Please submit solutions by 3pm on Thursday 15th February to the pigeonholes in 4W (ground floor)

## (W) = Warm-up; (H) = Homework; (A)=Additional.

**1. (W)** This exercise illustrates why cosets were introduced at the end of Algebra 1A, at least in the special case where the group G is abelian. Let (G, +) be an abelian group and let H be a subgroup of G. Define a relation on G by setting  $a \sim b \Leftrightarrow a - b \in H$  for  $a, b \in G$ . Show that:

- (1) ~ is an equivalence relation, where the equivalence classes are precisely the subsets in G of the form  $a + H = \{a + h \in G \mid h \in H\}$  for  $a \in G$  (these sets are the *cosets* of H in G);
- (2) the sum of two cosets given by (a+H)+(b+H) = (a+b)+H is well-defined [Hint: for  $a, b, a', b' \in G$  satisfying  $a \sim a'$  and  $b \sim b'$ , show that  $a + b \sim a' + b'$ ], and hence show that the set of cosets of H in G is an abelian group.

The set of cosets with the operation from (2) is an abelian group G/H called the *quotient* of G by H.

2. (W) Let R be a ring with 1. Show that if 0 is a unit, then the only element in R is 0.

**3.** (W) Let R be a ring. Show that the set  $M_n(R)$  of all  $n \times n$  matrices over R is a ring with respect to the usual matrix addition and multiplication of matrices. If R is a ring with 1, is  $M_n(R)$  a ring with 1?

4. (H) Let R be a ring, and let R[[x]] denote the ring of formal power series with coefficients in R. Show that if R is an integral domain, then so is R[[x]].

5. (H) Let  $(R, +, \cdot)$  be a ring. Show that a nonempty subset S of R is a subring if and only if  $(S, +, \cdot)$  is a ring. [Hint: Lemma 1.5 does some of the work for you.] Deduce that the set of *Gaussian integers* 

$$\mathbb{Z}[i] := \left\{ a + ib \in \mathbb{C} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, i^2 = -1 \right\}$$

becomes a ring in which the operations are the usual addition and multiplication of complex numbers.

**6.** (A) Let S be a given set and let  $R = \mathcal{P}(S)$  denote the power set of S, that is, the set containing all subsets of S. For each  $A \in R$  let  $\overline{A} = S \setminus A$ . We define two binary operations on R as follows:

$$A + B = (A \cap \overline{B}) \cup (B \cap \overline{A})$$
 and  $A \cdot B = A \cap B$ .

Show that  $(R, +, \cdot)$  is a Boolean ring in which the zero element is the emptyset and S is the multiplicative identity. [Hint: It can be useful here to apply the De Morgan laws  $\overline{(A \cup B)} = \overline{A} \cap \overline{B}$  and  $\overline{(A \cap B)} = \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$ .]

The course website is: http://people.bath.ac.uk/ac886/teaching/algebra2B/

Algebra 2B, 2018

## Solutions 1

(1) Let a, b, c ∈ G. Then a − a = 0 ∈ H means a ~ a, so ~ is reflexive. If a ~ b then a − b ∈ H and hence b − a = −(a−b) ∈ H by Lemma 1.5. This gives b ~ a, so ~ is symmetric. Finally if a ~ b and b ~ c then a − b, b − c ∈ H. As H is closed under addition, it follows that (a−b) + (b−c) = a−c ∈ H and hence a ~ c. This shows that ~ is transitive, so ~ is an equivalence relation.

To compute the equivalence classes, note that the equivalence class of  $a \in G$  is

$$[a] := \{b \in G \mid b \sim a\}$$
  
=  $\{b \in G \mid b - a \in H\}$   
=  $\{b \in G \mid \exists h \in H \text{ such that } b - a = h\}$   
=  $\{a + h \mid h \in H\}$   
=  $a + H$ 

as claimed.

(2) Let  $a, b, a', b' \in G$  and suppose that  $a \sim a'$  and  $b \sim b'$ . Then  $a - a', b - b' \in H$ . Since H is a subgroup, we have

$$(a+b) - (a'+b') = (a-a') + (b-b') \in H_{2}$$

so  $a + b \sim a' + b'$  as required.

