Entrance and exit at infinity for stable jump diffusions

Andreas Kyprianou (based on joint work with Leif Döring)

・ロト・日本・モン・モン・モー めんぐ

- $\blacktriangleright\,$ In his seminal work in the 1950s, William Feller classified one-dimensional diffusion processes on $-\infty \le a < b \le \infty$
- ► The four types of boundary points are:

regular, if it is both accessible and enterable; exit, if it is accessible but not enterable; entrance, if it is enterable but not accessible; natural if it is neither accessible nor enterable.

Feller's definitions and proofs are purely analytic, using Hille-Yosida theory to generate Feller semigroup of a process ($X_t, t \ge 0$) from differential operators (diffusion generators)

$$\mathcal{A} := \kappa(x)\frac{d}{dx} + \frac{\sigma(x)^2}{2}\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$$

taking account of the different boundary conditions.

A change of space via the so-called scale function (say *s* which makes $(s(X_l), t \ge 0)$ a martingale)

$$dZ_t = \tilde{\sigma}(Z_t) \, dB_t, \quad Z_0 = z \in \mathbb{R}$$



- ▶ In his seminal work in the 1950s, William Feller classified one-dimensional diffusion processes on $-\infty \le a < b \le \infty$
- ► The four types of boundary points are:

regular, if it is both accessible and enterable; exit, if it is accessible but not enterable; entrance, if it is enterable but not accessible; natural if it is neither accessible nor enterable.

Feller's definitions and proofs are purely analytic, using Hille-Yosida theory to generate Feller semigroup of a process ($X_t, t \ge 0$) from differential operators (diffusion generators)

$$\mathcal{A} := \kappa(x)\frac{d}{dx} + \frac{\sigma(x)^2}{2}\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$$

taking account of the different boundary conditions.

A change of space via the so-called scale function (say *s* which makes $(s(X_l), t \ge 0)$ a martingale)

$$dZ_t = \tilde{\sigma}(Z_t) \, dB_t, \quad Z_0 = z \in \mathbb{R}$$



- ▶ In his seminal work in the 1950s, William Feller classified one-dimensional diffusion processes on $-\infty \le a < b \le \infty$
- The four types of boundary points are:

regular, if it is both accessible and enterable; exit, if it is accessible but not enterable; entrance, if it is enterable but not accessible; natural if it is neither accessible nor enterable.

▶ Feller's definitions and proofs are purely analytic, using Hille-Yosida theory to generate Feller semigroup of a process $(X_t, t \ge 0)$ from differential operators (diffusion generators)

$$\mathcal{A} := \kappa(x)\frac{d}{dx} + \frac{\sigma(x)^2}{2}\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$$

taking account of the different boundary conditions.

A change of space via the so-called scale function (say *s* which makes $(s(X_t), t \ge 0)$ a martingale)

$$dZ_t = \tilde{\sigma}(Z_t) dB_t, \quad Z_0 = z \in \mathbb{R}$$

- コン・4回シュ ヨシュ ヨン・9 くの

- ▶ In his seminal work in the 1950s, William Feller classified one-dimensional diffusion processes on $-\infty \le a < b \le \infty$
- The four types of boundary points are:

regular, if it is both accessible and enterable; exit, if it is accessible but not enterable; entrance, if it is enterable but not accessible; natural if it is neither accessible nor enterable.

Feller's definitions and proofs are purely analytic, using Hille-Yosida theory to generate Feller semigroup of a process $(X_t, t \ge 0)$ from differential operators (diffusion generators)

$$\mathcal{A} := \kappa(x)\frac{d}{dx} + \frac{\sigma(x)^2}{2}\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$$

taking account of the different boundary conditions.

A change of space via the so-called scale function (say *s* which makes $(s(X_t), t \ge 0)$ a martingale)

$$dZ_t = \tilde{\sigma}(Z_t) dB_t, \quad Z_0 = z \in \mathbb{R}$$

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへの

- $\blacktriangleright\,$ In his seminal work in the 1950s, William Feller classified one-dimensional diffusion processes on $-\infty \le a < b \le \infty$
- The four types of boundary points are:

regular, if it is both accessible and enterable; exit, if it is accessible but not enterable; entrance, if it is enterable but not accessible; natural if it is neither accessible nor enterable.

Feller's definitions and proofs are purely analytic, using Hille-Yosida theory to generate Feller semigroup of a process $(X_t, t \ge 0)$ from differential operators (diffusion generators)

$$\mathcal{A} := \kappa(x)\frac{d}{dx} + \frac{\sigma(x)^2}{2}\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$$

taking account of the different boundary conditions.

A change of space via the so-called scale function (say *s* which makes $(s(X_t), t \ge 0)$ a martingale)

$$dZ_t = \tilde{\sigma}(Z_t) \, dB_t, \quad Z_0 = z \in \mathbb{R}$$

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへの

THE CASE OF AN INFINITE BOUNDARY

- ▶ In the setting of the entire real line, i.e. $a = -\infty$ and $b = +\infty$, the notion of entrance (in applications also called coming down from infinity) and exit (explosion) becomes interesting
- Depending on the growth of *σ* at infinity the infinite boundary points can be of an entrance type. Feller's results for this scenario imply that +∞ is an entrance boundary if and only if

$$\int^{+\infty} x \, \sigma(x)^{-2} \, dx < \infty,$$

i.e. σ growth slightly more than linearly at infinity.

THE CASE OF AN INFINITE BOUNDARY

- In the setting of the entire real line, i.e. a = -∞ and b = +∞, the notion of entrance (in applications also called coming down from infinity) and exit (explosion) becomes interesting
- Depending on the growth of *σ* at infinity the infinite boundary points can be of an entrance type. Feller's results for this scenario imply that +∞ is an entrance boundary if and only if

$$\int^{+\infty} x \, \sigma(x)^{-2} \, dx < \infty,$$

i.e. σ growth slightly more than linearly at infinity.

Coming down from infinity: I

The notion of coming down from infinity becoming more important in other classes of Feller processes e.g. Kingman's Coalescent



4/23

The death chain counting number of blocks (genealogies) in Kingman's Coalescence is monotone and skip free (relatively easy to handle!)

Coming down from infinity: I

The notion of coming down from infinity becoming more important in other classes of Feller processes e.g. Kingman's Coalescent



The death chain counting number of blocks (genealogies) in Kingman's Coalescence is monotone and skip free (relatively easy to handle!)

- Kingman coalescent dynamics, fragment each block at a constant rate into an infinite number of blocks [cf. K., Pagett, Rogers & Schweinsberg (2017)] - what happens after the first fragmentation event?
- ▶ Nothing more than a Markov chain $(N(t) : t \ge 0)$ on $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ specified by the *Q*-matrix

$$Q_{i,j} = \begin{cases} c\binom{i}{2} & \text{if } j = i - 1, \\ \lambda i & \text{if } j = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Let $\theta := 2\lambda/c$.

- ▶ If $0 < \theta < 1$, then $(N(t) : t \ge 0)$ is a recurrent Feller process on $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ such that $\{\infty\}$ is instantaneously regular (that is to say 0 is a not a holding point).
- If $\theta \ge 1$, then $\{\infty\}$ is an absorbing state for $(N(t) : t \ge 0)$.



- Kingman coalescent dynamics, fragment each block at a constant rate into an infinite number of blocks [cf. K., Pagett, Rogers & Schweinsberg (2017)] - what happens after the first fragmentation event?
- ▶ Nothing more than a Markov chain $(N(t) : t \ge 0)$ on $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ specified by the *Q*-matrix

$$Q_{i,j} = \begin{cases} c\binom{i}{2} & \text{if } j = i - 1, \\ \lambda i & \text{if } j = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Let $\theta := 2\lambda/c$.

- ▶ If $0 < \theta < 1$, then $(N(t) : t \ge 0)$ is a recurrent Feller process on $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ such that $\{\infty\}$ is instantaneously regular (that is to say 0 is a not a holding point).
- If $\theta \ge 1$, then $\{\infty\}$ is an absorbing state for $(N(t) : t \ge 0)$.



