Processing and properties of porous piezoelectric materials with high hydrostatic figures of merit
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Abstract

Porous piezoelectric materials are of interest for applications such as low frequency hydrophones. This is due to their high hydrostatic figures of merit and low sound velocity, which lead to reduced acoustic impedance and enhanced coupling with water or biological tissue. A wide variety of methods are available to produce porous structures such as using reticulated polymer foams or volatile additives which are burnt out during the sintering process (e.g. polymer spheres). Each processing technique and additive produces its own distinctive microstructure, particularly in terms of pore size, morphology and porosity volume fraction. The aim of this paper is to manufacture a variety of porous microstructures and relate the structures to measured hydrostatic figures of merit.
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1. Introduction

The use of piezoelectric ceramics such as lead zirconate titanate (PZT) in composite form has been widely studied since the work of Newnham.1 A two-digit number, whereby the first number corresponds to the connectivity of the active phase (PZT) and the second number corresponds to the connectivity of the passive phase (polymer or air) classifies these ‘piezocomposites’. Porous piezoelectric materials can be classified as ‘3–3’ piezocomposites when both the piezoelectric phase and porosity are interconnected, as occurs in porous materials with a high degree of open porosity. These materials are of interest as they have a number of possible advantages over dense monolithic piezoceramics, particularly for applications such as low frequency hydrophones. Firstly, the difference in acoustic impedance of the piezoelectric and the ambient medium (such as air or water) determines the reflection and transmission of sound energy at the interface.2 The closer the impedances are to each other, the less sound energy is reflected at the interface. This ‘impedance matching’ can be achieved by incorporating porosity into the piezoceramic and reducing the sound velocity and impedance to values closer to water or air. Secondly, an increase in the amount of porosity leads to a decrease in the transverse piezoelectric coefficient (−d31) relative to the longitudinal piezoelectric coefficient (d33).3 This produces an increase in the hydrostatic strain coefficient, dh (= d33 + 2d31), so that higher electrical charges are generated per unit hydrostatic force. Hydrostatic conditions arise in hydrophones when attempting to detect low frequency signals as the acoustic wavelengths are larger than the material/device and the device therefore lies within individual compressions and rarefactions of the acoustic signal.4 Finally, the reduction in permittivity (ε33) of the porous material as piezoelectric ceramic is replaced by air increases the piezoelectric voltage coefficients (gij), which is a measure of the electric field generated per unit stress. If we consider the hydrostatic voltage coefficient, g_h = d_h/ε_33 which is a measure of electric field generated per unit hydrostatic stress, the improved d_h and reduced ε_33 of porous piezoceramics leads to significant improvements in the figure of merit when compared to dense monolithic material.4 The increase in g_h implies an increase in the sensitivity of a hydrophone, which is generally a measure of voltage generated per unit stress.5 It has also been reported that porous materials potentially offer a high signal to noise ratio for a given volume of material due to the increased...
hydrostatic figure of merit (HFOM), which is the product $d_{33}g_h$. Modelling of 3–3 structures has shown that the figures of merit $d_h$, $g_h$, and $d_{h0}g_{h0}$ are a strong function of porosity volume fraction and the optimum level of porosity depends on the figure of merit that needs to be maximised. In order to manufacture porous structures of particular pore volume fraction, size and morphology a variety of methods have been employed. For example, Creedon et al. has used polymer foams which are a variety of methods have been employed. For example, particular pore volume fraction, size and morphology and the optimum level of porosity depends on the figure of merit that needs to be maximised.1 In order to manufacture porous structures of particular pore volume fraction, size and morphology a variety of methods have been employed. For example, Creedon et al. has used polymer foams which are coated with a piezoceramic, Skinner et al. used a coral replamine process,1 Shrout and Rittenmyer used volatile plastic spheres,8 Geis et al. used an aerogel process7 and Craciun et al. used tape casting with a cellulose derivative.9 Each process produces its own distinctive microstructure, pore size and morphology and, in addition, different techniques are only able to produce a specific range of porosity. For example, foam techniques are only suitable for processing high porosity volume fraction materials (>90%) while the use of volatile additives is suitable for lower levels of porosity (<60%) as a high volatile content often results in deformation and destruction of the green body during the burn out cycle. As the porosity volume fraction changes there can also be different fractions of closed and open porosity, changing the connectivity from ‘3–3’ to a ‘3–0’ type.10 These factors make it difficult to experimentally examine the complete range of porosity for a given pore size and morphology, which is often considered when modelling piezocomposite structures.7,8,11 The aim of this paper is to manufacture various types of microstructure and relate the structures to the measured piezoelectric parameters. This will provide an improved understanding of the manufacturing methods and related piezoelectric properties.

