

16/11/2015.

LECTURE 15: 3WN2.1.

(A)

** HANDOUT (CONTINUED) **

TWO-PHASE SIMPLEX METHOD:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{PHASE I: SOLVE } \max z' &= - \sum_{j=1}^k u_j \\ \text{subject to } \underline{A}' x' &= \underline{b} \\ x' &\geq \underline{0}_{n+k} \end{aligned}$$

TO FIND EITHER A BFS TO ORIGINAL PROBLEM OR ORIGINAL HAS NO SOLUTION.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{PHASE II: SOLVE } \max z &= \underline{c}^T x \\ \text{subject to } \underline{A} x &= \underline{b} \\ x &\geq \underline{0}_n \end{aligned}$$

4.4 SUMMARY OF THE PRIMAL SIMPLEX ALGORITHM

WE CAN NOW SUMMARISE THE IDEAS OF THIS CHAPTER INTO AN ALGORITHM.

STEP 0: PUT YOUR LP PROBLEM INTO CANONICAL FORM
(TYPICALLY $\underline{A} x = \underline{b}$, $x \geq \underline{0}_n$ WITH $\underline{b} \geq \underline{0}_m$)

STEP 1: IF YOU CAN GUESS A FEASIBLE BASIC SOLUTION, USE IT.

(e.g. HAVE $\underline{b} \geq \underline{0}_m$ AND $\underline{A}$ CONTAINS $\underline{I}_m$ WITHIN ITS COLUMNS)
IF NOT, USE THE TWO-PHASE METHOD AND INTRODUCE ARTIFICIAL VARIABLES.

(B)

• IF MAXIMUM $z' = - \sum_{j=1}^k w_j < 0$ THEN ORIGINAL LP PROBLEM

HAS NO FEASIBLE SOLUTION.

• IF MAXIMUM $z' = 0$ YOU HAVE A STARTING BASIS FOR ORIGINAL PROBLEM.

STEP 2: PERFORM PIVOTS UNTIL EITHER:

• ALL REDUCED COSTS ARE NON-POSITIVE

(FINAL ROW OF TABLEAU CONTAINS ONLY NON-NEGATIVE VALUES UNDER THE VARIABLES).

IN WHICH CASE OPTIMAL SOLUTION IS REACHED

(0 REDUCED COST CORRESPONDS TO NON-UNIQUE SOLUTION).

• THERE IS A COLUMN WITH A POSITIVE REDUCED COST (NEGATIVE ELEMENT IN FINAL ROW) AND NO POSITIVE ELEMENT [COLUMN OF $B^{-1}b$ FOR VARIABLE HAS NO POSITIVE VALUES] IN THIS CASE, ORIGINAL PROBLEM IS UNBOUNDED. $\therefore$ NO FINITE OPTIMISING SOLUTION.

NOTE:

IT IS POSSIBLE FOR MANY BASES TO PRODUCE THE SAME BFS (DEGENERATE SOLUTION).

IF YOU'RE NOT CAREFUL, YOU COULD CYCLE THROUGH THESE BASES BUT REINTERVENTS SUCH AS BLAND'S RULE AVOIDS THIS.

[CYCLING ONLY OCCURS IN THE PRESENCE OF DEGENERACY. USE r_j, Q_j RULE FOR PIVOTS AND BLAND'S RULE TO BREAK TIES].

IN GENERAL, ALGORITHM SOLVES A LP PROBLEM IN A FINITE NUMBER OF STEPS.

(C).

5. DUALITY.

5.1 MOTIVATING EXAMPLE.

CONSIDER OUR ORIGINAL MOTIVATING EXAMPLE.

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\text{maximize } z = x_1 + 2x_2 \\
 &\text{subject to } 5x_1 + 20x_2 \leq 400 \\
 &\quad 10x_1 + 15x_2 \leq 450 \\
 &\quad x_1, x_2 \geq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{i.e., } c = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, A = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 20 \\ 10 & 15 \end{pmatrix}, b = \begin{pmatrix} 400 \\ 450 \end{pmatrix}$$

PROBLEM SOLVED WITH $z=52$ AT $x_1=24, x_2=14$.SUPPOSE WE CONSIDER USING THE CONSTRAINTS TO BOUND z ABOVE.