- Kingman coalescent dynamics, fragment each block at a constant rate into an infinite number of blocks [cf. K., Pagett, Rogers & Schweinsberg (2017)] - what happens after the first fragmentation event?
- ▶ Nothing more than a Markov chain $(N(t) : t \ge 0)$ on $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ specified by the *Q*-matrix

$$Q_{i,j} = \begin{cases} c\binom{i}{2} & \text{if } j = i - 1, \\ \lambda i & \text{if } j = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Let $\theta := 2\lambda/c$.

▶ If $0 < \theta < 1$, then $(N(t) : t \ge 0)$ is a recurrent Feller process on $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ such that $\{\infty\}$ is instantaneously regular (that is to say 0 is a not a holding point).

• If $\theta \ge 1$, then $\{\infty\}$ is an absorbing state for $(N(t) : t \ge 0)$.



- Kingman coalescent dynamics, fragment each block at a constant rate into an infinite number of blocks [cf. K., Pagett, Rogers & Schweinsberg (2017)] - what happens after the first fragmentation event?
- ▶ Nothing more than a Markov chain $(N(t) : t \ge 0)$ on $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ specified by the *Q*-matrix

$$Q_{i,j} = \begin{cases} c\binom{i}{2} & \text{if } j = i - 1, \\ \lambda i & \text{if } j = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Let $\theta := 2\lambda/c$.

- ▶ If $0 < \theta < 1$, then $(N(t) : t \ge 0)$ is a recurrent Feller process on $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ such that $\{\infty\}$ is instantaneously regular (that is to say 0 is a not a holding point).
- If $\theta \ge 1$, then $\{\infty\}$ is an absorbing state for $(N(t) : t \ge 0)$.



Lambert's logistic Continuous-state branching process

$$\mathrm{d}Z_t = bZ_t\mathrm{d}t + \gamma Z_t\mathrm{d}B_t - cZ_t^2\mathrm{d}t, \qquad t \ge 0.$$

Lambert (2005)

More generally

$$Z_{t} = x - a \int_{0}^{t} Z_{s} ds + \sigma \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{Z_{s-}} W(ds, du) + \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{Z_{s-}} \int_{0}^{\infty} r \tilde{N}(ds, dv, dr) - \int_{0}^{t} G(Z_{s}) ds, \qquad t \ge 0.$$

6/23

▲□▶▲@▶▲≧▶★≧▶ 差 のへぐ

[Berestycki, Fitipaldi & Fontobona (2018)]

Lambert's logistic Continuous-state branching process

$$\mathrm{d}Z_t = bZ_t\mathrm{d}t + \gamma Z_t\mathrm{d}B_t - cZ_t^2\mathrm{d}t, \qquad t \ge 0.$$

Lambert (2005)

More generally

$$Z_{t} = x - a \int_{0}^{t} Z_{s} ds + \sigma \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{Z_{s-}} W(ds, du) + \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{Z_{s-}} \int_{0}^{\infty} r \tilde{N}(ds, dv, dr) - \int_{0}^{t} G(Z_{s}) ds, \qquad t \ge 0.$$

6/23

▲□▶▲@▶▲≧▶★≧▶ 差 のへぐ

[Berestycki, Fitipaldi & Fontobona (2018)]

STABLE JUMP-DIFFUSIONS

▶ Focus our study on so-called stable jump diffusions:

$$dZ_t = \sigma(Z_{t-}) dX_t, \qquad Z_0 = z \in \mathbb{R}, t \ge 0.$$

• Intersted in entrance from $\{+\infty\}$, $\{-\infty\}$ and $\pm\infty := \{+\infty\} \cup \{-\infty\}$



A stable process lies in the intersection of the class of Lévy process (stationary and independent increments) and the class of self-similar Markov processes: for all c > 0 and $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

 $(cX_{c^{-\alpha}t}, t \ge 0)$ under \mathbb{P}_x is equal in law to $(X_t, t \ge 0)$ under \mathbb{P}_{cx} ,

where $(\mathbb{P}_x, x \in \mathbb{R})$ are the probabilities of *X* and $\alpha \in (0, 2)$.

Semigroup of X is entirely characterised by $\Psi(z) := -\log \mathbb{E}_0[e^{izX_1}]$, satisfying

$$\Psi(z) = |z|^{\alpha} \left(e^{\pi i \alpha (\frac{1}{2} - \rho)} \mathbf{1}_{\{z > 0\}} + e^{-\pi i \alpha (\frac{1}{2} - \rho)} \mathbf{1}_{\{z < 0\}} \right), \quad z \in \mathbb{R}$$

where $\rho = \mathbb{P}(X_1 > 0)$.

• The Lévy measure associated with Ψ :

$$\frac{\Pi(\mathrm{d}x)}{\mathrm{d}x} = \Gamma(1+\alpha)\frac{\sin(\pi\alpha\rho)}{\pi}\frac{1}{x^{1+\alpha}}\mathbf{1}_{(x>0)} + \Gamma(1+\alpha)\frac{\sin(\pi\alpha\hat{\rho})}{\pi}\frac{1}{|x|^{1+\alpha}}\mathbf{1}_{(x<0)},$$

where $\hat{\rho} := 1 - \rho$. In the case that $\alpha = 1$, we take $\rho = 1/2$, meaning that X corresponds to the Cauchy process.

Convention from now on: Anything with a $\hat{}$ is associated to the law of -X. E.g. $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_x$ is the law of -X with $X_0 = -x$.

▶ If *X* has only upwards (resp. downwards) jumps we say *X* is spectrally positive (resp. negative). If *X* has jumps in both directions we say *X* is two-sided. A spectrally positive (resp. negative) stable process with $\alpha < 1$ is necessarily increasing (resp. decreasing).

A stable process lies in the intersection of the class of Lévy process (stationary and independent increments) and the class of self-similar Markov processes: for all c > 0 and $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

 $(cX_{c-\alpha_t}, t \ge 0)$ under \mathbb{P}_x is equal in law to $(X_t, t \ge 0)$ under \mathbb{P}_{cx} ,

where $(\mathbb{P}_x, x \in \mathbb{R})$ are the probabilities of *X* and $\alpha \in (0, 2)$.

Semigroup of X is entirely characterised by $\Psi(z) := -\log \mathbb{E}_0 \left[e^{izX_1} \right]$, satisfying

$$\Psi(z) = |z|^{\alpha} \left(e^{\pi i \alpha (\frac{1}{2} - \rho)} \mathbf{1}_{\{z > 0\}} + e^{-\pi i \alpha (\frac{1}{2} - \rho)} \mathbf{1}_{\{z < 0\}} \right), \quad z \in \mathbb{R}.$$

where $\rho = \mathbb{P}(X_1 > 0)$.

• The Lévy measure associated with Ψ :

$$\frac{\Pi(\mathrm{d}x)}{\mathrm{d}x} = \Gamma(1+\alpha) \frac{\sin(\pi\alpha\rho)}{\pi} \frac{1}{x^{1+\alpha}} \mathbf{1}_{(x>0)} + \Gamma(1+\alpha) \frac{\sin(\pi\alpha\hat{\rho})}{\pi} \frac{1}{|x|^{1+\alpha}} \mathbf{1}_{(x<0)},$$

where $\hat{\rho} := 1 - \rho$. In the case that $\alpha = 1$, we take $\rho = 1/2$, meaning that *X* corresponds to the Cauchy process.

Convention from now on: Anything with a $\hat{}$ is associated to the law of -X. E.g. $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_x$ is the law of -X with $X_0 = -x$.

▶ If *X* has only upwards (resp. downwards) jumps we say *X* is spectrally positive (resp. negative). If *X* has jumps in both directions we say *X* is two-sided. A spectrally positive (resp. negative) stable process with $\alpha < 1$ is necessarily increasing (resp. decreasing).

A stable process lies in the intersection of the class of Lévy process (stationary and independent increments) and the class of self-similar Markov processes: for all c > 0 and $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

 $(cX_{c-\alpha_t}, t \ge 0)$ under \mathbb{P}_x is equal in law to $(X_t, t \ge 0)$ under \mathbb{P}_{cx} ,

where $(\mathbb{P}_x, x \in \mathbb{R})$ are the probabilities of *X* and $\alpha \in (0, 2)$.

