A Comparison of Equality in Computer Algebra and Correctness in Mathematical Pedagogy

Russell Bradford, James Davenport & Chris Sangwin

Universities of Bath, Bath (visiting Waterloo), Birmingham

27 June 2009

▲ロト ▲圖 ▶ ▲ 画 ▶ ▲ 画 → のへで

 A relatively traditional mathematics course, at, say first-year undergraduate level.

 A relatively traditional mathematics course, at, say first-year undergraduate level.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

But Computer-Aided Assessment is in use.

 A relatively traditional mathematics course, at, say first-year undergraduate level.

- **But** Computer-Aided Assessment is in use.
- One such example is WeBWorK, another is MapleTA.

- A relatively traditional mathematics course, at, say first-year undergraduate level.
- **But** Computer-Aided Assessment is in use.
- One such example is WeBWorK, another is MapleTA.
- "Harness the power of technology to improve teaching and learning" [AMS Notices, June 2009]. [1]

Web-based Assessment and Testing Systems

"Homework software has the potential to handle the grading of homework at a low cost. While this software has the limitation of requiring a concise answer — an algebraic expression or a multiple-choice response — it also has an important advantage over hand grading. Namely, if a students answer to a problem is wrong, the student learns of the mistake immediately and can be allowed to try the problem or a similar problem repeatedly until the right answer is obtained." [AMS]

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Means automatically generated answers and marking schemes.

Means automatically generated answers and marking schemes.

Parsing the student's answer (non-trivial — see next slide)

Means automatically generated answers and marking schemes.

Parsing the student's answer (non-trivial — see next slide)

Is the student's answer mathematically correct?

Means automatically generated answers and marking schemes.

Parsing the student's answer (non-trivial — see next slide)

- Is the student's answer mathematically correct?
- Is the student's answer pedagogically correct?

Means automatically generated answers and marking schemes.

- Parsing the student's answer (non-trivial see next slide)
- Is the student's answer mathematically correct?
- Is the student's answer pedagogically correct?
- So what mark does it get (assuming we are doing more than true/false marking)?

Means automatically generated answers and marking schemes.

- Parsing the student's answer (non-trivial see next slide)
- Is the student's answer mathematically correct?
- Is the student's answer pedagogically correct?
- So what mark does it get (assuming we are doing more than true/false marking)?

Means automatically generated answers and marking schemes.

- Parsing the student's answer (non-trivial see next slide)
- Is the student's answer mathematically correct?
- Is the student's answer pedagogically correct?
- So what mark does it get (assuming we are doing more than true/false marking)?

Marking other than true/false wa snot discussed by the MS, but seems important to us.

Typical computer aided assessment

What is

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\,\sin^2 2x}{\mathrm{d}x}?$$

4sin(2x)*cos(2x)

Your last answer was interpreted as:

 $4 \cdot \sin(2 \cdot x) \cdot \cos(2 \cdot x)$

Correct answer, well done. Your mark for this attempt is 1. 🥝

Figure: STACK system [2]

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

This is actually a non-trivial problem, even (especially?) with the resources of a computer algebra system behind us.

This is actually a non-trivial problem, even (especially?) with the resources of a computer algebra system behind us.

Can we prevent students from regurgitating the question?

This is actually a non-trivial problem, even (especially?) with the resources of a computer algebra system behind us.

- Can we prevent students from regurgitating the question?
- Can we deal with 'smart alecs'?

This is actually a non-trivial problem, even (especially?) with the resources of a computer algebra system behind us.

- Can we prevent students from regurgitating the question?
- Can we deal with 'smart alecs'?
- Probably no a correct answer to "expand $(x + 1)^2$ " is

$$x^{2} + \left(\max_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \exists x, y, z \in \mathbf{N}^{*} x^{n} + y^{n} = z^{n}\right) x + 1.$$
 (1)

This is actually a non-trivial problem, even (especially?) with the resources of a computer algebra system behind us.

- Can we prevent students from regurgitating the question?
- Can we deal with 'smart alecs'?
- Probably no a correct answer to "expand $(x + 1)^{2"}$ is

$$x^{2} + \left(\max_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \exists x, y, z \in \mathbf{N}^{*} x^{n} + y^{n} = z^{n}\right) x + 1.$$
 (1)

How do we define "mathematical correctness"

This is actually a non-trivial problem, even (especially?) with the resources of a computer algebra system behind us.

