

Statistical Inference

Lecture Five

<https://people.bath.ac.uk/masss/APTS/apts.html>

Simon Shaw

University of Bath

APTS, 14-18 December 2020

Overview of Lecture Five

In Lecture Four we considered a Bayesian statistical decision problem, $[\Theta, \mathcal{D}, \pi(\theta), L(\theta, d)]$.

- The **risk** of decision $d \in \mathcal{D}$ under the distribution $\pi(\theta)$ is $\rho(\pi(\theta), d) = \int_{\theta} L(\theta, d)\pi(\theta) d\theta$.
- A decision $d^* \in \mathcal{D}$ for which $\rho(\pi, d^*) = \rho^*(\pi)$ is a **Bayes rule**.
- The Bayes rule for the posterior decision **respects** the strong likelihood principle.

Today, we'll look at decision theory from a classical perspective.

- The **classical risk** for the model $\mathcal{E} = \{\mathcal{X}, \Theta, f_{\mathcal{X}}(x | \theta)\}$ is

$$R(\theta, \delta) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} L(\theta, \delta(x))f_{\mathcal{X}}(x | \theta) dx.$$

- A decision rule δ_0 is **admissible** if there is no decision rule δ_1 which **dominates** it.
- **Wald's Complete Class Theorem, CCT**: a decision rule is **admissible** if and only if it is a **Bayes rule** for some **prior** distribution.
- Admissible decision rules **respect** the SLP.

Admissible rules

- Bayes rules rely upon a **prior distribution** for θ : the risk is a function of d only.
- In **classical statistics**, there is **no distribution** for θ and so another approach is needed.

Definition (The classical risk)

For a decision rule $\delta(x)$, the classical risk for the model $\mathcal{E} = \{\mathcal{X}, \Theta, f_X(x | \theta)\}$ is

$$R(\theta, \delta) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} L(\theta, \delta(x)) f_X(x | \theta) dx.$$

- The classical risk is thus, for each δ , a **function** of θ .

Example

Let $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ where $X_i \sim N(\theta, \sigma^2)$ and σ^2 is known. Suppose that $L(\theta, d) = (\theta - d)^2$ and consider a conjugate prior $\theta \sim N(\mu_0, \sigma_0^2)$. Possible decision functions include:

- 1 $\delta_1(x) = \bar{x}$, the **sample mean**.
- 2 $\delta_2(x) = \text{med}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} = \tilde{x}$, the **sample median**.
- 3 $\delta_3(x) = \mu_0$, the **prior mean**.
- 4 $\delta_4(x) = \mu_n$, the **posterior mean** where

$$\mu_n = \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} + \frac{n}{\sigma^2} \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\mu_0}{\sigma_0^2} + \frac{n\bar{x}}{\sigma^2} \right),$$

the weighted average of the prior and sample mean accorded to their respective precisions.

Example - continued

The respective classical risks are

- ① $R(\theta, \delta_1) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$, a **constant** for θ , since $\bar{X} \sim N(\theta, \sigma^2/n)$.
- ② $R(\theta, \delta_2) = \frac{\pi\sigma^2}{2n}$, a **constant** for θ , since $\tilde{X} \sim N(\theta, \pi\sigma^2/2n)$ (approximately).
- ③ $R(\theta, \delta_3) = (\theta - \mu_0)^2 = \sigma_0^2 \left(\frac{\theta - \mu_0}{\sigma_0} \right)^2$.
- ④ $R(\theta, \delta_4) = \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} + \frac{n}{\sigma^2} \right)^{-2} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} \left(\frac{\theta - \mu_0}{\sigma_0} \right)^2 + \frac{n}{\sigma^2} \right\}$.

Which decision do we choose? We observe that $R(\theta, \delta_1) < R(\theta, \delta_2)$ for **all** $\theta \in \Theta$ but other comparisons depend upon θ .

- The accepted approach for classical statisticians is to narrow the set of possible decision rules by **ruling out** those that are obviously **bad**.


Definition (Admissible decision rule)

A decision rule δ_0 is **inadmissible** if there exists a decision rule δ_1 which **dominates** it, that is

$$R(\theta, \delta_1) \leq R(\theta, \delta_0)$$

for all $\theta \in \Theta$ with $R(\theta, \delta_1) < R(\theta, \delta_0)$ for **at least one** value $\theta_0 \in \Theta$. If no such δ_1 exists then δ_0 is **admissible**.

- If δ_0 is **dominated** by δ_1 then the classical risk of δ_0 is **never smaller** than that of δ_1 and δ_1 has a **smaller** risk for θ_0 .
- Thus, you would **never** want to use δ_0 .¹
- The accepted approach is to **reduce** the set of possible decision rules under consideration by only **using admissible rules**.

¹Here I am assuming that all other considerations are the same in the two cases: e.g. for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, $\delta_1(x)$ and $\delta_0(x)$ take about the same amount of resource to compute. 

- We now show that **admissible rules** can be related to a **Bayes rule** δ^* for a **prior distribution** $\pi(\theta)$.

Theorem

If a prior distribution $\pi(\theta)$ is strictly positive for all Θ with finite Bayes risk and the classical risk, $R(\theta, \delta)$, is a continuous function of θ for all δ , then the **Bayes rule** δ^* is **admissible**.

Proof (Robert, 2007)

Letting $f(\theta, x) = f_X(x | \theta)\pi(\theta)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\{L(\theta, \delta(X))\} &= \int_x \int_{\theta} L(\theta, \delta(x)) f(\theta, x) d\theta dx \\ &= \int_{\theta} \left\{ \int_x L(\theta, \delta(x)) f_X(x | \theta) dx \right\} \pi(\theta) d\theta \\ &= \int_{\theta} R(\theta, \delta) \pi(\theta) d\theta\end{aligned}$$

Proof continued

- Suppose that the Bayes rule δ^* is inadmissible and dominated by δ_1 .
- Thus, in an open set C of θ , $R(\theta, \delta_1) < R(\theta, \delta^*)$ with $R(\theta, \delta_1) \leq R(\theta, \delta^*)$ elsewhere.
- Consequently, $\mathbb{E}\{L(\theta, \delta_1(X))\} < \mathbb{E}\{L(\theta, \delta^*(X))\}$ which is a contradiction to δ^* being the Bayes rule. □

- The relationship between a Bayes rule with prior $\pi(\theta)$ and an admissible decision rule is even stronger.
- The following result was derived by [Abraham Wald \(1902-1950\)](#)

Wald's Complete Class Theorem, CCT

In the case where the parameter space Θ and sample space \mathcal{X} are finite, a decision rule δ is admissible if and only if it is a Bayes rule for some prior distribution $\pi(\theta)$ with strictly positive values.

- An illuminating blackboard proof of this result can be found in [Cox and Hinkley \(1974, Section 11.6\)](#).
- There are [generalisations](#) of this theorem to non-finite decision sets, parameter spaces, and sample spaces but the results are [highly technical](#).
- We'll proceed [assuming](#) the more general result, which is that [a decision rule is admissible if and only if it is a Bayes rule for some prior distribution \$\pi\(\theta\)\$](#) , which holds for practical purposes.

So what does the CCT say?

- 1 [Admissible decision rules respect the SLP](#). This follows from the fact that admissible rules are Bayes rules which respect the SLP. This provides support for using admissible decision rules.
- 2 If you select a [Bayes rule](#) according to some positive prior distribution $\pi(\theta)$ then you [cannot](#) ever choose an [inadmissible](#) decision rule.