

Statistical Inference

Lecture Seven

<https://people.bath.ac.uk/masss/APTS/apts.html>

Simon Shaw

University of Bath

APTS, 14-18 December 2020

Overview of Lecture Seven

- Confidence procedure: A random set $C(X) \subset \Theta$ is a level- $(1 - \alpha)$ confidence procedure exactly when $\mathbb{P}(\theta \in C(X) | \theta) \geq 1 - \alpha$.
- Family of confidence procedures: occurs when $C(X; \alpha)$ is a level- $(1 - \alpha)$ confidence procedure for every $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.
- C is a nesting family if $\alpha < \alpha'$ implies that $C(x; \alpha') \subset C(x; \alpha)$.
- The general approach to construct a confidence procedure is to invert a test statistic.
- Consider the likelihood ratio test (LRT) statistic

$$\lambda(x) = \frac{\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} L_X(\theta; x)}{\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} L_X(\theta; x)}.$$

- Duality of acceptance regions and confidence sets.

Confidence procedures and confidence sets

- We consider **interval estimation**, or more generally **set estimation**.
- Under the model $\mathcal{E} = \{\mathcal{X}, \Theta, f_{\mathcal{X}}(x | \theta)\}$, for given data $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}$, we wish to construct a set $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{x}) \subset \Theta$ and the **inference** is the statement that $\theta \in \mathbf{C}$.
- If $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ then the set estimate is typically an **interval**.

Definition (Confidence procedure)

A **random set** $\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{X})$ is a level- $(1 - \alpha)$ **confidence procedure** exactly when

$$\mathbb{P}(\theta \in \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{X}) | \theta) \geq 1 - \alpha$$

for all $\theta \in \Theta$. \mathbf{C} is an **exact** level- $(1 - \alpha)$ confidence procedure if the probability **equals** $(1 - \alpha)$ for all θ .

- The value $\mathbb{P}(\theta \in C(X) | \theta)$ is termed the **coverage** of C at θ .
- Exact is a special case: typically $\mathbb{P}(\theta \in C(X) | \theta)$ will depend upon θ .
- The procedure is thus **conservative**: for a given θ_0 the **coverage** may be much **higher** than $(1 - \alpha)$.

Uniform example

- Let X_1, \dots, X_n be independent and identically distributed $\text{Unif}(0, \theta)$ random variables where $\theta > 0$. Let $Y = \max\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$.
- We consider two possible sets: (aY, bY) where $1 \leq a < b$ and $(Y + c, Y + d)$ where $0 \leq c < d$.
 - 1 $\mathbb{P}(\theta \in (aY, bY) | \theta) = \left(\frac{1}{a}\right)^n - \left(\frac{1}{b}\right)^n$. Thus, the coverage probability of the interval **does not depend** upon θ .
 - 2 $\mathbb{P}(\theta \in (Y + c, Y + d) | \theta) = \left(1 - \frac{c}{\theta}\right)^n - \left(1 - \frac{d}{\theta}\right)^n$. In this case, the coverage probability of the interval **does depend** upon θ .

- We distinguish between the confidence **procedure** C , which is a **random interval** and so a function for each possible x , and the result when C is **evaluated** at the **observation** x , which is a **set** in Θ .

Definition (Confidence set)

The observed $C(x)$ is a level- $(1 - \alpha)$ confidence set exactly when the random $C(X)$ is a level- $(1 - \alpha)$ confidence procedure.

- If $\Theta \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $C(x)$ is **convex**, i.e. an interval, then a confidence set (interval) is represented by a lower and upper value.
- The **challenge** with confidence procedures is to construct one with a **specified level**: to do this we **start with the level** and then construct a C guaranteed to have this level.

Definition (Family of confidence procedures)

- $C(X; \alpha)$ is a **family** of confidence procedures exactly when $C(X; \alpha)$ is a level- $(1 - \alpha)$ confidence procedure for **every** $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.
- C is a **nesting family** exactly when $\alpha < \alpha'$ implies that $C(x; \alpha') \subset C(x; \alpha)$.
- If we start with a family of confidence procedures for a specified model, then we can compute a confidence set for any level we choose.

Constructing confidence procedures

- The general approach to construct a confidence procedure is to **invert a test statistic**.
- In the Uniform example, the coverage of the procedure (aY, bY) does not depend upon θ because the coverage probability could be expressed in terms of $T = Y/\theta$ where the distribution of T did **not depend** upon θ .
 - ▶ T is an example of a **pivot** and confidence procedures are straightforward to compute from a pivot.
 - ▶ However, a drawback to this approach in general is that there is **no hard and fast method** for finding a pivot.
- An alternate method which does work generally is to exploit the property that **every confidence procedure** corresponds to a **hypothesis test** and vice versa.

Consider a hypothesis test where we have to decide either to **accept** that an hypothesis H_0 is true or to **reject** H_0 in favour of an alternative hypothesis H_1 based on a sample $x \in \mathcal{X}$.

- The set of x for which H_0 is rejected is called the **rejection region**.
- The complement, where H_0 is accepted, is the **acceptance region**.
- A hypothesis test can be constructed from **any statistic** $T = T(X)$.

Definition (Likelihood Ratio Test, LRT)

The likelihood ratio test (LRT) statistic for testing $H_0 : \theta \in \Theta_0$ versus $H_1 : \theta \in \Theta_0^c$, where $\Theta_0 \cup \Theta_0^c = \Theta$, is

$$\lambda(x) = \frac{\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} L_X(\theta; x)}{\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} L_X(\theta; x)}.$$

A LRT at significance level α has a **rejection region** of the form $\{x : \lambda(x) \leq c\}$ where $0 \leq c \leq 1$ is chosen so that $\mathbb{P}(\text{Reject } H_0 \mid \theta) \leq \alpha$ for all $\theta \in \Theta_0$.

