Spines in growth-fragmentation models

Alex Watson
University of Manchester

Paris–Bath IV
28 June 2016

A finite-activity model

A finite-activity model

A finite-activity model

A finite-activity model

A finite-activity model

- **p** is picked from
  $\mathcal{P} = \{(p_1, p_2, \dots) : p_1 \geq p_2 \geq \cdots, \sum_{i \geq 1} p_i = 1\}.$

A finite-activity model

- **p** is picked from
  $\mathcal{P} = \{(p_1, p_2, \dots) : p_1 \geq p_2 \geq \cdots, \sum_{i \geq 1} p_i = 1\}$.
- Mean measure:
  $\langle \mu_t, f \rangle = \int f(x)\, \mu_t(\mathrm{d}x) = \mathbb{E}\Big[\sum_{\substack{u \text{ particle} \\ \text{alive at } t}} f(\text{size}(u))\Big]$

A finite-activity model


- **p** is picked from
  $\mathcal{P} = \{(p_1, p_2, \dots) : p_1 \geq p_2 \geq \cdots, \sum_{i \geq 1} p_i = 1\}$.
- Mean measure:
  $\langle \mu_t, f \rangle = \int f(x)\, \mu_t(\mathrm{d}x) = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{\substack{u \text{ particle} \\ \text{alive at } t}} f(\mathrm{size}(u))\right]$
- $\nu(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{p}) = \lambda K(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{p})$

The pure fragmentation equation

$$\partial_t \langle \mu_t, f \rangle = \left\langle \mu_t, \int_{\mathcal{P}} \Big\{ \sum_{i \geq 1} f(xp_i) - f(x) \Big\} \nu(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{p}) \right\rangle,$$

$$f \in C_c^\infty(0, \infty),$$

$$\mu_0 = \delta_1$$

The growth-fragmentation equation

$$\partial_t \langle \mu_t, f \rangle = \Big\langle \mu_t,$$
$$\int_{\mathcal{P}} \Big\{ \sum_{i \geq 1} f(xp_i) - f(x) \Big\} \nu(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{p}) \Big\rangle,$$

The growth-fragmentation equation

$$\partial_t \langle \mu_t, f \rangle = \Big\langle \mu_t, axf'(x)$$

$$+ \int_{\mathcal{P}} \Big\{ \sum_{i \geq 1} f(xp_i) - f(x) \Big\} \nu(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{p}) \Big\rangle,$$

The growth-fragmentation equation

$$\partial_t \langle \mu_t, f \rangle = \Big\langle \mu_t, axf'(x)$$

$$+ \int_{\mathcal{P}} \Big\{ \sum_{i \geq 1} f(xp_i) - f(x) + (1 - p_1)xf'(x) \Big\} \nu(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{p}) \Big\rangle,$$

The growth-fragmentation equation

$$\partial_t \langle \mu_t, f \rangle = \Big\langle \mu_t, axf'(x)$$
$$+ \int_{\mathcal{P}} \Big\{ \sum_{i \geq 1} f(xp_i) - f(x) + (1 - p_1)xf'(x) \Big\} \nu(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{p}) \Big\rangle,$$

- $a \in \mathbb{R}$

The growth-fragmentation equation

$$\partial_t \langle \mu_t, f \rangle = \Big\langle \mu_t, axf'(x)$$

$$+ \int_{\mathcal{P}} \Big\{ \sum_{i \geq 1} f(xp_i) - f(x) + (1 - p_1)xf'(x) \Big\} \nu(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{p}) \Big\rangle,$$

- $a \in \mathbb{R}$
- Require only $\int (1 - p_1)^2 \, \nu(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{p}) < \infty$ (asymmetric children)

Questions for today

- Existence and representation
- Explore many-to-one theorem

Questions for today

- Existence and representation
- Explore many-to-one theorem

Fragmentation processes ($\nu < \infty$)

$$\mathcal{Z}(t) = \sum_{u \text{ fragments}} \delta_{\log(\text{size}(u))} \mathbb{1}_{\{u \text{ alive at time } t\}}$$

Fragmentation processes ($\nu < \infty$)

$$\mathcal{Z}(t) = \sum_{u \text{ fragments}} \delta_{\log(\text{size}(u))} \mathbb{1}_{\{u \text{ alive at time } t\}}$$

This is a compound Poisson process with immigration.