HERE — e.g.

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_1 + 2x_2 &\leq \frac{1}{5} (5x_1 + 20x_2) \leq \frac{1}{5} (400) = 80. \\
 x_1 + 2x_2 &\leq \frac{2}{15} (10x_1 + 15x_2) \leq \frac{2}{15} (450) = 60.
 \end{aligned}$$

$\overbrace{x_1 + 4x_2; 4 \geq 2}$
 $\underbrace{\frac{4}{3}x_1 + 2x_2; \frac{4}{3} \geq 1}$

WE CAN ALSO CONSIDER LINEAR COMBINATIONS OF THE CONSTRAINTS

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_1 \text{ term: } \frac{1}{15}(5) + \frac{1}{15}(10) &= 1 \quad x_2 \text{ term: } \frac{20}{15} + \frac{15}{15} \geq 2 \\
 x_1 + 2x_2 &\leq \frac{1}{15} (5x_1 + 20x_2) + \frac{1}{15} (10x_1 + 15x_2) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{15} (400) + \frac{1}{15} (450) = 56\frac{2}{3}
 \end{aligned}$$

GENERALISING THIS APPROACH, WE HAVE:

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_1 + 2x_2 &\leq \gamma_1 (5x_1 + 20x_2) + \gamma_2 (10x_1 + 15x_2) \\
 &\leq 400\gamma_1 + 450\gamma_2
 \end{aligned}$$

Illustrating the two-phase method

Example 1 We use the two-phase method to solve the linear programming problem

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{maximise} && z = 3x_1 + x_2 \\ &\text{subject to} && x_1 + x_2 + s_1 = 6 \\ &&& 4x_1 - x_2 - s_2 = 8 \\ &&& 2x_1 + x_2 = 8 \\ &&& x_1, x_2, s_1, s_2 \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

In **Phase I** we solve the auxiliary linear programming problem

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{maximise} && z' = -u_1 - u_2 \\ &\text{subject to} && x_1 + x_2 + s_1 = 6 \\ &&& 4x_1 - x_2 - s_2 + u_1 = 8 \\ &&& 2x_1 + x_2 + u_2 = 8 \\ &&& x_1, x_2, s_1, s_2, u_1, u_2 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

to obtain a basic feasible solution to the original problem, (1). In **Phase II** we solve the original problem.

We use $\{s_1, u_1, u_2\}$ as our initial basis with basic feasible solution $s_1 = 6$, $u_1 = 8$ and $u_2 = 8$ with the remaining variables set to zero. To proceed, we want to write our objective function z' as a function of the non-basic variables. To do this note that we have

$$4x_1 - x_2 - s_2 + u_1 = 8 \quad (2)$$

$$2x_1 + x_2 + u_2 = 8 \quad (3)$$

$$z' + u_1 + u_2 = 0 \quad (4)$$

so that subtraction of each row containing an artificial variable, from the z' row will eliminate the artificial variables from this equation, that is we perform $(4) - (3) - (2)$ to obtain

$$z' - 6x_1 + s_2 = -16.$$

Note that our original objective function $z = 3x_1 + x_2$ does not contain any of the basis variables, $\{s_1, u_1, u_2\}$, so we have $z - 3x_1 - x_2 = 0$. We are ready to commence Phase I.

Phase I

We create the initial simplex tableau.