Semigroup of X is entirely characterised by $\Psi(z) := -\log \mathbb{E}_0 \left[e^{izX_1} \right]$, satisfying

$$\Psi(z) = |z|^{\alpha} \left(e^{\pi i \alpha (\frac{1}{2} - \rho)} \mathbf{1}_{\{z > 0\}} + e^{-\pi i \alpha (\frac{1}{2} - \rho)} \mathbf{1}_{\{z < 0\}} \right), \quad z \in \mathbb{R}$$

where $\rho = \mathbb{P}(X_1 > 0)$.

• The Lévy measure associated with Ψ :

$$\frac{\Pi(\mathrm{d}x)}{\mathrm{d}x} = \Gamma(1+\alpha) \frac{\sin(\pi\alpha\rho)}{\pi} \frac{1}{x^{1+\alpha}} \mathbf{1}_{(x>0)} + \Gamma(1+\alpha) \frac{\sin(\pi\alpha\hat{\rho})}{\pi} \frac{1}{|x|^{1+\alpha}} \mathbf{1}_{(x<0)},$$

where $\hat{\rho} := 1 - \rho$. In the case that $\alpha = 1$, we take $\rho = 1/2$, meaning that *X* corresponds to the Cauchy process.

Convention from now on: Anything with a $\hat{}$ is associated to the law of -X. E.g. $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_x$ is the law of -X with $X_0 = -x$.

▶ If *X* has only upwards (resp. downwards) jumps we say *X* is spectrally positive (resp. negative). If *X* has jumps in both directions we say *X* is two-sided. A spectrally positive (resp. negative) stable process with $\alpha < 1$ is necessarily increasing (resp. decreasing).

A stable process lies in the intersection of the class of Lévy process (stationary and independent increments) and the class of self-similar Markov processes: for all c > 0 and $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

 $(cX_{c-\alpha_t}, t \ge 0)$ under \mathbb{P}_x is equal in law to $(X_t, t \ge 0)$ under \mathbb{P}_{cx} ,

where $(\mathbb{P}_x, x \in \mathbb{R})$ are the probabilities of *X* and $\alpha \in (0, 2)$.

Semigroup of X is entirely characterised by $\Psi(z) := -\log \mathbb{E}_0 \left[e^{izX_1} \right]$, satisfying

$$\Psi(z) = |z|^{\alpha} \left(e^{\pi i \alpha (\frac{1}{2} - \rho)} \mathbf{1}_{\{z > 0\}} + e^{-\pi i \alpha (\frac{1}{2} - \rho)} \mathbf{1}_{\{z < 0\}} \right), \quad z \in \mathbb{R}$$

where $\rho = \mathbb{P}(X_1 > 0)$.

• The Lévy measure associated with Ψ :

$$\frac{\Pi(\mathrm{d}x)}{\mathrm{d}x} = \Gamma(1+\alpha)\frac{\sin(\pi\alpha\rho)}{\pi}\frac{1}{x^{1+\alpha}}\mathbf{1}_{(x>0)} + \Gamma(1+\alpha)\frac{\sin(\pi\alpha\hat{\rho})}{\pi}\frac{1}{|x|^{1+\alpha}}\mathbf{1}_{(x<0)},$$

where $\hat{\rho} := 1 - \rho$. In the case that $\alpha = 1$, we take $\rho = 1/2$, meaning that *X* corresponds to the Cauchy process.

Convention from now on: Anything with a $\hat{}$ is associated to the law of -X. E.g. $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_x$ is the law of -X with $X_0 = -x$.

▶ If *X* has only upwards (resp. downwards) jumps we say *X* is spectrally positive (resp. negative). If *X* has jumps in both directions we say *X* is two-sided. A spectrally positive (resp. negative) stable process with $\alpha < 1$ is necessarily increasing (resp. decreasing).

A stable process lies in the intersection of the class of Lévy process (stationary and independent increments) and the class of self-similar Markov processes: for all c > 0 and $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

 $(cX_{c-\alpha_t}, t \ge 0)$ under \mathbb{P}_x is equal in law to $(X_t, t \ge 0)$ under \mathbb{P}_{cx} ,

where $(\mathbb{P}_x, x \in \mathbb{R})$ are the probabilities of *X* and $\alpha \in (0, 2)$.

Semigroup of X is entirely characterised by $\Psi(z) := -\log \mathbb{E}_0 \left[e^{izX_1} \right]$, satisfying

$$\Psi(z) = |z|^{\alpha} \left(e^{\pi i \alpha (\frac{1}{2} - \rho)} \mathbf{1}_{\{z > 0\}} + e^{-\pi i \alpha (\frac{1}{2} - \rho)} \mathbf{1}_{\{z < 0\}} \right), \quad z \in \mathbb{R}$$

where $\rho = \mathbb{P}(X_1 > 0)$.

• The Lévy measure associated with Ψ :

$$\frac{\Pi(\mathrm{d}x)}{\mathrm{d}x} = \Gamma(1+\alpha) \frac{\sin(\pi\alpha\rho)}{\pi} \frac{1}{x^{1+\alpha}} \mathbf{1}_{(x>0)} + \Gamma(1+\alpha) \frac{\sin(\pi\alpha\hat{\rho})}{\pi} \frac{1}{|x|^{1+\alpha}} \mathbf{1}_{(x<0)},$$

where $\hat{\rho} := 1 - \rho$. In the case that $\alpha = 1$, we take $\rho = 1/2$, meaning that *X* corresponds to the Cauchy process.

Convention from now on: Anything with a $\hat{}$ is associated to the law of -X. E.g. $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_x$ is the law of -X with $X_0 = -x$.

▶ If *X* has only upwards (resp. downwards) jumps we say *X* is spectrally positive (resp. negative). If *X* has jumps in both directions we say *X* is two-sided. A spectrally positive (resp. negative) stable process with $\alpha < 1$ is necessarily increasing (resp. decreasing).

SDE

Proposition (Zanzotto (2002), Döring & K. (2018))

Suppose that σ is strictly positive. Then there is a unique (possibly exploding) weak solution *Z* to the SDE

$$dZ_t = \sigma(Z_{t-}) dX_t, \qquad Z_0 = z \in \mathbb{R}, t \ge 0.$$

and Z can be expressed as time-change under \mathbb{P}_z via

$$Z_t := X_{\tau_t}, \quad t < T,$$

where

$$\tau_t = \inf\left\{s > 0: \int_0^s \sigma(\mathbf{X}_s)^{-\alpha} \mathrm{d}s > t\right\}$$

and the finite or infinite explosion time is $T = \int_0^\infty \sigma(X_s)^{-\alpha} ds$.

The law of the unique solution *Z* will be denoted by $P_z, z \in \mathbb{R}$.

Technical point: when $\alpha \in (1,2)$, the origin is a recurrent point, hence as $\sigma > 0$, $T = \infty$. However, when $\alpha \in (1,2)$, $k := \inf\{t > 0 : Z_t = 0\}$ is almost surely finite (irrespective of Z_0). 9/23

SDE

Proposition (Zanzotto (2002), Döring & K. (2018))

Suppose that σ is strictly positive. Then there is a unique (possibly exploding) weak solution *Z* to the SDE

$$dZ_t = \sigma(Z_{t-}) dX_t, \qquad Z_0 = z \in \mathbb{R}, t \ge 0.$$

and Z can be expressed as time-change under \mathbb{P}_z via

$$Z_t := X_{\tau_t}, \quad t < T,$$

where

$$\tau_t = \inf\left\{s > 0: \int_0^s \sigma(X_s)^{-\alpha} \mathrm{d}s > t\right\}$$

and the finite or infinite explosion time is $T = \int_0^\infty \sigma(X_s)^{-\alpha} ds$.

The law of the unique solution *Z* will be denoted by $P_z, z \in \mathbb{R}$.

Technical point: when $\alpha \in (1, 2)$, the origin is a recurrent point, hence as $\sigma > 0$, $T = \infty$.

However, when $\alpha \in (1, 2)$, $k := \inf\{t > 0 : Z_t = 0\}$ is almost surely finite (irrespective of Z_0).

