sum of ratings = 33.

(10)

(cont)