- We can build $\mathcal{Z}$ in general
- Create a Lévy process whose Lévy measure is $\nu(\log p_1 \in \cdot)$
- At every jump of size z, sample from $\nu(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{p} \mid \log p_1 = z)$ and immigrate new particles at relative positions $\log p_i$, $i \geq 2$.

Compensated fragmentation processes, $\int (1-p_1)^2 \, \nu(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{p}) < \infty$

- We can build $\mathcal{Z}$ in general
- Create a Lévy process whose Lévy measure is $\nu(\log p_1 \in \cdot)$
- At every jump of size z, sample from $\nu(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{p} \mid \log p_1 = z)$ and immigrate new particles at relative positions $\log p_i$, $i \geq 2$.

Compensated fragmentation processes, $\int (1-p_1)^2\, \nu(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{p}) < \infty$

- We can build $\mathcal{Z}$ in general
- Create a Lévy process whose Lévy measure is $\nu(\log p_1 \in \cdot)$
- At every jump of size z, sample from $\nu(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{p} \mid \log p_1 = z)$ and immigrate new particles at relative positions $\log p_i$, $i \geq 2$.

Compensated fragmentation processes, $\int (1-p_1)^2\,\nu(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{p}) < \infty$

- We can build $\mathcal{Z}$ in general
- Create a Lévy process whose Lévy measure is $\nu(\log p_1 \in \cdot)$
- At every jump of size z, sample from $\nu(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{p} \mid \log p_1 = z)$ and immigrate new particles at relative positions $\log p_i$, $i \geq 2$.

Compensated fragmentation processes, $\int (1 - p_1)^2 \, \nu(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{p}) < \infty$

- We can build $\mathcal{Z}$ in general
- Create a Lévy process whose Lévy measure is $\nu(\log p_1 \in \cdot)$
- At every jump of size z, sample from $\nu(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{p} \mid \log p_1 = z)$ and immigrate new particles at relative positions $\log p_i$, $i \geq 2$.


(Aside: let's assume we have a consistent way to give the particles labels $u \in \mathcal{U}$. Write $\mathcal{Z}_u(t)$ for the position of particle u at time t.)

Solution of the equation

Define the cumulant κ: $\mathbb{E}\big[\sum_u e^{q\mathcal{Z}_u(t)}\big] = e^{t\kappa(q)}$. It satisfies

$$\kappa(q) = aq + \int_{\mathcal{P}} \Big\{ \sum_{i \geq 1} p_i^q - 1 + (1 - p_1)q \Big\} \nu(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{p}).$$

Solution of the equation

Define the cumulant κ: $\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_u e^{q\mathcal{Z}_u(t)}\right] = e^{t\kappa(q)}$. It satisfies

$$\kappa(q) = aq + \int_{\mathcal{P}} \left\{ \sum_{i \geq 1} p_i^q - 1 + (1 - p_1)q \right\} \nu(d\mathbf{p}).$$

Theorem (Bertoin, W. '15)

Fix ω such that $\kappa(\omega) < \infty$.

- *$\kappa(\cdot + \omega) - \kappa(\omega)$ is the Laplace exponent of a Lévy process; call it ξ.*

Solution of the equation

Define the cumulant κ: $\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_u e^{q\mathcal{Z}_u(t)}\right] = e^{t\kappa(q)}$. It satisfies

$$\kappa(q) = aq + \int_{\mathcal{P}} \left\{\sum_{i \geq 1} p_i^q - 1 + (1 - p_1)q\right\} \nu(d\mathbf{p}).$$

Theorem (Bertoin, W. '15)

Fix ω such that $\kappa(\omega) < \infty$.

