Hand in answers by 1:15pm on Wednesday 29 November for the Seminar of Thursday 30 November Homepage: http://moodle.bath.ac.uk/course/view.php?id=57709

**0** (Warmup). Let M be an n-dimensional submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^s$ . Prove (from the definition of d on submanifolds) that if  $\alpha \in \Omega^k(M)$  is exact, i.e.,  $\alpha = d\beta$ , then  $\alpha$  is closed, i.e.,  $d\alpha = 0$ .

[Solution: Suppose  $\alpha = d\beta$ . We have seen in lectures that  $d^2 = 0$  so  $d\alpha = d^2\beta = 0$ , but let us recall how to prove this. Note that it suffices to prove  $d\alpha = 0$  locally on M, i.e., on sufficiently small open neighbourhoods  $U \cap M$  of each  $p \in M$ . There are two ways to proceed.

First by definition, we may assume that on  $U \cap M$ ,  $\beta = \iota^* \gamma$ , where  $\gamma \in \Omega^k(U)$  and  $\iota \colon U \cap M \to U$  is the inclusion. Thus  $d\alpha = d^2(\iota^* \gamma) = d(\iota^* d\gamma) = \iota^*(d^2 \gamma) = 0$  on  $U \cap M$ . Alternatively, we may assume that there is a parametrisation  $\varphi \colon \widetilde{U} \to U \cap M$ . Then  $\varphi^* d\alpha = \varphi^* d^2 \beta = d\varphi^* d\beta = d^2 \varphi^* \beta = 0$ , so  $d\alpha = 0$  on  $U \cap M$  because  $\varphi^* \colon \Omega^k(U \cap M) \to \Omega^k(\widetilde{U})$  is a bijection with inverse  $(\varphi^{-1})^*$ .

- **1.** Let  $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^s$  be an *n*-dimensional submanifold and  $\alpha \in \Omega^k(M)$  for k > 0.
  - (i) Suppose that M is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\alpha$  is closed; prove that  $\alpha$  is exact.

[Hint: Let  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \to M$  be a diffeomorphism, and consider the pullback  $\varphi^* \alpha \in \Omega^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .]

(ii) Suppose k = n; show that  $\alpha$  is closed.

**[Hint**: What is the dimension of  $Alt^{n+1}(T_xM)$ ?]

(iii) If k = n, does  $\alpha$  have to be exact?

[Hint: Look for examples on  $M = S^1$ . A previous exercise may help.]

**2.** Give an example of an *n*-dimensional submanifold  $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^s$  and an  $\alpha \in \Omega^k(M)$  such that  $\alpha$  is not the pullback by the inclusion of any  $\beta \in \Omega^k(\mathbb{R}^s)$ .

[Hint: The simplest examples have n = s = 1 and k = 0.]

**3.** Let  $S^2 = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^3 : ||z|| = 1\}$ , and  $U = S^2 \setminus \{(0,0,1)\}$ , and consider the parametrisation  $\varphi \colon \mathbb{R}^2 \to U$  defined by

$$(x_1, x_2) \mapsto \frac{1}{1 + ||x||^2} (2x_1, 2x_2, ||x||^2 - 1).$$

Let  $\alpha = i^*dz_3$  where  $i: S^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3$  is the inclusion and  $z_1, z_2, z_3$  denote the coordinate functions on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Compute  $\varphi^*\alpha$ .

[**Hint**:  $\varphi^* i^* dz_3 = (i \circ \varphi)^* dz_3 = \cdots$ .]

## MA40254 Differential and geometric analysis: Solutions 8

- 1. (i) Suppose  $\alpha$  is closed. Let  $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^n \to M$  be a diffeomorphism. Then  $\varphi^*\alpha \in \Omega^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is closed, so exact by the Poincaré lemma, i.e, there is some  $\gamma \in \Omega^{k-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $d\gamma = \varphi^*\alpha$ . If we let  $\beta = (\varphi^{-1})^*\gamma \in \Omega^{k-1}(M)$  then  $d\beta = (\varphi^{-1})^*d\gamma = (\varphi^{-1})^*(\varphi^*\alpha) = (\varphi \circ \varphi^{-1})^*\alpha = \alpha$ .
  - (ii) For each  $p \in M$ ,  $d\alpha_p$  is an element of  $\mathrm{Alt}^{n+1}(T_pM)$ . Since  $n+1 > \dim T_pM$ , we have  $\mathrm{Alt}^{n+1}(T_pM) = \{0\}$ , so  $d\alpha$  is zero everywhere.
- (iii) No. Consider  $M = S^1 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ , and let  $\alpha \in \Omega^1(S^1)$  be the pullback by  $i: S^1 \to \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$  of the non-exact 1-form

$$\gamma = \frac{-x_2 dx_1 + x_1 dx_2}{x_1^2 + x_2^2} \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\})$$

from Exercises 6 Q 4(iii). The same argument as there shows that  $\alpha$  is not exact either.

- **2.** We can for instance take  $M=(0,\infty)\subset\mathbb{R}$ , and k=0. Then an element of  $\Omega^k(M)$  is just a smooth function  $(0,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$  and pullback reduces to restriction. If we take it to be  $x\mapsto \frac{1}{x}$  then that is not the restriction of any smooth function  $\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$ .
- **3.** We have  $\varphi^*i^*dz_3 = (i \circ \varphi)^*dz_3 = d((i \circ \varphi)^*z_3)$  and  $(i \circ \varphi)^*z_3 = (x_1^2 + x_2^2 1)/(1 + x_1^2 + x_2^2)$ . The exterior derivative of this is

$$\frac{4x_1dx_1 + 4x_2dx_2}{(1+x_1^2+x_2^2)^2}.$$