T_1	z'	z	x_1	x_2	s_1	s_2	u_1	u_2	
s_1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	6
u_1	0	0	4	-1	0	-1	1	0	8
u_2	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	1	8
II	0	1	-3	-1	0	0	0	0	0
I	1	0	-6	0	0	1	0	0	-16

Initial solution to $A'x' = b$

$z - 3x_1 - x_2 = 0$

$z' - 6x_1 + s_2 = -16$

Original Objective function z

Auxiliary Objective function z'

We proceed as usual for the simplex method using z' as the objective but performing row operations on the row labelled II which corresponds to the objective function z to ensure that it is always expressed as a function of non-basic variables only. From the bottom row, we see that introducing x_1 into the basis will increase z' and $\theta_1 = \min\{6, 8/4, 8/2\} = 2$ so that we push out u_1 . We perform row operations on T_1 to introduce x_1 into the basis, obtaining the tableau T_2 .

In T_2 I can add either x_2 (reduced cost $3/2$) or s_2 (reduced cost $1/2$) to the basis. Adding either of these completes Phase I [the row negative of the bottom row] Let's choose s_2 and add s_2 to the basis.

don't want to add, back into the basis.

Maintain z as a function of the non-basis variables

T_2	z'	z	x_1	x_2	s_1	s_2	u_1	u_2	
s_1	0	0	0	$5/4$	1	$1/4$	—	0	4
x_1	0	0	1	$-1/4$	0	$-1/4$	—	0	2
u_2	0	0	0	$3/2$	0	$1/2$	—	1	4
II	0	1	0	$-7/4$	0	$-3/4$	—	0	6
I	1	0	0	$-3/2$	0	$-1/2$	—	0	-4

Note that we do not calculate the values corresponding to u_1 as we do not intend to reintroduce this variable. We now remove u_2 and can introduce either x_2 or s_2 . We introduce x_2 and, performing row operations on T_2 , obtain the tableau T_3 .

T_3	z'	z	x_1	x_2	s_1	s_2	u_1	u_2	
s_1	0	0	0	0	1	$-1/6$	—	—	$2/3$
x_1	0	0	1	0	0	$-1/6$	—	—	$8/3$
x_2	0	0	0	1	0	$1/3$	—	—	$8/3$
II	0	1	0	0	0	$-1/6$	—	—	$32/3$
I	1	0	0	0	0	0	—	—	0

$z' = 0$ arriving at soln.

We have now moved u_1 and u_2 out of the basis. We have $z' = 0$ and have a basic feasible solution $(x_1, x_2, s_1, s_2)^T = (8/3, 8/3, 2/3, 0)^T$.

Phase II

We can reduce T_3 to remove reference to the Phase I variables.

$z - (c_N - c_B B^{-1}N)x_N = c_B B^{-1}b$
under the basis $\{s_1, x_1, x_2\}$

CHECK SOLUTION

T_3	z	x_1	x_2	s_1	s_2	
s_1	0	0	0	1	$-1/6$	$2/3$
x_1	0	1	0	0	$-1/6$	$8/3$
x_2	0	0	1	0	$1/3$	$8/3$
	1	0	0	0	$-1/6$	$32/3$

Introducing s_2 into the basis will improve the objective. We remove x_2 from the basis to obtain the tableau T_4 .

Note: I would have arrived here on Step earlier had I chosen s_2 rather than x_2 at T_2 .

T_4	z	x_1	x_2	s_1	s_2	
s_1	0	0	$1/2$	1	0	2
x_1	0	1	$1/2$	0	0	4
s_2	0	0	3	0	1	8
	1	0	$1/2$	0	0	12

Reading the bottom row we have $z = 12 - \frac{1}{2}x_2$. The basic feasible solution $(x_1, x_2, s_1, s_2)^T = (4, 0, 2, 8)^T$ is optimal with $z = 12$.

¹It can be readily checked that we have not made a mistake in our algebra by checking that (1) is satisfied at this solution: $\frac{8}{3} + \frac{8}{3} + \frac{2}{3} = 6$, $4\frac{8}{3} - \frac{8}{3} = 8$ and $2\frac{8}{3} + \frac{8}{3} = 8$. Also $z = 3\frac{8}{3} + \frac{8}{3} = \frac{32}{3}$ which verifies our final equation.