9/23

- コン・4回シュ ヨシュ ヨン・9 くの

SDE

Proposition (Zanzotto (2002), Döring & K. (2018))

Suppose that σ is strictly positive. Then there is a unique (possibly exploding) weak solution *Z* to the SDE

$$dZ_t = \sigma(Z_{t-}) dX_t, \qquad Z_0 = z \in \mathbb{R}, t \ge 0.$$

and Z can be expressed as time-change under \mathbb{P}_z via

$$Z_t := X_{\tau_t}, \quad t < T,$$

where

$$\tau_t = \inf\left\{s > 0: \int_0^s \sigma(\mathbf{X}_s)^{-\alpha} \mathrm{d}s > t\right\}$$

and the finite or infinite explosion time is $T = \int_0^\infty \sigma(X_s)^{-\alpha} ds$.

The law of the unique solution *Z* will be denoted by $P_z, z \in \mathbb{R}$.

Technical point: when $\alpha \in (1, 2)$, the origin is a recurrent point, hence as $\sigma > 0$, $T = \infty$.

However, when $\alpha \in (1, 2)$, $k := \inf\{t > 0 : Z_t = 0\}$ is almost surely finite (irrespective of Z_0).

9/23

・ロト ・ 通 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ つへぐ

Definition

We say that $\pm \infty$ is a (continuous) entrance point for a Feller process Y on \mathbb{R} with transition semigroup \mathcal{P} (with probabilities \mathbb{P}_x , $x \in \mathbb{R}$) if

- (i) the point $\pm \infty$ is not accessible,
- (ii) the semigroup \mathcal{P} can be extended to a Feller semigroup $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$ on $C_b(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$,

(iii) there is continuous entrance in the sense that

$$\mathbb{P}_{\pm\infty}\left(\lim_{t\downarrow 0}|Y_t|=\infty,\limsup_{t\downarrow 0}Y_t=+\infty,\liminf_{t\downarrow 0}Y_t=-\infty\right)=1$$

Analogously, we define entrance from $-\infty$ as extension to $C_b(\mathbb{R})$ and entrance from $+\infty$ as extension to $C_b(\mathbb{R}) = C(\mathbb{R})$.

10/23 《 □ ▷ 《 클 ▷ 《 클 ▷ 《 클 ▷ 의 익 ⓒ

ENTRANCE AT INFINITY

Theorem (Döring & K. (2018))

Suppose that σ is uniformly bounded away from the origin and let

$$I^{\sigma,\alpha}(A) = \int_A \sigma(x)^{-\alpha} |x|^{\alpha-1} \, \mathrm{d}x \quad \text{and} \quad I^{\sigma,1} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(x)^{-1} \log |x| \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

Then the following table exhaustively summarizes entrance points at infinity of

$$dZ_t = \sigma(Z_{t-}) dX_t, \qquad Z_0 = z \in \mathbb{R}, t \ge 0.$$

Necessary and sufficient conditions for entrance from infinite boundary points						
α	Jumps	$+\infty$	$-\infty$	±∞		
< 1	only↓ only↑	XXX	X	X X		
1	1 2 2 1	X	X	Χ		
= 1	1.87	*	^	\checkmark iff $I^{\circ}, 1 < \infty$		
> 1	only↓ only↑	$\bigvee_{\mathbf{v}} \operatorname{iff} l^{\sigma,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}_+) < \infty$	$\bigvee_{\mathbf{X}} \inf_{l} I^{\sigma, \alpha}(\mathbb{R}_{-}) < \infty$	X X X :((1)(7, () (m)) <		
	$ \propto \downarrow$	^	^	\checkmark Iff I^{2} , \sim (\mathbb{R}) < ∞		
= 2	none	✓ iff $l^{\sigma,2}(\mathbb{R}_+) < \infty$	✓ iff $l^{\sigma,2}(\mathbb{R}_{-}) < \infty$	X		

ENTRANCE AT INFINITY

Theorem (Döring & K. (2018))

Suppose that σ is uniformly bounded away from the origin and let

$$I^{\sigma,\alpha}(A) = \int_A \sigma(x)^{-\alpha} |x|^{\alpha-1} \, \mathrm{d}x \quad \text{and} \quad I^{\sigma,1} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(x)^{-1} \log |x| \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

Then the following table exhaustively summarizes entrance points at infinity of

$$dZ_t = \sigma(Z_{t-}) dX_t, \qquad Z_0 = z \in \mathbb{R}, t \ge 0.$$

Necessary and sufficient conditions for entrance from infinite boundary points						
α	Jumps	$+\infty$	$-\infty$	±∞		
< 1	only↓	X	X	X		
	only ↑	X	×	X		
	$\uparrow \& \downarrow$	X	X	X		
= 1	$\uparrow \& \downarrow$	X	X	✓ iff $l^{\sigma,1} < \infty$		
> 1	only↓	X	$\checkmark \text{ iff } I^{\sigma,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}_{-}) < \infty$	X		
	only ↑	✓ iff $l^{\sigma,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}_+) < \infty$	X	X		
	$\uparrow \& \downarrow$	X	X	✓ iff $l^{\sigma, \alpha}(\mathbb{R}) < \infty$		
= 2	none	✓ iff $l^{\sigma,2}(\mathbb{R}_+) < \infty$	✓ iff $I^{\sigma,2}(\mathbb{R}_{-}) < \infty$	X		

Henceforth concentrate on the case of two-sided jumps.

$Riesz-Bogdan-\dot{Z}AK\ TRANSFORM$

Convention from now on: Anything with a $\hat{}$ is associated to the law of -X. E.g. $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_x$ is the law of -X with $X_0 = -x$.

Theorem (Bogdan & Żak (2010), K. (2016))

Suppose that X is a stable process with two-sided jumps. Define

$$\eta(t) = \inf\{s > 0 : \int_0^s |X_u|^{-2\alpha} du > t\}, \quad t \ge 0.$$

Then, for all $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\frac{1}{X_{\eta(t)}}, \qquad t \ge 0$$

under \mathbb{P}_x a self-similar Markov process equal in law to $(X, \mathbb{P}_{1/x}^\circ)$, where

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{P}_x^{\circ}}{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{P}_x}\Big|_{\mathcal{F}_t} = \frac{h(X_t)}{h(x)}\mathbf{1}_{\{t < \tau^{\{0\}}\}}$$

 $h(z) = (\sin(\pi\alpha\rho) + \sin(\pi\alpha\hat{\rho}) - (\sin(\pi\alpha\rho) - \sin(\pi\alpha\hat{\rho}))\operatorname{sgn}(z)) |z|^{\alpha-1}$ and $\mathcal{F}_t := \sigma(X_s : s \le t), t \ge 0.$

$Riesz-Bogdan-\dot{Z}AK\ TRANSFORM$

Convention from now on: Anything with a $\hat{}$ is associated to the law of -X. E.g. $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_x$ is the law of -X with $X_0 = -x$.

Theorem (Bogdan & Żak (2010), K. (2016))

Suppose that X is a stable process with two-sided jumps. Define

$$\eta(t) = \inf\{s > 0 : \int_0^s |X_u|^{-2\alpha} du > t\}, \quad t \ge 0.$$

Then, for all $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\frac{1}{X_{\eta(t)}}, \qquad t \ge 0$$

under $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_x$ a self-similar Markov process equal in law to $(X, \mathbb{P}_{1/x}^\circ)$, where

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{P}_x^\circ}{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{P}_x}\Big|_{\mathcal{F}_t} = \frac{h(X_t)}{h(x)}\mathbf{1}_{\{t < \tau^{\{0\}}\}}$$

$$\begin{split} h(z) &= (\sin(\pi\alpha\rho) + \sin(\pi\alpha\hat{\rho}) - (\sin(\pi\alpha\rho) - \sin(\pi\alpha\hat{\rho}))\operatorname{sgn}(z)) \, |z|^{\alpha - 1} \\ \text{and } \mathcal{F}_t := \sigma(X_s : s \leq t), \, t \geq 0. \end{split}$$

- Recalling that α ∈ (1,2), |x|^{α-1} as a Doob *h*-function, rewards paths that are far from the origin (|x| ≫ 1) and punishes paths that stray too close to the origin (|x| ≪ 1).
- ▶ In fact it has been shown [Chaumont, Panti & Rivero (2013), Kuznetsov, K., Pardo, Watson (2014)] that $(X, \mathbb{P}_u^o), y \neq 0$, can be identified by the limit

$$\mathbb{P}_{y}^{\circ}(A) = \lim_{s \to \infty} \mathbb{P}_{y}(A \mid T_{0} > t + s),$$

for $A \in \mathcal{F}_t$ and $T_0 = \inf\{t > 0 : X_t = 0\}$.