- Can we prevent students from regurgitating the question?
- Can we deal with 'smart alecs'?
- Probably no a correct answer to "expand $(x + 1)^{2"}$ is

$$x^{2} + \left(\max_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \exists x, y, z \in \mathbf{N}^{*} x^{n} + y^{n} = z^{n}\right) x + 1.$$
 (1)

How do we define "mathematical correctness"

This is actually a non-trivial problem, even (especially?) with the resources of a computer algebra system behind us.

- Can we prevent students from regurgitating the question?
- Can we deal with 'smart alecs'?
- Probably no a correct answer to "expand $(x + 1)^{2"}$ is

$$x^{2} + \left(\max_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \exists x, y, z \in \mathbf{N}^{*} x^{n} + y^{n} = z^{n}\right) x + 1.$$
 (1)

How do we define "mathematical correctness"
WeBWorK "if it's true at five points it's true"

This is actually a non-trivial problem, even (especially?) with the resources of a computer algebra system behind us.

- Can we prevent students from regurgitating the question?
- Can we deal with 'smart alecs'?
- Probably no a correct answer to "expand $(x + 1)^{2"}$ is

$$x^{2} + \left(\max_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \exists x, y, z \in \mathbf{N}^{*} x^{n} + y^{n} = z^{n}\right) x + 1.$$
 (1)

How do we define "mathematical correctness"
WeBWorK "if it's true at five points it's true"
STACK Computer algebra — Maxima

This is actually a non-trivial problem, even (especially?) with the resources of a computer algebra system behind us.

- Can we prevent students from regurgitating the question?
- Can we deal with 'smart alecs'?
- Probably no a correct answer to "expand $(x + 1)^{2"}$ is

$$x^{2} + \left(\max_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \exists x, y, z \in \mathbf{N}^{*} x^{n} + y^{n} = z^{n}\right) x + 1.$$
 (1)

How do we define "mathematical correctness"
WeBWorK "if it's true at five points it's true"
STACK Computer algebra — Maxima

Both have their drawbacks.

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

There's more to this than right/wrong

There's more to this than right/wrong

	Table: Typical answers: $\frac{d \sin \theta}{dt}$	$\frac{2}{x}$	
No.	Student's answer	C.A.	Score
1.	$4\sin 2x\cos 2x$	Т	1
2.	$\frac{d \sin^2 2x}{dx}$	Т	0
3.	$2\sin 2x\cos 2x$	F	0.7
4.	$2 \times 2 \sin 2x \cos 2x$	Т	0.8
5.	$2\sin 4x$	T?	1
6.	$2\sin 2x\cos 2x+2\sin 2x\cos 2x$	Т	0.8
7.	$x/4 - \sin(4 * x)/8$	F	0

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

There's more to this than right/wrong

	Table: Typical answers: $\frac{d \sin^2}{dt}$	$\frac{2}{x}$	
No.	Student's answer	C.A.	Score
1.	$4\sin 2x\cos 2x$	Т	1
2.	$\frac{d \sin^2 2x}{dx}$	Т	0
3.	$2 \sin 2x \cos 2x$	F	0.7
4.	$2 \times 2 \sin 2x \cos 2x$	Т	0.8
5.	$2\sin 4x$	Т?	1
6.	$2\sin 2x\cos 2x+2\sin 2x\cos 2x$	Т	0.8
7.	$x/4 - \sin(4 * x)/8$	F	0

Note that both mathematically "right" and "wrong" answers got 0, and a mathematically "wrong" answer still gets 70%.

There's more to this than right/wrong

	Table: Typical answers: $\frac{d \sin \theta}{dt}$	$\frac{2}{x}$	
No.	Student's answer	C.A.	Score
1.	$4\sin 2x\cos 2x$	Т	1
2.	$\frac{d \sin^2 2x}{dx}$	Т	0
3.	$2 \sin 2x \cos 2x$	F	0.7
4.	$2 \times 2 \sin 2x \cos 2x$	Т	0.8
5.	$2\sin 4x$	Τ?	1
6.	$2\sin 2x\cos 2x+2\sin 2x\cos 2x$	Т	0.8
7.	$x/4 - \sin(4 * x)/8$	F	0

Note that both mathematically "right" and "wrong" answers got 0, and a mathematically "wrong" answer still gets 70%. We are **not** dealing with this second problem here!

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≧▶ ▲≣▶ = 目 - のへで

This is not really within the scope of this talk: it falls more in the scope of "buggy rules" [3].