Example

- Let $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and suppose that the X_i are independent and identically distributed $N(\theta, \sigma^2)$ random variables where σ^2 is known.
- Consider the likelihood ratio test for $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$ versus $H_1 : \theta \neq \theta_0$. Then, as the maximum likelihood estimate (mle) of θ is \bar{x} ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{L_X(\theta_0; \mathbf{x})}{L_X(\bar{x}; \mathbf{x})} = \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n ((x_i - \theta_0)^2 - (x_i - \bar{x})^2) \right\} \\ &= \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} n(\bar{x} - \theta_0)^2 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that, under H_0 , $\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \theta_0)}{\sigma} \sim N(0, 1)$ so that

$$-2 \log \lambda(X) = \frac{n(\bar{X} - \theta_0)^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_1^2,$$

the chi-squared distribution with one degree of freedom.

Example continued

- The **rejection region** is $\{x : \lambda(x) \leq c\} = \{x : -2 \log \lambda(x) \geq k\}$.
- Setting $k = \chi_{1,\alpha}^2$, where $\mathbb{P}(\chi_1^2 \geq \chi_{1,\alpha}^2) = \alpha$, gives a test at the **exact** significance level α .

The **acceptance region** of this test is $\{x : -2 \log \lambda(x) < \chi_{1,\alpha}^2\}$ where

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{n(\bar{X} - \theta_0)^2}{\sigma^2} < \chi_{1,\alpha}^2 \mid \theta = \theta_0\right) = 1 - \alpha.$$

This holds for all θ_0 and so, additionally rearranging,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bar{X} - \sqrt{\chi_{1,\alpha}^2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} < \theta < \bar{X} + \sqrt{\chi_{1,\alpha}^2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \mid \theta\right) = 1 - \alpha.$$

Thus, $C(X) = (\bar{X} - \sqrt{\chi_{1,\alpha}^2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}, \bar{X} + \sqrt{\chi_{1,\alpha}^2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}})$ is an **exact** level- $(1 - \alpha)$ **confidence procedure** with $C(x)$ the corresponding confidence set.

- Note that we obtained the level- $(1 - \alpha)$ **confidence procedure** by **inverting** the **acceptance region** of the level α **significance test**.
- This correspondence, or **duality**, between acceptance regions of tests and confidence sets is a **general property**.

Theorem (Duality of Acceptance Regions and Confidence Sets)

- 1 For each $\theta_0 \in \Theta$, let $A(\theta_0)$ be the **acceptance region** of a test of $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$ at significance level α . For each $x \in \mathcal{X}$, define $C(x) = \{\theta_0 : x \in A(\theta_0)\}$. Then $C(X)$ is a level- $(1 - \alpha)$ **confidence procedure**.
- 2 Let $C(X)$ be a level- $(1 - \alpha)$ **confidence procedure** and, for any $\theta_0 \in \Theta$, define $A(\theta_0) = \{x : \theta_0 \in C(x)\}$. Then $A(\theta_0)$ is the **acceptance region** of a test of $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$ at **significance level** α .

Proof

- ① As we have a level α test for each $\theta_0 \in \Theta$ then $\mathbb{P}(X \in A(\theta_0) \mid \theta = \theta_0) \geq 1 - \alpha$. Since θ_0 is arbitrary we may write θ instead of θ_0 and so, for all $\theta \in \Theta$,

$$\mathbb{P}(\theta \in C(X) \mid \theta) = \mathbb{P}(X \in A(\theta) \mid \theta) \geq 1 - \alpha.$$

Hence, $C(X)$ is a level- $(1 - \alpha)$ confidence procedure.

- ② For a test of $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$, the probability of a Type I error (rejecting H_0 when it is true) is

$$\mathbb{P}(X \notin A(\theta_0) \mid \theta = \theta_0) = \mathbb{P}(\theta_0 \notin C(X), \mid \theta = \theta_0) \leq \alpha$$

since $C(X)$ is a level- $(1 - \alpha)$ confidence procedure. Hence, we have a test at significance level α . □

A possibly easier way to understand the relationship between significance tests and confidence sets is by defining the set $\{(x, \theta) : (x, \theta) \in \tilde{C}\}$ in the space $\mathcal{X} \times \Theta$ where \tilde{C} is also a set in $\mathcal{X} \times \Theta$.

- For fixed x , define the confidence set as $C(x) = \{\theta : (x, \theta) \in \tilde{C}\}$.
- For fixed θ , define the acceptance region as $A(\theta) = \{x : (x, \theta) \in \tilde{C}\}$.

Example revisited

Letting $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, with $z_{\alpha/2}^2 = \chi_{1,\alpha}^2$, define the set

$$\{(x, \theta) : (x, \theta) \in \tilde{C}\} = \{(x, \theta) : -z_{\alpha/2}\sigma/\sqrt{n} < \bar{x} - \theta < z_{\alpha/2}\sigma/\sqrt{n}\}.$$

The confidence set is then

$$C(x) = \{\theta : \bar{x} - z_{\alpha/2}\sigma/\sqrt{n} < \theta < \bar{x} + z_{\alpha/2}\sigma/\sqrt{n}\}$$

and acceptance region

$$A(\theta) = \{x : \theta - z_{\alpha/2}\sigma/\sqrt{n} < \bar{x} < \theta + z_{\alpha/2}\sigma/\sqrt{n}\}.$$