▶ (WARNING! Ultra specialist information): As *X* is a point recurrent process, there exists an excursion measure $n(\cdot)$ for the Poisson random field of excursions from the origin, from which one can construct (up to a constant)

$$\mathbb{P}_0^{\circ}(X_t^{\circ} \in \mathrm{d}z) := h(z)n(X_t \in \mathrm{d}z, t < \zeta)$$

consistently with \mathbb{P}_{y}° , $y \neq 0$, where ζ is the excursion lifetime and

 $h(z) = (\sin(\pi\alpha\rho) + \sin(\pi\alpha\hat{\rho}) - (\sin(\pi\alpha\rho) - \sin(\pi\alpha\hat{\rho}))\operatorname{sgn}(z)) |z|^{\alpha - 1}$

Executive summary of last point): The limit

$$\mathbb{P}_0^\circ := \lim_{|y| \to 0} \mathbb{P}_y^\circ$$

is well defined in the sense of Skorohod convergence.

- Recalling that α ∈ (1,2), |x|^{α-1} as a Doob *h*-function, rewards paths that are far from the origin (|x| ≫ 1) and punishes paths that stray too close to the origin (|x| ≪ 1).
- ▶ In fact it has been shown [Chaumont, Panti & Rivero (2013), Kuznetsov, K., Pardo, Watson (2014)] that $(X, \mathbb{P}_{y}^{o}), y \neq 0$, can be identified by the limit

$$\mathbb{P}_{y}^{\circ}(A) = \lim_{s \to \infty} \mathbb{P}_{y}(A \mid T_{0} > t + s),$$

for $A \in \mathcal{F}_t$ and $T_0 = \inf\{t > 0 : X_t = 0\}$.

• (WARNING! Ultra specialist information): As *X* is a point recurrent process, there exists an excursion measure $n(\cdot)$ for the Poisson random field of excursions from the origin, from which one can construct (up to a constant)

$$\mathbb{P}_0^{\circ}(X_t^{\circ} \in \mathrm{d}z) := h(z)n(X_t \in \mathrm{d}z, t < \zeta)$$

consistently with \mathbb{P}_{y}° , $y \neq 0$, where ζ is the excursion lifetime and

 $h(z) = (\sin(\pi\alpha\rho) + \sin(\pi\alpha\hat{\rho}) - (\sin(\pi\alpha\rho) - \sin(\pi\alpha\hat{\rho}))\operatorname{sgn}(z)) |z|^{\alpha - 1}$

Executive summary of last point): The limit

$$\mathbb{P}_0^\circ := \lim_{|y| \to 0} \mathbb{P}_y^\circ$$

is well defined in the sense of Skorohod convergence.

- ► Recalling that α ∈ (1,2), |x|^{α-1} as a Doob *h*-function, rewards paths that are far from the origin (|x| ≫ 1) and punishes paths that stray too close to the origin (|x| ≪ 1).
- ▶ In fact it has been shown [Chaumont, Panti & Rivero (2013), Kuznetsov, K., Pardo, Watson (2014)] that $(X, \mathbb{P}_{y}^{\circ}), y \neq 0$, can be identified by the limit

$$\mathbb{P}_{y}^{\circ}(A) = \lim_{s \to \infty} \mathbb{P}_{y}(A \mid T_{0} > t + s),$$

for $A \in \mathcal{F}_t$ and $T_0 = \inf\{t > 0 : X_t = 0\}$.

• (WARNING! Ultra specialist information): As *X* is a point recurrent process, there exists an excursion measure $n(\cdot)$ for the Poisson random field of excursions from the origin, from which one can construct (up to a constant)

$$\mathbb{P}_0^{\circ}(X_t^{\circ} \in \mathrm{d}z) := h(z)n(X_t \in \mathrm{d}z, t < \zeta)$$

consistently with \mathbb{P}_{y}° , $y \neq 0$, where ζ is the excursion lifetime and

 $h(z) = (\sin(\pi\alpha\rho) + \sin(\pi\alpha\hat{\rho}) - (\sin(\pi\alpha\rho) - \sin(\pi\alpha\hat{\rho}))\operatorname{sgn}(z)) |z|^{\alpha - 1}$

Executive summary of last point): The limit

$$\mathbb{P}_0^\circ := \lim_{|y| \to 0} \mathbb{P}_y^\circ$$

is well defined in the sense of Skorohod convergence.

- Recalling that α ∈ (1,2), |x|^{α-1} as a Doob *h*-function, rewards paths that are far from the origin (|x| ≫ 1) and punishes paths that stray too close to the origin (|x| ≪ 1).
- ▶ In fact it has been shown [Chaumont, Panti & Rivero (2013), Kuznetsov, K., Pardo, Watson (2014)] that $(X, \mathbb{P}_{v}^{0}), y \neq 0$, can be identified by the limit

$$\mathbb{P}_{y}^{\circ}(A) = \lim_{s \to \infty} \mathbb{P}_{y}(A \mid T_{0} > t + s),$$

for $A \in \mathcal{F}_t$ and $T_0 = \inf\{t > 0 : X_t = 0\}$.

• (WARNING! Ultra specialist information): As *X* is a point recurrent process, there exists an excursion measure $n(\cdot)$ for the Poisson random field of excursions from the origin, from which one can construct (up to a constant)

$$\mathbb{P}_0^{\circ}(X_t^{\circ} \in \mathrm{d}z) := h(z)n(X_t \in \mathrm{d}z, t < \zeta)$$

consistently with \mathbb{P}_{y}° , $y \neq 0$, where ζ is the excursion lifetime and

 $h(z) = (\sin(\pi\alpha\rho) + \sin(\pi\alpha\hat{\rho}) - (\sin(\pi\alpha\rho) - \sin(\pi\alpha\hat{\rho}))\operatorname{sgn}(z)) |z|^{\alpha - 1}$

► (Executive summary of last point): The limit

$$\mathbb{P}_0^\circ := \lim_{|y| \to 0} \mathbb{P}_y^\circ$$

is well defined in the sense of Skorohod convergence.

Time change and Riesz-Bogdan- \dot{Z} ak

Remember there is a unique weak solution Z to the SDE

$$dZ_t = \sigma(Z_{t-}) dX_t, \qquad Z_0 = z \in \mathbb{R}, t \ge 0.$$

and *Z* can be expressed as time-change under \mathbb{P}_z via $Z_t := X_{\tau_t}$, t < T, where

$$\tau_t = \inf\left\{s > 0: \int_0^s \sigma(X_s)^{-\alpha} \mathrm{d}s > t\right\}$$

Proposition (Döring & K. (2018))

Set

$$\beta(x) = \sigma(1/x)^{-\alpha} |x|^{-2\alpha}, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Define the time-space transformation

$$Z_t^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{\hat{X}_{\theta_t}^{\circ}}, \qquad t < \int_0^{\infty} \beta(\hat{X}_u^{\circ}) \, du,$$

where

$$\theta_t = \inf\left\{s > 0: \int_0^s \beta(\hat{X}_u^\circ) \, du > t\right\}.$$

If \hat{X}° has law $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_{1/x'}^{\circ} x \neq 0$, then Z^{\dagger} is equal in law to the unique solution to the SDE under \mathbb{P}_x up to killing at the origin.