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

This is not really within the scope of this talk: it falls more in the scope of "buggy rules" [3].

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

 One might ask if it differs from the "true" answer by an additive constant

This is not really within the scope of this talk: it falls more in the scope of "buggy rules" [3].

- One might ask if it differs from the "true" answer by an additive constant
- or a multiplicative constant (more likely for this sort of problem)

This is not really within the scope of this talk: it falls more in the scope of "buggy rules" [3].

- One might ask if it differs from the "true" answer by an additive constant
- ... or a multiplicative constant (more likely for this sort of problem)

or

What is (computer) algebra?

What is (computer) algebra?

The Scratchpad/Axiom characterisation of computer algebra would be that it is working in a "sufficiently rich" order-sorted algebra, i.e. two expressions are **equal** if they are in the same congruence class, for a congruence generated by a "sufficiently rich" set of equations. For $\frac{d \sin^2 2x}{dx}$ (D sin² 2x), we would have rules like:

What is (computer) algebra?

The Scratchpad/Axiom characterisation of computer algebra would be that it is working in a "sufficiently rich" order-sorted algebra, i.e. two expressions are **equal** if they are in the same congruence class, for a congruence generated by a "sufficiently rich" set of equations. For $\frac{d \sin^2 2x}{dx}$ (D sin² 2x), we would have rules like:

a*b=b*a;
The Scratchpad/Axiom characterisation of computer algebra would be that it is working in a "sufficiently rich" order-sorted algebra, i.e. two expressions are **equal** if they are in the same congruence class, for a congruence generated by a "sufficiently rich" set of equations. For $\frac{d \sin^2 2x}{dx}$ (D sin² 2x), we would have rules like:

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

- 1. a*b=b*a;
- 2. m+n=m+n (e.g. 2+3=5);

The Scratchpad/Axiom characterisation of computer algebra would be that it is working in a "sufficiently rich" order-sorted algebra, i.e. two expressions are **equal** if they are in the same congruence class, for a congruence generated by a "sufficiently rich" set of equations. For $\frac{d \sin^2 2x}{dx}$ (D sin² 2x), we would have rules like:

- 1. a*b=b*a;
- 2. m+n=m+n (e.g. 2+3=5);
- 3. a*c+b*c=(a+b)*c;

The Scratchpad/Axiom characterisation of computer algebra would be that it is working in a "sufficiently rich" order-sorted algebra, i.e. two expressions are **equal** if they are in the same congruence class, for a congruence generated by a "sufficiently rich" set of equations. For $\frac{d \sin^2 2x}{dx}$ (D sin² 2x), we would have rules like:

- 1. a*b=b*a;
- 2. m+n=m+n (e.g. 2+3=5);
- 3. a*c+b*c=(a+b)*c;

4. x^ 1=x;

The Scratchpad/Axiom characterisation of computer algebra would be that it is working in a "sufficiently rich" order-sorted algebra, i.e. two expressions are **equal** if they are in the same congruence class, for a congruence generated by a "sufficiently rich" set of equations. For $\frac{d \sin^2 2x}{dx}$ (D sin² 2x), we would have rules like:

- 1. a*b=b*a;
- 2. m+n=m+n (e.g. 2+3=5);
- 3. a*c+b*c=(a+b)*c;
- 4. x^ 1=x;
- 5. D(a^ n)=n*a^ (n-1)*D(a);

The Scratchpad/Axiom characterisation of computer algebra would be that it is working in a "sufficiently rich" order-sorted algebra, i.e. two expressions are **equal** if they are in the same congruence class, for a congruence generated by a "sufficiently rich" set of equations. For $\frac{d \sin^2 2x}{dx}$ (D sin² 2x), we would have rules like:

- 1. a*b=b*a;
- 2. m+n=m+n (e.g. 2+3=5);
- 3. a*c+b*c=(a+b)*c;
- 4. x^ 1=x;
- 5. D(a^ n)=n*a^ (n-1)*D(a);
- 6. D(sin(a))=cos(a)*D(a).

The Scratchpad/Axiom characterisation of computer algebra would be that it is working in a "sufficiently rich" order-sorted algebra, i.e. two expressions are **equal** if they are in the same congruence class, for a congruence generated by a "sufficiently rich" set of equations. For $\frac{d \sin^2 2x}{dx}$ (D sin² 2x), we would have rules like:

- 1. a*b=b*a;
- 2. m+n=m+n (e.g. 2+3=5);
- 3. a*c+b*c=(a+b)*c;
- 4. x^ 1=x;
- 5. D(a^ n)=n*a^ (n-1)*D(a);
- 6. D(sin(a))=cos(a)*D(a).