- *$\kappa(\cdot + \omega) - \kappa(\omega)$ is the Laplace exponent of a Lévy process; call it ξ.*

- *Let*

$$\langle \mu_t, f \rangle = e^{t\kappa(\omega)}\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-\omega\xi(t)}f(e^{\xi(t)})\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_u f(e^{\mathcal{Z}_u(t)})\right].$$

This is the unique solution of the growth-fragmentation equation.

A martingale for the fragmentation

- Let
$$W(\omega, t) = e^{-t\kappa(\omega)} \sum_u e^{\omega \mathcal{Z}_u(t)}, \qquad t \geq 0.$$

The process W is the additive martingale.

A martingale for the fragmentation

- Let
$$W(\omega, t) = e^{-t\kappa(\omega)} \sum_u e^{\omega \mathcal{Z}_u(t)}, \qquad t \geq 0.$$

  The process W is the additive martingale.
- It induces new measure $\mathbb{P}_\omega$ for $\mathcal{Z}$: if F_t is measurable with respect to paths up to time t,

$$\mathbb{E}_\omega[F_t(\mathcal{Z})] = \mathbb{E}[F_t(\mathcal{Z})W(\omega, t)].$$

Construction of a spine process, I

Let ξ be the Lévy process with Laplace exponent $\kappa(\omega + \cdot) - \kappa(\omega)$.

- Lévy measure: $\Pi(dz) = \sum_{i \geq 1} e^{\omega z} \nu(\log p_i \in dz)$.

Construction of a spine process, I

Let ξ be the Lévy process with Laplace exponent $\kappa(\omega + \cdot) - \kappa(\omega)$.

- Lévy measure: $\Pi(\mathrm{d}z) = \sum_{i \geq 1} e^{\omega z} \nu(\log p_i \in \mathrm{d}z)$.
- Jump process: $M(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}z)$, a Poisson point process with intensity measure $\mathrm{d}s\, \Pi(\mathrm{d}z)$.

Construction of a spine process, II

We will define a *decoration* of the jump process M:

- $g_i(z) = \frac{e^{\omega z} \nu(\log p_i \in dz)}{\Pi(dz)}$, a Radon-Nikodym derivative

Construction of a spine process, II

We will define a *decoration* of the jump process M:

- $g_i(z) = \frac{e^{\omega z}\nu(\log p_i \in dz)}{\Pi(dz)}$, a Radon-Nikodym derivative
- $\mu(s, z, di, d\mathbf{p}) = g_i(z)\zeta(di)\nu(d\mathbf{p} \mid \log p_i = z)$,
  where ζ is counting measure.

Construction of a spine process, II

We will define a *decoration* of the jump process M:

- $g_i(z) = \frac{e^{\omega z}\nu(\log p_i \in \mathrm{d}z)}{\Pi(\mathrm{d}z)}$, a Radon-Nikodym derivative
- $\mu(s, z, \mathrm{d}i, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{p}) = g_i(z)\zeta(\mathrm{d}i)\nu(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{p} \mid \log p_i = z)$,
  where ζ is counting measure.
- Let $N(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}z, \mathrm{d}i, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{p})$ be the μ-randomisation of M.

# Construction of a spine process, II

We will define a *decoration* of the jump process M:

- $g_i(z) = \frac{e^{\omega z} \nu(\log p_i \in dz)}{\Pi(dz)}$, a Radon-Nikodym derivative
- $\mu(s, z, di, d\mathbf{p}) = g_i(z)\zeta(di)\nu(d\mathbf{p} \mid \log p_i = z)$,
  where ζ is counting measure.
- Let $N(ds, dz, di, d\mathbf{p})$ be the μ-randomisation of M.