Time change and Riesz-Bogdan- $\dot{Z}\mbox{ak}$

Remember there is a unique weak solution Z to the SDE

$$dZ_t = \sigma(Z_{t-}) dX_t, \qquad Z_0 = z \in \mathbb{R}, t \ge 0.$$

and *Z* can be expressed as time-change under \mathbb{P}_z via $Z_t := X_{\tau_t}, t < T$, where

$$\tau_t = \inf\left\{s > 0: \int_0^s \sigma(X_s)^{-\alpha} \mathrm{d}s > t\right\}$$

Proposition (Döring & K. (2018)) Set

$$\beta(x) = \sigma(1/x)^{-\alpha} |x|^{-2\alpha}, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Define the time-space transformation

$$Z_t^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{\hat{X}_{\theta_t}^{\circ}}, \qquad t < \int_0^{\infty} \beta(\hat{X}_u^{\circ}) \, du,$$

where

$$\theta_t = \inf\left\{s > 0: \int_0^s \beta(\hat{X}_u^\circ) \, du > t\right\}.$$

If \hat{X}° has law $\hat{\mathbb{P}}^{\circ}_{1/x'} x \neq 0$, then Z^{\dagger} is equal in law to the unique solution to the SDE under \mathbb{P}_x up to killing at the origin.

▶ We want to show that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(x)^{-\alpha} |x|^{\alpha-1} dx < \infty$ implies that $\pm \infty$ is an entrance point for

$$dZ_t = \sigma(Z_{t-}) dX_t, \qquad Z_0 = z \in \mathbb{R}, t \ge 0.$$

- Heuristically we want to have $Z = {}^d X_{\tau}$ enter at $\pm \infty$
- Which is to have 1/Z. (or indeed 1/Z.) enter at 0, crossing the origin infinitely often for arbitrarily small times
- Which is to have X^o_θ enter at 0, crossing the origin infinitely often for arbitrarily small times
- Which will happen, since \hat{X}° can enter at 0, providing we can control θ .
- Needs weak convergence of $\int_0^t \beta(\hat{X}_u^\circ) du$ as $|\hat{X}_0^\circ| \to 0$.
- Suffices to check

$$\lim_{|x|\to 0} \hat{\mathbb{E}}_x^{\circ} \left[\int_0^t \beta(\hat{X}_u^{\circ}) du \right] < \lim_{|x|\to 0} \hat{\mathbb{E}}_x^{\circ} \left[\int_0^\infty \beta(\hat{X}_u^{\circ}) du \right] < \infty$$

16/23 《 □ ▷ 《 @ ▷ 《 필 ▷ 《 필 ▷ 《 필 ▷ 《 @ ▷

▶ We want to show that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(x)^{-\alpha} |x|^{\alpha-1} dx < \infty$ implies that $\pm \infty$ is an entrance point for

 $dZ_t = \sigma(Z_{t-}) dX_t, \qquad Z_0 = z \in \mathbb{R}, t \ge 0.$

- Heuristically we want to have $Z = {}^d X_{\tau}$. enter at $\pm \infty$
- Which is to have 1/Z. (or indeed 1/Z.) enter at 0, crossing the origin infinitely often for arbitrarily small times
- Which is to have X^o_θ enter at 0, crossing the origin infinitely often for arbitrarily small times
- Which will happen, since \hat{X}° can enter at 0, providing we can control θ .
- Needs weak convergence of $\int_0^t \beta(\hat{X}_u^\circ) du$ as $|\hat{X}_0^\circ| \to 0$.
- Suffices to check

$$\lim_{|x|\to 0} \hat{\mathbb{E}}_x^{\circ} \left[\int_0^t \beta(\hat{X}_u^{\circ}) du \right] < \lim_{|x|\to 0} \hat{\mathbb{E}}_x^{\circ} \left[\int_0^\infty \beta(\hat{X}_u^{\circ}) du \right] < \infty$$

▶ We want to show that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(x)^{-\alpha} |x|^{\alpha-1} dx < \infty$ implies that $\pm \infty$ is an entrance point for

 $dZ_t = \sigma(Z_{t-}) dX_t, \qquad Z_0 = z \in \mathbb{R}, t \ge 0.$

- Heuristically we want to have $Z = {}^{d} X_{\tau}$. enter at $\pm \infty$
- ▶ Which is to have 1/Z. (or indeed 1/Z[†]) enter at 0, crossing the origin infinitely often for arbitrarily small times
- ▶ Which is to have $\tilde{X}^{\circ}_{\theta_{-}}$ enter at 0, crossing the origin infinitely often for arbitrarily small times
- Which will happen, since \hat{X}° can enter at 0, providing we can control θ .
- Needs weak convergence of $\int_0^t \beta(\hat{X}_u^\circ) du$ as $|\hat{X}_0^\circ| \to 0$.
- Suffices to check

$$\lim_{|x|\to 0} \hat{\mathbb{E}}_x^{\circ} \left[\int_0^t \beta(\hat{X}_u^{\circ}) du \right] < \lim_{|x|\to 0} \hat{\mathbb{E}}_x^{\circ} \left[\int_0^\infty \beta(\hat{X}_u^{\circ}) du \right] < \infty$$

▶ We want to show that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(x)^{-\alpha} |x|^{\alpha-1} dx < \infty$ implies that $\pm \infty$ is an entrance point for

 $dZ_t = \sigma(Z_{t-}) dX_t, \qquad Z_0 = z \in \mathbb{R}, t \ge 0.$

- Heuristically we want to have $Z = {}^{d} X_{\tau}$. enter at $\pm \infty$
- Which is to have 1/Z. (or indeed 1/Z[†]) enter at 0, crossing the origin infinitely often for arbitrarily small times
- Which is to have X^o_θ enter at 0, crossing the origin infinitely often for arbitrarily small times
- Which will happen, since \hat{X}° can enter at 0, providing we can control θ .
- Needs weak convergence of $\int_0^t \beta(\hat{X}_u^\circ) du$ as $|\hat{X}_0^\circ| \to 0$.
- Suffices to check

$$\lim_{|x|\to 0} \hat{\mathbb{E}}_x^{\circ} \left[\int_0^t \beta(\hat{X}_u^{\circ}) du \right] < \lim_{|x|\to 0} \hat{\mathbb{E}}_x^{\circ} \left[\int_0^\infty \beta(\hat{X}_u^{\circ}) du \right] < \infty$$

▶ We want to show that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(x)^{-\alpha} |x|^{\alpha-1} dx < \infty$ implies that $\pm \infty$ is an entrance point for

 $dZ_t = \sigma(Z_{t-}) dX_t, \qquad Z_0 = z \in \mathbb{R}, t \ge 0.$

- Heuristically we want to have $Z = {}^{d} X_{\tau}$. enter at $\pm \infty$
- Which is to have 1/Z. (or indeed 1/Z[†]) enter at 0, crossing the origin infinitely often for arbitrarily small times
- Which is to have X^o_θ enter at 0, crossing the origin infinitely often for arbitrarily small times
- Which will happen, since X[°] can enter at 0, providing we can control θ.
- Needs weak convergence of $\int_0^t \beta(\hat{X}_u^\circ) du$ as $|\hat{X}_0^\circ| \to 0$.
- Suffices to check

$$\lim_{|x|\to 0} \hat{\mathbb{E}}_x^{\circ} \left[\int_0^t \beta(\hat{X}_u^{\circ}) du \right] < \lim_{|x|\to 0} \hat{\mathbb{E}}_x^{\circ} \left[\int_0^\infty \beta(\hat{X}_u^{\circ}) du \right] < \infty$$

▶ We want to show that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(x)^{-\alpha} |x|^{\alpha-1} dx < \infty$ implies that $\pm \infty$ is an entrance point for

 $dZ_t = \sigma(Z_{t-}) dX_t, \qquad Z_0 = z \in \mathbb{R}, t \ge 0.$

- Heuristically we want to have $Z = {}^{d} X_{\tau}$. enter at $\pm \infty$
- Which is to have 1/Z. (or indeed 1/Z[†]) enter at 0, crossing the origin infinitely often for arbitrarily small times
- Which is to have $\hat{X}^{\circ}_{\theta_{-}}$ enter at 0, crossing the origin infinitely often for arbitrarily small times
- Which will happen, since X[°] can enter at 0, providing we can control θ.
- Needs weak convergence of $\int_0^t \beta(\hat{X}_u^\circ) du$ as $|\hat{X}_0^\circ| \to 0$.
- Suffices to check

$$\lim_{|x|\to 0} \hat{\mathbb{E}}_x^{\circ} \left[\int_0^t \beta(\hat{X}_u^{\circ}) du \right] < \lim_{|x|\to 0} \hat{\mathbb{E}}_x^{\circ} \left[\int_0^\infty \beta(\hat{X}_u^{\circ}) du \right] < \infty$$