The Scratchpad/Axiom characterisation of computer algebra would be that it is working in a "sufficiently rich" order-sorted algebra, i.e. two expressions are **equal** if they are in the same congruence class, for a congruence generated by a "sufficiently rich" set of equations. For $\frac{d \sin^2 2x}{dx}$ (D sin² 2x), we would have rules like:

- 1. a*b=b*a;
- 2. m+n=m+n (e.g. 2+3=5);
- 3. a*c+b*c=(a+b)*c;
- 4. x^ 1=x;
- 5. D(a^ n)=n*a^ (n-1)*D(a);
- 6. D(sin(a))=cos(a)*D(a).

Note that it need not be *implemented* this way.

▲□▶ <圖▶ < ≧▶ < ≧▶ = のQ@</p>

In this model, it is indeed true that $\frac{d \sin^2 2x}{dx} = 4 \sin 2x \cos 2x$

In this model, it is indeed true that $\frac{d \sin^2 2x}{dx} = 4 \sin 2x \cos 2x$ We can now divide the rules into three categories, which we call *underlying*,

In this model, it is indeed true that $\frac{d \sin^2 2x}{dx} = 4 \sin 2x \cos 2x$ We can now divide the rules into three categories, which we call *underlying*, *venial* (failure to use effectively costs fractions of a point)

In this model, it is indeed true that $\frac{d \sin^2 2x}{dx} = 4 \sin 2x \cos 2x$ We can now divide the rules into three categories, which we call *underlying*, *venial* (failure to use effectively costs fractions of a point) and *fatal* (needing this to get the "right" answer is fatal).

In this model, it is indeed true that $\frac{d \sin^2 2x}{dx} = 4 \sin 2x \cos 2x$ We can now divide the rules into three categories, which we call *underlying*, *venial* (failure to use effectively costs fractions of a point) and *fatal* (needing this to get the "right" answer is fatal). Our equations are then categorised as follows.

U1 a*b=b*a;

In this model, it is indeed true that $\frac{d \sin^2 2x}{dx} = 4 \sin 2x \cos 2x$ We can now divide the rules into three categories, which we call *underlying*, *venial* (failure to use effectively costs fractions of a point) and *fatal* (needing this to get the "right" answer is fatal). Our equations are then categorised as follows.

U1 a*b=b*a;

V2 m+n=m+n (e.g. 2+3=5) (and -);

In this model, it is indeed true that $\frac{d \sin^2 2x}{dx} = 4 \sin 2x \cos 2x$ We can now divide the rules into three categories, which we call *underlying*, *venial* (failure to use effectively costs fractions of a point) and *fatal* (needing this to get the "right" answer is fatal). Our equations are then categorised as follows.

U1 a*b=b*a; V2 m+n=m + n (e.g. 2+3=5) (and -); V3 a*c+b*c=(a+b)*c;

In this model, it is indeed true that $\frac{d \sin^2 2x}{dx} = 4 \sin 2x \cos 2x$ We can now divide the rules into three categories, which we call *underlying*, *venial* (failure to use effectively costs fractions of a point) and *fatal* (needing this to get the "right" answer is fatal). Our equations are then categorised as follows.

U1 a*b=b*a; V2 m+n=m + n (e.g. 2+3=5) (and -); V3 a*c+b*c=(a+b)*c; V4 x^ 1=x;

In this model, it is indeed true that $\frac{d \sin^2 2x}{dx} = 4 \sin 2x \cos 2x$ We can now divide the rules into three categories, which we call *underlying*, *venial* (failure to use effectively costs fractions of a point) and *fatal* (needing this to get the "right" answer is fatal). Our equations are then categorised as follows.

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

In this model, it is indeed true that $\frac{d \sin^2 2x}{dx} = 4 \sin 2x \cos 2x$ We can now divide the rules into three categories, which we call *underlying*, *venial* (failure to use effectively costs fractions of a point) and *fatal* (needing this to get the "right" answer is fatal). Our equations are then categorised as follows.

Let \mathcal{U} be the set of underlying equations, \mathcal{V} be the underlying and venial ones, and \mathcal{F} the set of them all.

