

# Group Theory, 2016

## Exercise sheet 5 (solutions)

**Exercise 1.** (a) Notice first that the scalar multiplication is well defined as if  $[m] = [n]$  then  $m = n + rp$  for some  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$  and thus  $mg = (n + rp)g = ng + r(pg) = ng + r \cdot 0 = ng$  for all  $g \in G$ .

Let us then go through the vector space axioms. Firstly  $(G, +)$  is an abelian group by assumptions. Turning to the scalar multiplication, we have  $[1] \cdot x = 1x = x$  (where the latter identity follows from the definition of  $nx$ ). Then

$$[r] \cdot ([s] \cdot x) = [r] \cdot sx = r(sx) = (rs)x = [rs] \cdot x,$$

$$([r] + [s]) \cdot x = [r + s] \cdot x = (r + s)x = rx + sx = [r] \cdot x + [s] \cdot x$$

and

$$[r] \cdot (x + y) = r(x + y) = rx + ry = [r] \cdot x + [r] \cdot y.$$

(b) Suppose first that  $H$  is a subgroup of the group  $G$ . Then  $0 \in H$  and  $H$  is closed under addition and taking additive inversers. It follows then as well that  $G$  is closed under scalar multiplication as  $[m] \cdot x = mx \in H$ . Thus  $H$  is a subspace of  $G$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $H$  is a subspace of  $G$ . Then  $0 \in H$  and  $H$  is closed under addition. As  $-x = [-1] \cdot x$ , we also have that  $H$  is closed under taking additive inverses. Thus  $H$  is a subgroup of the group  $G$ .

**Exercise 2.**(a) Consider any finite number  $r$  of rationals. As these are finitely many we can represent these as fractions having the same denominator  $n \geq 1$ . Suppose these are  $m_1/n, \dots, m_r/n$ . Notice that

$$\mathbb{Z}(m_1/n) + \dots + \mathbb{Z}(m_r/n) \leq \mathbb{Z}(1/n) \neq \mathbb{Q}$$

as for example  $1/(n + 1)$  is not in  $\mathbb{Z}(1/n)$  or  $1/p \notin \mathbb{Z}(1/n)$ , where  $p$  is any prime that doesn't divide  $n$ . Hence  $\mathbb{Q}$  can't be finitely generated.

(b) Let  $r/s$  and  $n/m$  be two rationals where  $r, n$  are integers and  $n, m$  positive integers. If one of these is zero, say  $r/s$  then  $1 \cdot (r/s) + 0 \cdot (n/m) = 0$ . If neither of these are zero then  $sn(r/s) - mr(n/m) = 0$ .

**Exercise 3.** (a) We have  $144 = 2^4 \cdot 3^2$ . The possible partitions of  $2^4$  and  $3^2$  into factors in increasing order are:

$$(16), (2, 8), (4, 4), (2, 2, 4), (2, 2, 2, 2)$$

and

$$(9), (3, 3).$$

There are thus  $5 \cdot 2 = 10$  abelian groups of order 144,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{Z}_{16} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_9, \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_8 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_9, \mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_9, \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_9, \\ &\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_9, \mathbb{Z}_{16} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_8 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3, \\ &\mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3, \\ &\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3. \end{aligned}$$

The abelian groups of order up to 15 are  $\{0\}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_5$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_7$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_8$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_9$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_5$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_{11}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_{13}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_7$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_5$ .

(b) Write  $A$  and  $B$  as a direct sum of cyclic groups of prime power order. By the Fundamental Theorem, it suffices to show that the cyclic summands for  $A$  and  $B$  are the same up to order. In other words that we have the same number of cyclic summands of order  $q$  for any prime power  $q$ . Suppose  $A$  has  $a(q)$  cyclic summands of order  $q$  and that  $B$  has  $b(q)$  such summands. As  $A \oplus A$  and  $B \oplus B$  are isomorphic, they have the same number of cyclic summands of order  $q$ . That is  $2a(q) = 2b(q)$ . It follows that  $a(q) = b(q)$ .

**Exercise 4.** First suppose  $o(x_1), \dots, o(x_n)$  are pairwise coprime. Consider the element  $x = x_1 + \dots + x_n$  and let  $m$  be the order of this element in  $G$ . As

$$0 = m(x_1 + \dots + x_n) = mx_1 + \dots + mx_n,$$

it follows from Proposition 2.2 that  $mx_1 = \dots = mx_n = 0$ . Therefore  $o(x_i) | m$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . As  $o(x_1), \dots, o(x_n)$  are coprime, it follows then that their product  $o(x_1) \cdots o(x_n) = |G|$  divides  $m = o(x)$ . By Lagrange  $o(x)$  divides  $|G|$  and thus  $o(x) = |G|$  which implies that  $G = \mathbb{Z}x$ .

Now suppose that some two of the orders have a common prime divisor. Let  $m$  be the least common multiple of  $o(x_1), o(x_2), \dots, o(x_n)$ , then  $m < o(x_1) \cdots o(x_n) = |G|$ . As  $o(x_i) | m$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$  it follows that  $mx_1 = mx_2 = \dots = mx_n = 0$ . Hence for any  $a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n$  in  $\mathbb{Z}x_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}x_n$ , we have

$$m(a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n) = 0.$$

It follows that  $o(y) \leq m < |G|$  for all  $y \in \mathbb{Z}x_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}x_n$  and so the group has no element of order  $|G|$ . Thus it can't be cyclic.

**Exercise 5.** We argue by contradiction and suppose that  $F^*$  is not cyclic. Using the Fundamental Theorem for finite abelian groups we know that  $F^*$  is an internal direct product,

$$F^* = \langle x_1 \rangle \cdots \langle x_n \rangle,$$

with cyclic factors of prime power order. By Exercise 4, some two of these must have orders that are power of the same prime. Without loss of generality suppose  $o(x_1) = p^r$  and  $o(x_2) = p^s$ . Let  $y_1 = x_1^{p^{r-1}}$  and  $y_2 = x_2^{p^{s-1}}$ . Then  $o(y_1) = o(y_2) = p$  and we get at least  $p^2$  elements of order  $p$ , namely

$$y_1^r y_2^s, \quad 0 \leq r, s \leq p-1.$$

But then we have got at least  $p^2$  roots for the polynomial  $x^p - 1$  in  $F[x]$  and this is absurd as there are at most  $p$  roots. (If say  $a_1, \dots, a_p$  are any  $p$  of the roots, then  $x^p - 1 = (x - a_1) \cdots (x - a_p)$ . But then  $a^p = 1$  iff  $(a - a_1) \cdots (a - a_p) = 0$  iff  $a$  is one of  $a_1, \dots, a_p$ ).