▶ We want to show that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(x)^{-\alpha} |x|^{\alpha-1} dx < \infty$ implies that $\pm \infty$ is an entrance point for

 $dZ_t = \sigma(Z_{t-}) dX_t, \qquad Z_0 = z \in \mathbb{R}, t \ge 0.$

- Heuristically we want to have $Z = {}^{d} X_{\tau}$. enter at $\pm \infty$
- Which is to have 1/Z. (or indeed 1/Z[†]) enter at 0, crossing the origin infinitely often for arbitrarily small times
- Which is to have X^o_θ enter at 0, crossing the origin infinitely often for arbitrarily small times
- Which will happen, since \hat{X}° can enter at 0, providing we can control θ .
- Needs weak convergence of $\int_0^t \beta(\hat{X}_u^\circ) du$ as $|\hat{X}_0^\circ| \to 0$.
- Suffices to check

$$\lim_{|x|\to 0} \hat{\mathbb{E}}_x^{\circ} \left[\int_0^t \beta(\hat{X}_u^{\circ}) du \right] < \lim_{|x|\to 0} \hat{\mathbb{E}}_x^{\circ} \left[\int_0^\infty \beta(\hat{X}_u^{\circ}) du \right] < \infty$$

Writing $G_{\hat{X}^{\circ}}(x, dy)$ for the resolvent of \hat{X}° and $G_{\hat{X}^{\dagger}}(x, dy)$ for the resolvent of X killed on first hitting the origin,

$$\begin{split} &\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{x}^{\circ} \left[\int_{0}^{\infty} \beta(\hat{X}_{u}^{\circ}) du \right] \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} G_{\hat{X}^{\circ}}(x, dy) \sigma(1/y)^{-\alpha} |y|^{-2\alpha} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} G_{\hat{X}^{\dagger}}(x, dy) \frac{\hat{h}(y)}{\hat{h}(x)} \sigma(1/y)^{-\alpha} |y|^{-2\alpha} \\ &\approx \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(|y|^{\alpha-1} s(y) - |y-x|^{\alpha-1} s(y-x) + |x|^{\alpha-1} s(-x) \right) \frac{|y|^{\alpha-1}}{|x|^{\alpha-1}} \sigma(1/y)^{-\alpha} |y|^{-2\alpha}, \end{split}$$

which is finite if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(x)^{-\alpha} |x|^{\alpha-1} \, \mathrm{d}x < \infty.$$

Note, for a Markov process *Y*, with probabilities P_x , $x \in E$,

4

$$G_{Y}(x, dy) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_{x}(Y_{t} \in dy)dt, \quad x, y \in E.$$

17/23 《 ㅁ › 《 쿱 › 《 클 › 《 클 › 《 클 · · 이익은

Proposition (Döring & K. (2018))

Suppose that \hat{X}° has probabilities $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_{x}^{\circ}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Define $\hat{Z}_{t}^{\circ} = \hat{X}_{\iota_{t}}^{\circ}$, $t \geq 0$, where the time-change ι is given by

$$\iota_t = \inf\left\{s > 0: \int_0^s \sigma(\hat{X}_s^\circ)^{-\alpha} ds > t\right\}, \qquad t < \int_0^\infty \sigma(\hat{X}_s^\circ)^{-\alpha} ds.$$

Recall that *Z* has the law of the unique weak solution to the SDE and Z^{\dagger} is the same process killed on first hitting 0.

If $\pm\infty$ is an entrance point for Z, then the time reversed process $Z^{\dagger}_{(k-t)-}$, $t \leq k$, under $P_{\pm\infty}$ is a time-homogenous Markov process with transition semigroup which agrees with that of \hat{Z}° , where k is any almost surely finite last passage time for Z^{\dagger} (e.g. $k = \inf\{t > 0 : Z^{\dagger}_t = 0\}$).

Remark on proof: Important step is to prove weak duality:

$$p_{Z^{\dagger}}(t, y, \mathrm{d}z)\mu(\mathrm{d}y) = p_{\hat{Z}^{\circ}}(t, z, \mathrm{d}y)\mu(\mathrm{d}z)$$

where

$$\mu(dy) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \nu(dx) G_{\hat{Z}^{\circ}}(x, dy) = \sigma(x)^{-\alpha} h(x) dx$$

and $G_{\hat{Z}^{\circ}}$ is the resolvent of \hat{Z}°

Proposition (Döring & K. (2018))

Suppose that \hat{X}° has probabilities $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_{x}^{\circ}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Define $\hat{Z}_{t}^{\circ} = \hat{X}_{\iota_{t}}^{\circ}$, $t \geq 0$, where the time-change ι is given by

$$\iota_t = \inf\left\{s > 0: \int_0^s \sigma(\hat{X}_s^\circ)^{-\alpha} ds > t\right\}, \qquad t < \int_0^\infty \sigma(\hat{X}_s^\circ)^{-\alpha} ds.$$

Recall that *Z* has the law of the unique weak solution to the SDE and Z^{\dagger} is the same process killed on first hitting 0.

If $\pm\infty$ is an entrance point for Z, then the time reversed process $Z^{\dagger}_{(k-t)-}$, $t \leq k$, under $P_{\pm\infty}$ is a time-homogenous Markov process with transition semigroup which agrees with that of \hat{Z}° , where k is any almost surely finite last passage time for Z^{\dagger} (e.g. $k = \inf\{t > 0 : Z^{\dagger}_t = 0\}$).

Remark on proof: Important step is to prove weak duality:

$$p_{Z^{\dagger}}(t, y, \mathrm{d}z)\mu(\mathrm{d}y) = p_{\hat{Z}^{\circ}}(t, z, \mathrm{d}y)\mu(\mathrm{d}z)$$

where

$$\mu(dy) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \nu(dx) G_{\hat{Z}^{\circ}}(x, dy) = \sigma(x)^{-\alpha} h(x) dx$$

and $G_{\hat{7}\circ}$ is the resolvent of \hat{Z}°

Proposition (Döring & K. (2018))

Suppose that \hat{X}° has probabilities $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_{x}^{\circ}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Define $\hat{Z}_{t}^{\circ} = \hat{X}_{\iota_{t}}^{\circ}$, $t \geq 0$, where the time-change ι is given by

$$\iota_t = \inf\left\{s > 0: \int_0^s \sigma(\hat{X}_s^\circ)^{-\alpha} ds > t\right\}, \qquad t < \int_0^\infty \sigma(\hat{X}_s^\circ)^{-\alpha} ds.$$

Recall that *Z* has the law of the unique weak solution to the SDE and Z^{\dagger} is the same process killed on first hitting 0.

If $\pm\infty$ is an entrance point for Z, then the time reversed process $Z^{\dagger}_{(k-t)-}$, $t \leq k$, under $P_{\pm\infty}$ is a time-homogenous Markov process with transition semigroup which agrees with that of \hat{Z}° , where k is any almost surely finite last passage time for Z^{\dagger} (e.g. $k = \inf\{t > 0 : Z^{\dagger}_t = 0\}$).