Let \mathcal{U} be the set of underlying equations, \mathcal{V} be the underlying and venial ones, and \mathcal{F} the set of them all.

Table: Analysed answers: $\frac{d \sin^2 2x}{dx} = 4 \cos 2x \sin 2x$ No. Student's answer relation Score 1. $4 \sin 2x \cos 2x$ $\equiv \mathcal{U}$ $d \sin^2 2x$ 2. $\equiv_{\mathcal{F}}$ dv 3. F $2\sin 2x\cos 2x$ buggy 4. $2 \times 2 \sin 2x \cos 2x$ 0.8 $\equiv v$ 5. $2\sin 4x$ 0 none 6. $2\sin 2x\cos 2x + 2\sin 2x\cos 2x$ 0.8 $\equiv v$ 7. $x/4 - \sin(4 * x)/8$ 0 none

Let \mathcal{U} be the set of underlying equations, \mathcal{V} be the underlying and venial ones, and \mathcal{F} the set of them all.

Table: Analysed answers: $\frac{d \sin^2 2x}{dx} = 4 \cos 2x \sin 2x$ No. Student's answer relation Score 1. $4 \sin 2x \cos 2x$ $\equiv \mathcal{U}$ $\frac{\mathrm{d}\sin^2 2x}{\mathrm{d}x}$ 2. $\equiv_{\mathcal{F}}$ 3. F $2\sin 2x\cos 2x$ buggy 4. $2 \times 2 \sin 2x \cos 2x$ 0.8 $\equiv v$ 5. $2\sin 4x$ 0 none $2\sin 2x\cos 2x + 2\sin 2x\cos 2x$ 6. 0.8 $\equiv v$ 7. $x/4 - \sin(4 * x)/8$ 0 none

Note that answer 5 is marked wrong, since trigonometric contraction is not one of our rules. It probably should be, but we need a digression.

Assuming we do not know about trigonometric contraction, most people would say $4\sin 2x \cos 2x$ (or $4\cos 2x \sin 2x$, which is equivalent under \mathcal{U}).

Assuming we do not know about trigonometric contraction, most people would say $4\sin 2x \cos 2x$ (or $4\cos 2x \sin 2x$, which is equivalent under \mathcal{U}). But mathematically this is

```
\sin 2x \cos 2x + 3 \cos 2x \sin 2x
```

(and many other expressions).



Assuming we do not know about trigonometric contraction, most people would say $4\sin 2x \cos 2x$ (or $4\cos 2x \sin 2x$, which is equivalent under \mathcal{U}). But mathematically this is

 $\sin 2x \cos 2x + 3 \cos 2x \sin 2x$

(and many other expressions). Of course, we really want the "simplest" answer. What does "simplify" mean?

Assuming we do not know about trigonometric contraction, most people would say $4\sin 2x \cos 2x$ (or $4\cos 2x \sin 2x$, which is equivalent under \mathcal{U}). But mathematically this is

 $\sin 2x \cos 2x + 3 \cos 2x \sin 2x$

(and many other expressions). Of course, we really want the "simplest" answer. What does "simplify" mean?

"simplify by removing brackets and collecting like terms".

Assuming we do not know about trigonometric contraction, most people would say $4\sin 2x \cos 2x$ (or $4\cos 2x \sin 2x$, which is equivalent under \mathcal{U}). But mathematically this is

 $\sin 2x \cos 2x + 3 \cos 2x \sin 2x$

(and many other expressions). Of course, we really want the "simplest" answer. What does "simplify" mean?

"simplify by removing brackets and collecting like terms".

"factor and cancel like terms" (in the same book!)

Assuming we do not know about trigonometric contraction, most people would say $4\sin 2x \cos 2x$ (or $4\cos 2x \sin 2x$, which is equivalent under \mathcal{U}). But mathematically this is

 $\sin 2x \cos 2x + 3 \cos 2x \sin 2x$

(and many other expressions). Of course, we really want the "simplest" answer. What does "simplify" mean?

"simplify by removing brackets and collecting like terms".

- "factor and cancel like terms" (in the same book!)
- "do what I've just shown you" (often).

Assuming we do not know about trigonometric contraction, most people would say $4\sin 2x \cos 2x$ (or $4\cos 2x \sin 2x$, which is equivalent under \mathcal{U}). But mathematically this is

 $\sin 2x \cos 2x + 3 \cos 2x \sin 2x$

(and many other expressions). Of course, we really want the "simplest" answer. What does "simplify" mean?

