### M216: Exercise sheet 2

#### Warmup questions

- 1. Let  $U, W \leq V$  be subspaces of a vector space V. When is  $U \cup W$  also a subspace of V?
- 2. Let V, W be vector spaces,  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  a basis of V and  $w_1, \ldots, w_n$  a list of vectors in W. Let  $\phi: V \to W$  be the unique linear map with

$$\phi(v_i) = w_i,$$

for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Show:

(a)  $\phi$  injects if and only if  $w_1, \ldots, w_n$  is linearly independent.

(b)  $\phi$  surjects if and only if  $w_1, \ldots, w_n$  spans W.

Deduce that  $\phi$  is an isomorphism if and only if  $w_1, \ldots, w_n$  is a basis for W.

# Homework

- 3. Let V be a vector space. A linear map  $\pi: V \to V$  is called a *projection* if  $\pi \circ \pi = \pi$ . In this case, prove that ker  $\pi \cap \operatorname{im} \pi = \{0\}$  and deduce that  $V = \ker \pi \oplus \operatorname{im} \pi$ .
- 4. Let  $U_1, U_2, U_3 \leq \mathbb{R}^3$  be the 1-dimensional subspaces spanned by (1, 2, 0), (1, 1, 1) and (2, 3, 1) respectively.

Which of the following sums are direct?

- (a)  $U_i + U_j$ , for  $1 \le i < j \le 3$ .
- (b)  $U_1 + U_2 + U_3$ .

## Additional questions

- 5. Let  $V_1, V_2, V_3 \leq V$ . Which of the following statements are true? (In each case, give a proof or a counter-example.)
  - (a)  $V_1 + (V_2 \cap V_3) = (V_1 + V_2) \cap (V_1 + V_3).$
  - (b)  $V_1 \cap (V_2 + V_3) = (V_1 \cap V_2) + (V_1 \cap V_3).$
  - (c)  $(V_1 \cap V_2) + (V_1 \cap V_3) \subseteq V_1 \cap (V_2 + V_3).$
- 6. Let  $V_1, V_1', V_2 \leq V$  and suppose that  $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$  and  $V = V_1' \oplus V_2$ .
  - (a) Must  $V_1 = V_1'$ ?
  - (b) Are  $V_1$  and  $V'_1$  isomorphic?

# Please hand in at 4W level 1 by NOON on Friday 20th October

#### M216: Exercise sheet 2—Solutions

- 1. If  $U \subseteq W$  then  $U \cup W = W$  is a subspace and similarly if  $W \subseteq U$ . In any other case,  $U \cup W$  is not a subspace: we can find  $u \in U \setminus W$  and  $w \in W \setminus U$  and then  $u + w \notin U$  (else  $w = (u + w) u \in U$ ) and similarly  $u + w \notin W$ . Thus  $U \cup W$  is not closed under addition.
- 2. (a)  $\lambda_1 w_1 + \dots + \lambda_n w_n = 0$  if and only if  $\lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n \in \ker \phi$ . Thus  $w_1, \dots, w_n$  is linearly independent if and only if  $\phi$  has trivial kernel.
  - (b)  $\phi$  surjects if and only if any  $w \in W$  can be written  $w = \phi(v)$ , or equivalently,

$$w = \phi(\lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n) = \lambda_1 w_1 + \dots + \lambda_n w_n,$$

for some  $\lambda_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

3. Let  $v \in \ker \pi \cap \operatorname{im} \pi$ . Then there is  $w \in V$  such that  $v = \pi(w)$  since  $v \in \operatorname{im} \pi$ . But  $v \in \ker \pi$  also so that

$$0 = \pi(v) = \pi(\pi(w)) = \pi(w) = v.$$

Thus ker  $\pi \cap \operatorname{im} \pi = \{0\}$  so it remains to show that  $V = \ker \pi + \operatorname{im} \pi$ . For this, write  $v = (v - \pi(v)) + \pi(v)$ . The second summand is certainly in  $\operatorname{im} \pi$  while

$$\pi(v - \pi(v)) = \pi(v) - \pi(\pi(v)) = \pi(v) - \pi(v) = 0$$

so the first is in  $\ker \pi$  and we are done.

- 4. (a) All these sums are direct as each  $U_i \cap U_j = \{0\}$ .
  - (b) Note that (2,3,1) = (1,2,0) + (1,1,1) and so can be written in two different ways as a sum  $u_1 + u_2 + u_3$ , with each  $u_i \in U_i$ :

$$(1,2,0) + (1,1,1) + (0,0,0)$$
  
 $(0,0,0) + (0,0,0) + (2,3,1).$ 

Thus  $U_1 + U_2 + U_3$  is not a direct sum.

This shows us that  $U_i \cap U_j = \{0\}, i \neq j$ , is not enough to force  $U_1 + U_2 + U_3$  to be direct.

- 5. (a) This is false: take  $V_1, V_2, V_3 \leq \mathbb{R}^2$  to be the subspaces spanned at (1,0), (0,1) and (1,1) respectively. Then any  $V_i + V_j = \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $V_i \cap V_j = \{0\}$ , for  $i \neq j$ . Now the left side is  $V_1 + \{0\} = V_1$  while the right is  $\mathbb{R}^2 \cap \mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{R}^2$ .
  - (b) This is also false. With the same  $V_i$  as in part (a), the left side is  $V_1 \cap \mathbb{R}^2 = V_1$  while the right is  $\{0\} + \{0\} = \{0\}$ .
  - (c) This is true:  $V_2, V_3 \leq V_2 + V_3$  so that  $V_1 \cap V_2, V_1 \cap V_3 \leq V_1 \cap (V_2 + V_3)$ . It now follows from Proposition 2.1 that  $(V_1 \cap V_2) + (V_1 \cap V_3) \subseteq V_1 \cap (V_2 + V_3)$ .
- 6. (a) No: a given  $V_2$  has many complements. For example, take  $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $V_2$  to be spanned by (1,0) and then  $V_1, V'_1$  to be spanned by (0,1) and (1,1) respectively.
  - (b) This is true. For example, consider the projection  $\pi_1$  with image  $V_1$  and kernel  $V_2$  and restrict this to  $V'_1$  to get a linear map  $V'_1 \to V_1$ . Then  $\ker(\pi_{1|V'_1}) = \ker \pi_1 \cap V'_1 = V_2 \cap V'_1 = \{0\}$  so that  $\pi_{1|V'_1}$  injects. Moreover, for  $v_1 \in V_1$ , write  $v_1 = v'_1 + v_2$  with  $v'_1 \in V'_1$  and  $v_2 \in V_2$ . Then  $v_1 = \pi(v_1) = \pi_1(v'_1 + v_2) = \pi_1(v'_1)$  so that  $\pi_{1|V'_1} : V'_1 \to V_1$  surjects also and so is an isomorphism.