

**Cusp forms: a clarification**  
G.K. Sankaran, 18th June 2008

It is necessary to check that the cusp forms constructed in [GHS1] are indeed cusp forms in the strong sense, i.e. that on the toroidal compactification they vanish to order at least 1 on every boundary component.

**Lemma 1.1** *Let  $L = 2U \oplus L_0$  be a lattice of signature  $(2, n)$  containing two hyperbolic planes and let  $f$  be a modular form with character  $\det$  or trivial character that vanishes at every cusp. Then  $f$  is a cusp form, vanishing to order at least 1 on every toroidal boundary component.*

*Proof.* It is clearly sufficient to show that the order of vanishing of  $f$  along any boundary component  $F$  is an integer. If  $f$  is of weight  $k$  then near the boundary component  $F$  we have

$$f(gZ) = j(g, Z)\chi(g)f(Z)$$

where  $Z \in \mathcal{D}_L(F)$  and  $g \in U(F)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , for some factor of automorphy  $j$  and  $\chi$  the character of the modular form  $f$ . If the factor  $j(g, Z)\chi(g)$  is equal to 1 for every  $g \in U(F)_{\mathbb{Z}}$  then  $f$  is a section of a line bundle near  $F$  and its order of vanishing along  $F$  is therefore an integer.

Under the hypotheses of the lemma, we do indeed have  $\chi(g) = 1$  because  $g$  is unipotent and therefore has trivial determinant. It therefore remains to check that the factor of automorphy  $j(g, Z)$  is also trivial for  $g \in U(F)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ .

If  $F$  is of dimension 1 then according to [GHS1, Lemma 2.25] we have

$$U(F) = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} I & 0 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & ex \\ -x & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \hline 0 & I & 0 & \\ 0 & 0 & & I \end{array} \right) \mid x \in \mathbb{R} \right\}. \quad (1)$$

But the automorphy factor is given by the last  $((n+2)$ -th) coordinate of  $g(p(Z)) \in \mathcal{D}_L$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} p: \mathcal{H}_n &\longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_L & (2) \\ Z = (z_n, \dots, z_1) &\longmapsto \left( -\frac{1}{2}(Z, Z)_{L_1} : z_n : \dots : z_1 : 1 \right) \end{aligned}$$

is the tube domain realisation of  $\mathcal{D}_L$ : see [GHS2, Section 3] or [G, Section 2]. From this description it is immediate that  $j(g, Z) = 1$  for  $g \in U(F)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ .

If  $F$  is of dimension 0 then  $F$  corresponds to some isotropic vector  $\mathbf{v} \in L$ , and  $U(F)$  is the centre of the unipotent radical of the stabiliser of  $\mathbf{v}$ . With respect to a basis of  $L \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  in which  $\mathbf{v}$  is the last  $((n+2)$ -th) element,

the penultimate  $((n + 1)$ -th) element  $\mathbf{w}$  is also isotropic and the remaining elements span the orthogonal complement  $L'$  of those two, we have

$$U(F) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} I_n & \mathbf{b} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \mathbf{c} & x & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid L'\mathbf{b} + \alpha\mathbf{c} = 0, {}^t\mathbf{b}L'\mathbf{b} + 2\alpha x = 0 \right\}. \quad (3)$$

Here  $\mathbf{b}$  and  $\mathbf{c}$  are column vectors,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\alpha = (\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v})_L$ : compare [Ko, (2.7)]. In this case the tube domain is contained in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and is identified with a subset of the locus  $z_{n+1} = 1 \subset \mathcal{D}_L^\bullet$ . The automorphy factor  $j(g, Z)$  is therefore equal to the  $(n + 1)$ -th coordinate of  $g(p(Z))$ , where  $p(Z)_{n+1} = 1$ ; but this is 1 as  $p(Z)$  is a column vector.  $\square$

From the proof it follows that any cusp form  $f$  for an arithmetic subgroup  $\Gamma < O(L)$  vanishes to order at least 1 along a toroidal divisor unless the character  $\chi$  associated with  $f$  is non-trivial (and not det) on  $U(F)_\mathbb{Z} = U(F) \cap \Gamma$ . The existence of such a character appears to be a strong condition on  $\Gamma$ : see [GHS3].

## References

- [G] V. Gritsenko, *Modular forms and moduli spaces of abelian and K3 surfaces*. Algebra i Analiz **6**, 65–102; English translation in St. Petersburg Math. J. **6** (1995), 1179–1208.
- [GHS1] V. Gritsenko, K. Hulek & G.K. Sankaran, *The Kodaira dimension of the moduli of K3 surfaces* Invent. Math. **167** (2007), 519–567.
- [GHS2] V. Gritsenko, K. Hulek & G.K. Sankaran, *Hirzebruch-Mumford proportionality and locally symmetric varieties of orthogonal type* Documenta Math. **13** (2008), 1–19.
- [GHS3] V. Gritsenko, K. Hulek & G.K. Sankaran, *Abelianisation of orthogonal groups and the fundamental group of modular varieties* J. Algebra **322** (2009), 463–478.
- [Ko] S. Kondo, *Moduli spaces of K3 surfaces*. Compositio Math. **89** (1993), 251–299.