2. Experimental

For all the porous materials manufactured in this work, PZT-5H from Morgan Electroceramics was used as the base piezoceramic, which is a soft lead zirconate titanate widely used for low frequency actuators and sensors. Typical properties of the dense material are a density of 7500 kg m$^{-3}$, $d_{33}=590$ pCN$^{-1}$, $d_{31}=-270$ pCN$^{-1}$ with a relative permittivity at constant stress of 3500. The material has a high $d_{33}$ but the high negative value of $d_{31}$ and high permittivity results in low $d_h$, $g_h$ and $d_{h0}g_{h0}$ figures of merit, which are calculated as 50 pCN$^{-1}$, $1.6\times10^{-3}$ Vm$^{-1}$ Pa$^{-1}$ and $80\times10^{-15}$ Pa$^{-1}$ respectively. A range of porous piezoelectric materials was manufactured using volatile species to generate the porosity. This process was chosen as it enabled a relatively large range of density (0.35–0.95) and porosity volume fraction to be manufactured and allowed direct comparison of properties at equivalent volume fraction, but with a different microstructure and pore size. Polyethylene oxide, PEO, and poly(methyl methacrylate), PMMA, was used as a polymer in the BurPS process (burnt out polymer spheres). In addition to polymer volatile species, self-raising flour (SR) was also examined due to its finer particle size and an smaller pore size expected in the final microstructure.

The samples were manufactured by ball milling PZT-5H powder, water, PVA binder and the appropriate volatile additive in increasing weight fractions. After die pressing at 50 MPa, a heat treatment at 400 °C is employed, to burn off the additive, with further heating to 1125 °C for 2 h to sinter the ceramic. Sintering was undertaken under a lead oxide atmosphere to prevent lead loss from the PZT-5H. Changing the weight ratio of inclusion material (PMMA, PEO and SR) to PZT-5H ceramic powder modified the amount of porosity, measured by Archimedes method. After manufacturing the samples were electroded, corona poled and characterised after 24 h in terms of $d_{13}$ and $d_{31}$ using a Bellincourt PM25 Piezometer from Take Control. Permittivity ($e_{33}$) was calculated by measuring the diameter (typically 12 mm) and thickness (typically 3mm) of the samples and measuring the sample capacitance using an Agilent Technology LCR at 1 kHz. The $d_h$, $g_h$ and $d_{h0}g_{h0}$ parameters were calculated from the measured $d_{13}$, $d_{31}$ and $e_{33}$ values and the equations discussed in the introduction. Microstructures were examined by optical microscopy.

3. Results and discussion

Fig. 1 shows typical optical micrographs of SR, PEO and PMMA porous materials at low and high porosities. The pore size is related to the size of the initial additive, with the pores size being typically 30–90 µm for SR, 70–200 µm for PEO and the largest pore sizes seen in the PMMA based samples (>250 µm). It is apparent that cracking exists in the PMMA samples which is perpendicular to the die pressing direction and the poling direction. This has been observed by previous workers and was thought to arise from the poling process.8 The cracks observed in this work are also present in unpoled samples and are more probably caused by the pressing forces which compress the ceramic powder more than the hard PMMA spheres. Upon releasing the pressing force, the powder expands and cracks are created in the sample perpendicular to the pressing direction. This was also reflected in the poor green strength of PMMA-based green bodies. The highest green strengths were obtained with the finer SF additive. It is interesting to note that as the porosity volume fraction increased there is increased connectivity between pores and the mean pore size increases (Fig. 1). This is often not considered in modelling although Levassort et al.
has considered 0–3 composites with mixed 3–3 connectivity.12

