

| <b>EXAM ASSESSMENT GENERIC FEEDBACK FORM</b> |  |                  |                    |
|--|--|------------------|--------------------|
| UNIT NUMBER AND TITLE                        | <b>ME10305 Mathematics 1</b>   | UNIT CONVENOR(S) | <b>Dr DAS Rees</b> |
| DATE   | June 2021  |                  |                    |
| <b>QUESTION 1</b>                            | <b>ODEs.</b> Average 9.1/10.<br>Well answered.   |                  |                    |
| <b>QUESTION 2</b>                            | <b>Laplace Transforms.</b> Average 8.9/10.<br>Part (c) was very long but was answered well by the great majority.  |                  |                    |
| <b>QUESTION 3</b>                            | <b>Determinants/GE.</b> Average 9.3/10.<br>Exceptionally good. Some used GJ when I asked for GE.   |                  |                    |
| <b>QUESTION 4</b>                            | <b>Fourier Series.</b> Average 6.9/10.<br>Some problems with the sketching. The solution of the ODE was done well only by a large minority of the class.   |                  |                    |
| <b>QUESTION 5</b>                            | <b>Least Squares.</b> Average 8.8/10.<br>Chief issue was not being able to solve the 2x2 matrix/vector system. Some premature use of rounding.   |                  |                    |
| <b>QUESTION 6</b>                            | <b>Iteration schemes and root-finding.</b> Average 8.4/10.<br>Some very nice work here. Many lost marks for the sketch.  |                  |                    |
| <b>QUESTION 7</b>                            | <b>ODEs using eigenvectors.</b> Average 9.1/10.<br>Again some very nice work here.   |                  |                    |
| <b>QUESTION 8</b>                            | <b>ODEs using Laplace Transforms.</b> Average 7.7/10.<br>This split the class. Many got full marks while others struggled. After taking the LT, it is safest to adopt a matrix/vector approach and it leads to the solution very quickly. Other approaches could take pages. |                  |                    |
| <b>QUESTION 9</b>                            | <b>ODEs.</b> Average 8.1/10.<br>These were tricky ODEs. The CFs were found by almost all, but there were surprisingly many who had difficulties with the substitution of the initial conditions.   |                  |                    |
| <b>QUESTION 10</b>                           | <b>Least squares using integration.</b> Average 3.7/10.<br>This was novel stuff and design to challenge. Some 44 obtained full marks but 120 obtained zero. This question tested the ability to apply known ideas in a different setting.                                    |                  |                    |

The overall average was 80% which is higher than I had hoped but less than I feared and less than last year. I had hoped that Q9 and Q10 would reduce the average to near to 70%, so this ploy was only partially successful.