## MA20226 - Feedback on Question Sheet Two

Simon Shaw, s.shaw@bath.ac.uk http://people.bath.ac.uk/masss/ma20226.html

2011/12 Semester I

1. Almost everyone showed that  $\overline{X}$  was the maximum likelihood estimator for  $\mu$  (when  $\sigma^2$  is known) and most people derived that  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2$  was the maximum likelihood estimate of  $\sigma^2$  (when  $\mu$  is known) as this satisfied  $l'(\sigma^2) = 0$ . However, a common mistake was to claim that the corresponding maximum likelihood estimator was  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \overline{X})^2$  which is not true. The table below summaries the maximum likelihood estimators for the parameters  $\mu$ ,  $\sigma^2$  when  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  are iid  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ .

Parameters	Maximum likelihood estimator	Biased or unbiased?
$\mu$ unknown $\sigma^2$ known	$\overline{X}$ for $\mu$	unbiased
$\mu$ known $\sigma^2$ unknown	$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(X_i-\mu)^2 \text{ for } \sigma^2$	unbiased
$\mu$ unknown $\sigma^2$ unknown	$\frac{\overline{X} \text{ for } \mu}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X})^2 \text{ for } \sigma^2}$	unbiased biased

The essence of this question was that when  $\mu$  is known, the maximum likelihood estimator of  $\sigma^2$  depends upon  $\mu$ . If  $\mu$  is additionally unknown then the maximum likelihood approach essentially replaces  $\mu$  in the estimator of  $\sigma^2$  by its estimator  $\overline{X}$ . One effect of this is that the estimator of  $\sigma^2$  is no longer unbiased.

- 2. In order to get the solution for this question, you have to take care with the sample space. If  $X \sim U(0, \theta)$  then the pdf is zero outside of  $(0, \theta)$ . Consequently, the likelihood function is only non-zero when  $\theta \geq x_i \ \forall i = 1, ..., n$ . This is equivalent to  $\theta \geq m$  where  $m = \max\{x_1, ..., x_n\}$ . This makes sense: if you are trying to estimate  $\theta$ , the maximum possible value, then having observed  $x_1, ..., x_n$  you know that  $\theta$  can not be less than  $\max\{x_1, ..., x_n\}$ .
- 3. Overall, this question was well done and most people found that  $\alpha = \frac{n-1}{n+1}$ . The corresponding estimator was thus  $\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i \overline{X})^2$ . Putting together this question with question 1. we note that if we consider estimating  $\sigma^2$  using the estimator  $T(\delta) = \frac{1}{\delta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i \overline{X})^2$  then:
  - Choosing  $\delta = n$  gives  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i \overline{X})^2$ , the maximum likelihood estimator of  $\sigma^2$  (assuming  $\mu$  is also unknown).
  - Choosing  $\delta = n 1$  gives  $S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i \overline{X})^2$ , the unbiased estimator of  $\sigma^2$ .
  - Choosing  $\delta = n+1$  gives  $\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i \overline{X})^2$  which has the smallest mean square error amongst estimators of the form  $T(\delta)$ .