Construction of a spine process, II

We will define a *decoration* of the jump process M:

- $g_i(z) = \frac{e^{\omega z} \nu(\log p_i \in dz)}{\Pi(dz)}$, a Radon-Nikodym derivative
- $\mu(s, z, di, d\mathbf{p}) = g_i(z)\zeta(di)\nu(d\mathbf{p} \mid \log p_i = z)$, where ζ is counting measure.
- Let $N(ds, dz, di, d\mathbf{p})$ be the μ-randomisation of M.

s

z

$i = 2$

$\mathbf{p} = (p_1, e^z, p_3, 0, \ldots)$

We construct a new process under $\mathbb{P}_\omega$:

- Let $(\mathcal{Z}^{[s,j]})_{s \geq 0, j \geq 1}$ be a collection of independent compensated fragmentations under $\mathbb{P}$.

Construction of a spine process, III

We construct a new process under $\mathbb{P}_\omega$:

- Let $(\mathcal{Z}^{[s,j]})_{s \geq 0, j \geq 1}$ be a collection of independent compensated fragmentations under $\mathbb{P}$.
- Define

$$\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}(t) = \delta_{\xi(t)} + \int N(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}z, \mathrm{d}i, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{p}) \sum_{j \neq i} [\mathcal{Z}^{[s,j]}(t-s) + \xi(s-) + \log p_j].$$

Construction of a spine process, III

We construct a new process under $\mathbb{P}_\omega$:

- Let $(\mathcal{Z}^{[s,j]})_{s\geq 0, j\geq 1}$ be a collection of independent compensated fragmentations under $\mathbb{P}$.
- Define

$$\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}(t) = \delta_{\xi(t)} + \int N(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}z, \mathrm{d}i, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{p}) \sum_{j\neq i} [\mathcal{Z}^{[s,j]}(t-s) + \xi(s-) + \log p_j].$$

Construction of a spine process, III

We construct a new process under $\mathbb{P}_\omega$:

- Let $(\mathcal{Z}^{[s,j]})_{s\geq 0, j\geq 1}$ be a collection of independent compensated fragmentations under $\mathbb{P}$.
- Define

$$\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}(t) = \delta_{\xi(t)} + \int N(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}z, \mathrm{d}i, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{p}) \sum_{j\neq i} [\mathcal{Z}^{[s,j]}(t-s) + \xi(s-) + \log p_j].$$

Construction of a spine process, III

We construct a new process under $\mathbb{P}_\omega$:

- Let $(\mathcal{Z}^{[s,j]})_{s \geq 0, j \geq 1}$ be a collection of independent compensated fragmentations under $\mathbb{P}$.
- Define

$$\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}(t) = \delta_{\xi(t)} + \int N(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}z, \mathrm{d}i, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{p}) \sum_{j \neq i} [\mathcal{Z}^{[s,j]}(t-s) + \xi(s-) + \log p_j].$$

Construction of a spine process, III

We construct a new process under $\mathbb{P}_\omega$:

- Let $(\mathcal{Z}^{[s,j]})_{s \geq 0, j \geq 1}$ be a collection of independent compensated fragmentations under $\mathbb{P}$.
- Define

$$\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}(t) = \delta_{\xi(t)} + \int N(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}z, \mathrm{d}i, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{p}) \sum_{j \neq i} [\mathcal{Z}^{[s,j]}(t-s) + \xi(s-) + \log p_j].$$

We distinguish within $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}$ the particle with position ξ: let U_t be such that $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{U_t}(t) = \xi(t)$.

Many-to-one theorem

For F_t measurable with respect to paths up to time t, and u any label,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\omega}[F_t(\tilde{\mathcal{Z}})\mathbb{1}_{\{U_t=u\}}] = e^{-t\kappa(\omega)}\mathbb{E}[F_t(\mathcal{Z})e^{\omega\mathcal{Z}_u(t)}].$$

Many-to-one theorem

Theorem (W. '16)

For F_t measurable with respect to paths up to time t, and u any label,

$$\mathbb{E}_\omega[F_t(\tilde{\mathcal{Z}})\mathbb{1}_{\{U_t=u\}}] = e^{-t\kappa(\omega)}\mathbb{E}[F_t(\mathcal{Z})e^{\omega\mathcal{Z}_u(t)}].$$

Corollary

Summing over u,

$$\mathbb{E}_\omega[F_t(\tilde{\mathcal{Z}})] = e^{-t\kappa(\omega)}\mathbb{E}[F_t(\mathcal{Z})W(\omega,t)] = \mathbb{E}_\omega[F_t(\mathcal{Z})].$$

That is, $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}} \overset{d}{=} \mathcal{Z}$ under $\mathbb{P}_\omega$.