- "simplify by removing brackets and collecting like terms".
- "factor and cancel like terms" (in the same book!)
- "do what I've just shown you" (often).
- "Give me the answer I want" (Classes préparatoires professors to JHD).

Assuming we do not know about trigonometric contraction, most people would say $4\sin 2x \cos 2x$ (or $4\cos 2x \sin 2x$, which is equivalent under \mathcal{U}). But mathematically this is

 $\sin 2x \cos 2x + 3 \cos 2x \sin 2x$

(and many other expressions). Of course, we really want the "simplest" answer. What does "simplify" mean?

- "simplify by removing brackets and collecting like terms".
- "factor and cancel like terms" (in the same book!)
- "do what I've just shown you" (often).
- "Give me the answer I want" (Classes préparatoires professors to JHD).

► [Carette 2004] "The/A shortest equivalent expression".

Assuming we do not know about trigonometric contraction, most people would say $4\sin 2x \cos 2x$ (or $4\cos 2x \sin 2x$, which is equivalent under \mathcal{U}). But mathematically this is

 $\sin 2x \cos 2x + 3 \cos 2x \sin 2x$

(and many other expressions). Of course, we really want the "simplest" answer. What does "simplify" mean?

- "simplify by removing brackets and collecting like terms".
- "factor and cancel like terms" (in the same book!)
- "do what I've just shown you" (often).
- "Give me the answer I want" (Classes préparatoires professors to JHD).

► [Carette 2004] "The/A shortest equivalent expression".

Assuming we do not know about trigonometric contraction, most people would say $4\sin 2x \cos 2x$ (or $4\cos 2x \sin 2x$, which is equivalent under \mathcal{U}). But mathematically this is

 $\sin 2x \cos 2x + 3 \cos 2x \sin 2x$

(and many other expressions). Of course, we really want the "simplest" answer. What does "simplify" mean?

- "simplify by removing brackets and collecting like terms".
- "factor and cancel like terms" (in the same book!)
- "do what l've just shown you" (often).
- "Give me the answer I want" (Classes préparatoires professors to JHD).
- ► [Carette 2004] "The/A shortest equivalent expression".

"The right answer" is "a shortest expression under $\equiv_{\mathcal{F}}$ ".

Assuming we do not know about trigonometric contraction, most people would say $4\sin 2x \cos 2x$ (or $4\cos 2x \sin 2x$, which is equivalent under \mathcal{U}). But mathematically this is

 $\sin 2x \cos 2x + 3 \cos 2x \sin 2x$

(and many other expressions). Of course, we really want the "simplest" answer. What does "simplify" mean?

- "simplify by removing brackets and collecting like terms".
- "factor and cancel like terms" (in the same book!)
- "do what I've just shown you" (often).
- "Give me the answer I want" (Classes préparatoires professors to JHD).
- ► [Carette 2004] "The/A shortest equivalent expression".

"The right answer" is "a shortest expression under $\equiv_{\mathcal{F}}$ ". It had better be the case that only \mathcal{U} can produce equivalent expressions of the same length.

Add various fraction-simplifying rules to \mathcal{V} , and U2 sin a * cos a = $\frac{1}{2}$ sin 2*a.

Add various fraction-simplifying rules to \mathcal{V} , and U2 sin a * cos a = $\frac{1}{2}$ sin 2*a.

Add various fraction-simplifying rules to \mathcal{V} , and U2 sin a * cos a = $\frac{1}{2}$ sin 2*a.

	Table: Re-analysed answers: $\frac{d \sin^2 2}{dx}$	$\frac{2x}{2} = 2\sin 4x$	K
No.	Student's answer	relation	Score
1.	$4\sin 2x\cos 2x$	$\equiv_{\mathcal{U}}$	1
2.	$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sin^2 2x}{\mathrm{d}x}$	$\equiv_{\mathcal{F}}$	0
3.	$2\sin 2x\cos 2x$	F	buggy
4.	$2 \times 2 \sin 2x \cos 2x$	$\equiv_{\mathcal{V}}$	0.8
5.	$2\sin 4x$	=	1
6.	$2\sin 2x\cos 2x + 2\sin 2x\cos 2x$	$\equiv_{\mathcal{V}}$	0.8
7.	$x/4 - \sin(4 * x)/8$	none	0

Add various fraction-simplifying rules to V, and U2 sin a * cos a = $\frac{1}{2}$ sin 2*a.