We use this to check that addition is well-defined for cosets. For this, consider alternative representatives of the cosets a + H and b + H, say  $a' \in G$  satisfying a + H = a' + H and  $b' \in G$  satisfying b + H = b' + H. Then  $a \sim a'$  and  $b \sim b'$  and hence  $a + b \sim a' + b'$  by above, so

$$a' + H + b' + H = (a' + b') + H$$
 by definition  
$$= (a + b) + H$$
 as  $a + b \sim a' + b'$   
$$= a + H + b + H$$
 by definition,

as required. This shows that addition is a binary operation on the set of cosets G/H. To check that (G/H, +) is an abelian group, we switch to equivalence class notation [a] = a + H (to save space). Note that for  $a, b, c \in G$  we have

$$([a] + [b]) + [c] = [a + b] + [c] = [(a + b) + c] = [a + (b + c)] = [a] + [b + c] = [a] + ([b] + [c]),$$
$$[a] + [b] = [a + b] = [b + a] = [b] + [a].$$

Also, we have [a]+[0] = [a+0] = [a], so [0] is the zero element. Moreover, [a]+[-a] = [a+(-a)] = [0], so [-a] is the additive identity of [a].

**2.** If 0 is a unit, then there exists  $0^{-1} \in R$ . Lemma 1.8(a) implies that  $1 = 0 \cdot 0^{-1} = 0$ . Therefore, for any  $a \in R$ , we have  $a = a \cdot 1 = a \cdot 0 = 0$ , i.e., R is the zero ring  $\{0\}$ .

Note in passing that if 0 = 1, then R is the zero ring; to rule this out, we often assume  $0 \neq 1$ .

**3.** The fact that  $(M_n(R), +)$  is associative and commutative follows from the fact that (R, +) is an abelian group. The matrix  $0_{n \times n}$  in which every entry is 0 is clearly the additive identity. If  $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_n(R)$  then the matrix  $B = (b_{ij})$  satisfying  $b_{ij} = -a_{ij}$  is an additive inverse of A. Thus  $(M_n(R), +)$  is an abelian group.

To see that multiplication is associative, let  $A = (a_{ij}), B = (b_{ij})$  and  $C = (c_{ij})$  be matrices in  $M_n(R)$ . Let  $D = (d_{ij})$  and  $E = (e_{ij})$  where D = AB and E = BC. The (i, j)th entry of (AB)C = DC is then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} d_{ik} c_{kj} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{l=1}^{n} a_{il} b_{lk} \right) c_{kj} = \sum_{l=1}^{n} a_{il} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{lk} c_{kj} \right) = \sum_{l=1}^{n} a_{il} e_{lj}$$

which is the (i, j)th entry of AE = A(BC); we applied here both the assocative law for the ring multiplication of R and the distributive law for R. This gives (AB)C = A(BC) as required.

For the distributive laws, the (i, j)th entry of A(B + C) is

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{ik}(b_{kj} + c_{kj}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{ik}b_{kj} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{ik}c_{kj} = u_{ij} + v_{ij}$$

where  $u_{ij}, v_{ij}$  are the (i, j)th entries of AB and AC respectively; here we use the distributive law for R as well as the fact that addition is commutative. Hence A(B + C) = AB + AC. Similarly one sees that (B + C)A = BA + CA.

If R is a ring with 1, then let  $\mathbb{I}_n := (\delta_{i,j})$  be the matrix with 1 on the diagonal and 0 elsewhere. Then for any  $A \in M_n(R)$ , we have  $A \cdot \mathbb{I}_n = A = \mathbb{I}_n \cdot A$ , so  $\mathbb{I}_n$  makes  $M_n(R)$  into a ring with 1.

4. By inspecting the formula for multiplication of formal power series

$$\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k\right) \cdot \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k x^k\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i+j=k}^{\infty} a_i b_j\right) x^k.$$

we see that the power series  $1 = 1+0x+0x^2+0x^3+\cdots$  provides a multiplicative identity for R[[x]], making R[[x]] a ring with 1. Also, looking at the same multiplication formula, notice that if R is commutative then  $a_ib_j = b_ja_i$ , and hence

$$\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k\right) \cdot \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k x^k\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i+j=k}^{\infty} a_i b_j\right) x^k = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k x^k\right) \cdot \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k\right)$$

so R[[x]] is commutative. Also, since  $0 \neq 1$  in R, the same holds in R[[x]]. Finally, if  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k$  and  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k x^k$  are two nonzero elements in R[[x]], then  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  be the smallest index for which  $a_m \neq 0$  and let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be the smallest index for which  $b_n \neq 0$ . Then we claim that

$$\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k\right) \cdot \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k x^k\right) = a_m b_n x^{m+n} + (a_{m+1}b_n + a_m b_{n+1}) x^{m+n+1} + \dots$$
(0.1)

is nonzero. Indeed, since R is an integral domain and since  $a_m \neq 0$  and  $b_n \neq 0$ , we have that  $a_m b_n \neq 0$ , so the coefficient of  $x^{m+n}$  in the expression (0.1) is nonzero. This proves the claim, and completes the proof that R[[x]] is an integral domain.