Many-to-one theorem

Theorem (W. '16)

For F_t measurable with respect to paths up to time t, and u any label,

$$\mathbb{E}_\omega[F_t(\tilde{\mathcal{Z}})\mathbb{1}_{\{U_t=u\}}] = e^{-t\kappa(\omega)}\mathbb{E}[F_t(\mathcal{Z})e^{\omega\mathcal{Z}_u(t)}].$$

Corollary

For Borel f,

$$e^{t\kappa(\omega)}\mathbb{E}_\omega\left[e^{-\omega\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{U_t}(t)}f(\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{U_t}(t))\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_u f(\mathcal{Z}_u(t))\right].$$

Discussion of proof, I

We define *truncation* of the processes.

In the truncated process $\mathscr{Z}^{(b)}$, a child particle is kept only if:

- its displacement from the parent is less than $-b$, *or*
- it is the largest child

Discussion of proof, I

We define *truncation* of the processes.

In the truncated process $\mathcal{Z}^{(b)}$, a child particle is kept only if:

- its displacement from the parent is less than $-b$, *or*
- it is the largest child

Discussion of proof, I

We define *truncation* of the processes.

In the truncated process $\mathcal{Z}^{(b)}$, a child particle is kept only if:

- its displacement from the parent is less than $-b$, *or*
- it is the largest child

Discussion of proof, I

We define *truncation* of the processes.

In the truncated process $\mathcal{Z}^{(b)}$, a child particle is kept only if:

- its displacement from the parent is less than $-b$, *or*
- it is the largest child

Discussion of proof, II

The truncation operation can be applied to:

- $\mathcal{Z}$, under $\mathbb{P}$ — let $\kappa^{(b)}$ be the cumulant of $\mathcal{Z}^{(b)}$
- $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}$, under $\mathbb{P}_\omega$

They are related by:

Lemma

Let
$$\zeta = \inf\{t \geq 0 : U_t \text{ not in } \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}^{(b)}\}.$$

Then,

$$\mathbb{E}_\omega\big[F_t(\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}^{(b)})\mathbb{1}_{\{U_t=u\}} \mid \zeta > t\big] = e^{-t\kappa^{(b)}(\omega)}\mathbb{E}\big[F_t(\mathcal{Z})e^{\omega \mathcal{Z}_u^{(b)}(t)}\big]$$

Further questions

- Derivative martingale
  $$\partial W(\omega, t) = e^{-t\kappa(\omega)} \sum_u (\omega \mathcal{Z}_u(t) - t\kappa'(\omega)) e^{\omega \mathcal{Z}_u(t)}$$
- KPP equation
- 'Non-homogeneous' fragmentations (self-similar done by Bertoin–Budd–Curien–Kortchemski '16)

Further questions

- Derivative martingale
  $$\partial W(\omega, t) = e^{-t\kappa(\omega)} \sum_u (\omega \mathcal{Z}_u(t) - t\kappa'(\omega)) e^{\omega \mathcal{Z}_u(t)}$$
- KPP equation
- 'Non-homogeneous' fragmentations (self-similar done by Bertoin–Budd–Curien–Kortchemski '16)

📄 J. Bertoin
Compensated fragmentation processes and limits of dilated fragmentations

📄 J. Bertoin, A. R. Watson
Probabilistic aspects of critical growth-fragmentation equations

📄 A. R. Watson
Tilting of compensated fragmentations [in preparation]

Thank you!