	Table: Re-analysed answers: $\frac{d \sin^2 2}{dx}$	$\frac{2x}{2} = 2\sin 4x$	(
No.	Student's answer	relation	Score
1.	$4\sin 2x\cos 2x$	$\equiv_{\mathcal{U}}$	1
2.	$\frac{d \sin^2 2x}{dx}$	$\equiv_{\mathcal{F}}$	0
3.	$2\sin 2x\cos 2x$	F	buggy
4.	$2 \times 2 \sin 2x \cos 2x$	$\equiv_{\mathcal{V}}$	0.8
5.	$2\sin 4x$	=	1
6.	$2\sin 2x\cos 2x+2\sin 2x\cos 2x$	$\equiv_{\mathcal{V}}$	0.8
7.	$x/4 - \sin(4 * x)/8$	none	0

Note that answer 5 is now precisely right.
► We could have added rule U2 to the set V, rather than to U. This would then mean that 2 sin 4x was now right, but 4 sin 2x cos 2x, although still mathematically correct, only scores 0.8, since it is only equivalent to the right answer under venial rules.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- We could have added rule U2 to the set V, rather than to U. This would then mean that 2 sin 4x was now right, but 4 sin 2x cos 2x, although still mathematically correct, only scores 0.8, since it is only equivalent to the right answer under venial rules.
- We could create a new class V' of "weakly venial" rules between U and V, with U2 in it, and say that ≡_{V'} was worth, say, 0.9 rather than 0.8.

- We could have added rule U2 to the set V, rather than to U. This would then mean that 2 sin 4x was now right, but 4 sin 2x cos 2x, although still mathematically correct, only scores 0.8, since it is only equivalent to the right answer under venial rules.
- We could create a new class V' of "weakly venial" rules between U and V, with U2 in it, and say that ≡_{V'} was worth, say, 0.9 rather than 0.8.
- The teacher could vary the approach over time, saying "from now on, I expect you to use trigonometric contraction where appropriate", and move U2 from U to V', and maybe on to V after a couple of weeks.

- We could have added rule U2 to the set V, rather than to U. This would then mean that 2 sin 4x was now right, but 4 sin 2x cos 2x, although still mathematically correct, only scores 0.8, since it is only equivalent to the right answer under venial rules.
- We could create a new class V' of "weakly venial" rules between U and V, with U2 in it, and say that ≡_{V'} was worth, say, 0.9 rather than 0.8.
- The teacher could vary the approach over time, saying "from now on, I expect you to use trigonometric contraction where appropriate", and move U2 from U to V', and maybe on to V after a couple of weeks.
- Indeed, one could imagine a stronger form of V, which cost 50% of the marks.

<ロ> <@> < E> < E> E のQの

We can't let any algebra get at the student's input before we do!

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

- We can't let any algebra get at the student's input before we do!
- This is going to be even more important as we develop tests like 'factor', 'express as partial fractions' etc.

- We can't let any algebra get at the student's input before we do!
- This is going to be even more important as we develop tests like 'factor', 'express as partial fractions' etc.

This formalism may actually help a teacher explain why, rather than just say "I expected you to".

- We can't let any algebra get at the student's input before we do!
- This is going to be even more important as we develop tests like 'factor', 'express as partial fractions' etc.
- This formalism may actually help a teacher explain why, rather than just say "I expected you to".
- We do not preclude use of the full power of a computer algebra system — "the system thinks your answer is right, but you'd better get it marked manually".

Implement it! (JHD has a preference for Axiom)

- Implement it! (JHD has a preference for Axiom)
- "However, if a specified simplification of an expression is desired, as is often the case in college algebra and precalculus courses, WeBWorK cannot be used." [AMS]

- Implement it! (JHD has a preference for Axiom)
- "However, if a specified simplification of an expression is desired, as is often the case in college algebra and precalculus courses, WeBWorK cannot be used." [AMS]

This will require a blend of syntactic analysis and the techniques mentioned above.

References

J. Lewis and A. Tucker.

Report of the AMS First-Year Task Force. *Notices AMS*, 56:754–760, 2009.

C. J. Sangwin.
STACK: making many fine judgements rapidly.
In CAME, 2007.

R.M. Young and T. O'Shea. Errors in Children's Subtraction. Cognitive Science, 5:153–177, 1981.