The longitudinal piezoelectric coefficient ($d_{33}$) as a function of density, shown in Fig. 2, reveals that as porosity is incorporated into the structure, there is a gradual decrease in $d_{33}$. At approximately 60% density, the $d_{33}$ values are, however, still relatively large and are in excess of 300 pCN$^{-1}$. The transverse piezoelectric coefficient ($d_{31}$) as a function of density is shown in Fig. 3 which demonstrates that there is a more rapid decline in $-d_{31}$ with increasing porosity when compared to $d_{33}$. At approximately 60% density, $-d_{31}$ values are below 70 pCN$^{-1}$ and it is this rapid reduction in the transverse piezoelectric coefficient that leads to improved $d_3$ coefficients. The mechanism for the process has been discussed in previous work.7,8

For PMMA-based materials, higher $d_{33}$ and smaller $-d_{31}$ values are achieved at the same density when compared to crack free PEO and SR. This may be due to presence of the cracks perpendicular to the poling direction.
direction. During measurement of $d_{33}$ using a Berlin-court piezometer, a small compressive force perpendicular to the crack direction (parallel to the poling direction) is applied which may act to close the cracks and reduce the effective porosity volume fraction. For $d_{31}$ measurement, small compressive forces are applied parallel to the crack direction which can lead to crack opening and reduced $d_{31}$ coefficients. This would imply high stress sensitivity in PMMA samples. When comparing the PEO and SR piezoelectric coefficients higher $d_{33}$ and $-d_{31}$ are observed in the SR material which may be attributed to the smaller pore size of the SR and improved poling.

Fig. 4 shows that the permittivity ($\varepsilon_{33}$) of all the porous materials (PMMA, PEO and SR) decreases with increasing porosity, as would be expected. Similar permittivity values are observed for the PEO and SR samples as a function of density but PMMA based samples exhibit a lower permittivity, again due to the presence of cracks perpendicular to the measurement direction.

The reduced $-d_{31}$ coefficient and lower permittivity for the porous materials leads to an increase in hydrostatic voltage constant ($g_h$) and large improvements are observed when compared to dense PZT-5H, as seen in Fig. 5. While $g_h$ for the PEO and SR based materials show a similar dependency with density, the lower permittivity for PMMA-based materials results in higher $g_h$ values. The hydrostatic figure of merit ($d_h g_h$) as a function of density is shown in Fig. 6, which clearly demonstrates the large improvements in $d_h g_h$ possible by using porous materials when compared to dense PZT-5H. Although the cracks have a beneficial effect in this work and the PMMA additive produces the highest $d_h g_h$ and $d_h g_h$ figures of merit, the cracked microstructure can be undesirable in terms of strength, reliability and sensitivity of materials properties to hydrostatic pressures (depth sensitivity).

4. Conclusions

Porous piezoelectric materials have been manufactured over a range of density (40–95% theoretical) using different size volatile additives (PMMA, PEO and SR) and characterised in terms of $d_{33}$, $d_{31}$, $\varepsilon_{33}$ and microstructure. For the range of density examined, an introduction of porosity leads to a decrease in $-d_{31}$ and $\varepsilon_{33}$, for all the materials, which results in improved $g_h$ and $d_h g_h$ figures of merit when compared to dense PZT-5H. Examination of microstructures revealed cracking in PMMA-based porous ceramics due to lamination during pressing. The cracks, perpendicular to the pressing direction lead to a reduction in permittivity at the same porosity volume fraction when compared to the cracked free PEO and SR ceramics. The cracked microstructure was therefore observed to exhibit increased $g_h$ and $d_h g_h$ figures of merit. The PMMA samples also had higher $d_{33}$ and lower $d_{31}$ for the same porosity volume fraction compared to PEO and SR, which is thought to be due to the cracked microstructure. While modelling research often considers 3–3 structures to have a specific pore size, morphology and connectivity, the microstructural observations revealed that the connectivity of pores and pore size increases with the degree of porosity.

For the PEO and SR samples studied, whose pore sizes were small in comparison to the size of sample, no significant differences in properties were observed even though they were of different pore size. This indicates that when attempting to optimise hydrostatic figures of
merit or porous materials, the ability to produce controlled volume fractions of porosity with homogeneous, defect free microstructures is more important than the ability to produce specific pore sizes.
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