5. Let S be a subring of R. Since S is nonempty and since the condition from the definition of subring holds, the additive version of Lemma 1.5 shows that (S, +) is a group. This group is abelian, because addition commutes in R. The second condition from the definition of subring implies that multiplication is a binary operation on S. In the ring  $(R, +, \cdot)$ , we have that  $\cdot$  is associative and that the distributive laws hold, so the same is true in  $(S, +, \cdot)$ . This shows that  $(S, +, \cdot)$  is a ring.

Conversely, if  $(S, +, \cdot)$  is a ring then S is nonempty (as it contains 0), and it's closed under both subtraction and multiplication, so S is a subring.

We have  $0 + i0 \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ , so  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  is nonempty. For any  $a + ib, c + ib \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$  we have

$$(a+ib) - (c+id) = (a-c) + i(b-d) \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$$
 and  $(a+ib)(c+id) = (ac-bd) + i(ad+bc) \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ 

since  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$  implies that  $a - c, b - d, ac - bd, ad + bc \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Therefore  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  is a subring of  $\mathbb{C}$ , so it's a ring in its own right.

6. We first need to check that all the axioms for rings are fullfilled. Addition commutes because

$$A + B = (A \cap \overline{B}) \cup (B \cap \overline{A}) = (B \cap \overline{A}) \cup (A \cap \overline{B}) = B + A,$$

and  $\emptyset$  is the zero element because

$$A + \emptyset = \emptyset + A = (A \cap \overline{\emptyset}) \cup (\emptyset \cap \overline{A}) = (A \cap S) \cup \emptyset = A.$$

As for the additive inverse, we've been asked to show that R is a Boolean ring, so the additive inverse of A must equal A itself, so we check this:

$$A + A = (A \cap \overline{A}) \cup (A \cap \overline{A}) = \emptyset.$$

As is often the case, the hardest part in checking the group axioms is associativity. Here, notice that

$$\begin{aligned} (A+B)+C &= ((A+B)\cap \overline{C}) \cup (\overline{A+B}\cap C) \\ &= ((A\cap \overline{B}) \cup (\overline{A}\cap B)) \cap \overline{C}) \cup ((\overline{A\cap \overline{B}}) \cup (\overline{A}\cap B) \cap C) \\ &= ((A\cap \overline{B}) \cup (\overline{A}\cap B)) \cap \overline{C}) \cup ((\overline{A\cap \overline{B}}) \cap (\overline{A}\cap B) \cap C) \\ &= ((A\cap \overline{B}) \cup (\overline{A}\cap B)) \cap \overline{C} \cup ((\overline{A}\cup B) \cap (A\cup \overline{B}) \cap C) \\ &= (A\cap \overline{B}\cap \overline{C}) \cup (\overline{A}\cap B\cap \overline{C}) \cup (\overline{A}\cap \overline{B}\cap C) \cup (A\cap B\cap C). \end{aligned}$$

This last expression is completely symmetric in A, B, C, so it's equal to (B + C) + A = A + (B + C).

To check the multiplicative properties, notice that intersection of sets is an associative operation, so  $\cdot$  is associative. Also, we have  $A \cap S = S \cap A = A$ , so S is the multiplicative identity. It remains to check that R satisfies the distributive laws. We have

$$C \cdot A + C \cdot B = C \cap A \cap \overline{C \cap B} \cup C \cap B \cap \overline{C \cap A}$$
  
=  $C \cap A \cap (\overline{C} \cup \overline{B}) \cup C \cap B \cap (\overline{C} \cup \overline{A})$   
=  $C \cap A \cap \overline{C} \cup C \cap A \cap \overline{B} \cup C \cap B \cap \overline{C} \cup C \cap B \cap \overline{A}.$ 

Now no element can be both in C and in  $\overline{C}$  and thus the last expression is equal to.

$$C \cap A \cap \overline{B} \cup C \cap B \cap \overline{A} = C \cap (A \cap \overline{B} \cup B \cap \overline{A}) = C \cdot (A + B).$$

Hence  $C \cdot (A + B) = C \cdot A + C \cdot B$ . Intersection of sets is a commutative operation, so we also have

$$(A+B) \cdot C = C \cdot (A+B) = C \cdot A + C \cdot B = A \cdot C + B \cdot C$$

as required. This shows that R is a ring, and it's Boolean because  $A \cdot A = A \cap A = A$ .