Remark on proof: Important step is to prove weak duality:

$$p_{Z^{\dagger}}(t, y, \mathrm{d}z)\mu(\mathrm{d}y) = p_{\hat{Z}^{\circ}}(t, z, \mathrm{d}y)\mu(\mathrm{d}z)$$

where

$$\mu(dy) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \nu(dx) G_{\hat{Z}^{\circ}}(x, dy) = \sigma(x)^{-\alpha} h(x) dx$$

and $G_{\hat{Z}^{\circ}}$ is the resolvent of \hat{Z}°



The time reversed process $Z^{\dagger}_{(k-t)-}$, $t \leq k$, under $P_{\pm\infty}$ is a time-homogenous Markov process with transition semigroup which agrees with that of \hat{Z}° , where k is any almost surely finite last passage time for Z^{\dagger} (e.g. $k = \inf\{t > 0 : Z^{\dagger}_{t} = 0\}$)

• We want to show that if $\pm \infty$ is an entrance point for

$$dZ_t = \sigma(Z_{t-}) dX_t, \qquad Z_0 = z \in \mathbb{R}, t \ge 0,$$

then necessarily $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(x)^{-\alpha} |x|^{\alpha-1} dx < \infty$.

- ▶ If $\pm \infty$ is an entrance point, then *Z* can be seen as a Feller process on the compact space \mathbb{R} .
- Getoor's equivalent definitions of transience:
 - On the one hand, last exit from any compact set is a.s. finite
 - On the other hand the resolvent of any compact set is finite
- As $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is compact itself,

$$G_Z(\pm\infty,\overline{\mathbb{R}})<\infty$$

Hunt-Nagasawa duality implies that

$$G_Z(\pm\infty,\overline{\mathbb{R}}) = G_{\hat{Z}^\circ}(0,\mathbb{R}) < \infty$$

$$\infty > G_{\hat{Z}^{\diamond}}(0,\overline{\mathbb{R}}) \approx G_{\hat{Z}^{\diamond}}(x,\mathbb{R}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} G_{\hat{X}^{\dagger}}(x,\mathrm{d}y) \frac{\hat{h}(y)}{\hat{h}(x)} \sigma(1/y)^{-\alpha} |y|^{-2\alpha} \approx \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(x)^{-\alpha} |x|^{\alpha-1} \,\mathrm{d}x,$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

• We want to show that if $\pm \infty$ is an entrance point for

$$dZ_t = \sigma(Z_{t-}) dX_t, \qquad Z_0 = z \in \mathbb{R}, t \ge 0,$$

then necessarily $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(x)^{-\alpha} |x|^{\alpha-1} dx < \infty$.

- ▶ If $\pm \infty$ is an entrance point, then *Z* can be seen as a Feller process on the compact space \mathbb{R} .
- Getoor's equivalent definitions of transience:
 - On the one hand, last exit from any compact set is a.s. finite
 - On the other hand the resolvent of any compact set is finite
- As $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is compact itself,

$$G_Z(\pm\infty,\overline{\mathbb{R}})<\infty$$

Hunt-Nagasawa duality implies that

$$G_Z(\pm\infty,\overline{\mathbb{R}})=G_{\widehat{Z}^\circ}(0,\mathbb{R})<\infty$$

$$\infty > G_{\hat{Z}^{\circ}}(0,\overline{\mathbb{R}}) \approx G_{\hat{Z}^{\circ}}(x,\mathbb{R}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} G_{\hat{X}^{\dagger}}(x,dy) \frac{\hat{h}(y)}{\hat{h}(x)} \sigma(1/y)^{-\alpha} |y|^{-2\alpha} \approx \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(x)^{-\alpha} |x|^{\alpha-1} dx,$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

• We want to show that if $\pm \infty$ is an entrance point for

$$dZ_t = \sigma(Z_{t-}) \, dX_t, \qquad Z_0 = z \in \mathbb{R}, t \ge 0,$$

then necessarily $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(x)^{-\alpha} |x|^{\alpha-1} dx < \infty$.

- ▶ If $\pm \infty$ is an entrance point, then *Z* can be seen as a Feller process on the compact space \mathbb{R} .
- Getoor's equivalent definitions of transience:
 - On the one hand, last exit from any compact set is a.s. finite
 - On the other hand the resolvent of any compact set is finite
- As $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is compact itself,

$$G_Z(\pm\infty,\overline{\mathbb{R}})<\infty$$

Hunt-Nagasawa duality implies that

$$G_Z(\pm\infty,\overline{\mathbb{R}})=G_{\widehat{Z}^\circ}(0,\mathbb{R})<\infty$$

$$\infty > G_{\hat{Z}^{\circ}}(0,\overline{\mathbb{R}}) \approx G_{\hat{Z}^{\circ}}(x,\mathbb{R}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} G_{\hat{X}^{\dagger}}(x,\mathrm{d}y) \frac{\hat{h}(y)}{\hat{h}(x)} \sigma(1/y)^{-\alpha} |y|^{-2\alpha} \approx \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(x)^{-\alpha} |x|^{\alpha-1} \mathrm{d}x,$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

• We want to show that if $\pm \infty$ is an entrance point for

$$dZ_t = \sigma(Z_{t-}) \, dX_t, \qquad Z_0 = z \in \mathbb{R}, t \ge 0,$$

then necessarily $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(x)^{-\alpha} |x|^{\alpha-1} dx < \infty$.

- If $\pm \infty$ is an entrance point, then *Z* can be seen as a Feller process on the compact space $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$.
- Getoor's equivalent definitions of transience:
 - On the one hand, last exit from any compact set is a.s. finite
 - On the other hand the resolvent of any compact set is finite
- As $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is compact itself,

$$G_Z(\pm\infty,\overline{\mathbb{R}})<\infty$$

Hunt-Nagasawa duality implies that

$$G_Z(\pm\infty,\overline{\mathbb{R}}) = G_{\widehat{Z}^\circ}(0,\mathbb{R}) < \infty$$

$$\infty > G_{\hat{Z}^{\circ}}(0,\overline{\mathbb{R}}) \approx G_{\hat{Z}^{\circ}}(x,\mathbb{R}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} G_{\hat{X}^{\dagger}}(x,\mathrm{d}y) \frac{\hat{h}(y)}{\hat{h}(x)} \sigma(1/y)^{-\alpha} |y|^{-2\alpha} \approx \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(x)^{-\alpha} |x|^{\alpha-1} \mathrm{d}x,$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

• We want to show that if $\pm \infty$ is an entrance point for

$$dZ_t = \sigma(Z_{t-}) \, dX_t, \qquad Z_0 = z \in \mathbb{R}, t \ge 0,$$

then necessarily $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(x)^{-\alpha} |x|^{\alpha-1} dx < \infty$.

- If $\pm \infty$ is an entrance point, then *Z* can be seen as a Feller process on the compact space $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$.
- Getoor's equivalent definitions of transience:
 - On the one hand, last exit from any compact set is a.s. finite
 - On the other hand the resolvent of any compact set is finite
- As $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is compact itself,

$$G_Z(\pm\infty,\overline{\mathbb{R}})<\infty$$

Hunt-Nagasawa duality implies that

$$G_Z(\pm\infty,\overline{\mathbb{R}}) = G_{\hat{Z}^\circ}(0,\mathbb{R}) < \infty$$

$$\infty > G_{\hat{Z}^{\circ}}(0,\overline{\mathbb{R}}) \approx G_{\hat{Z}^{\circ}}(x,\mathbb{R}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} G_{\hat{X}^{\dagger}}(x,\mathrm{d}y) \frac{\hat{h}(y)}{\hat{h}(x)} \sigma(1/y)^{-\alpha} |y|^{-2\alpha} \approx \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(x)^{-\alpha} |x|^{\alpha-1} \mathrm{d}x,$$
for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$

• We want to show that if $\pm \infty$ is an entrance point for

$$dZ_t = \sigma(Z_{t-}) \, dX_t, \qquad Z_0 = z \in \mathbb{R}, t \ge 0,$$

then necessarily $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(x)^{-\alpha} |x|^{\alpha-1} dx < \infty$.

- If $\pm \infty$ is an entrance point, then *Z* can be seen as a Feller process on the compact space $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$.
- Getoor's equivalent definitions of transience:
 - On the one hand, last exit from any compact set is a.s. finite
 - On the other hand the resolvent of any compact set is finite
- As $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is compact itself,

$$G_Z(\pm\infty,\overline{\mathbb{R}})<\infty$$

Hunt-Nagasawa duality implies that

$$G_Z(\pm\infty,\overline{\mathbb{R}}) = G_{\hat{Z}^\circ}(0,\mathbb{R}) < \infty$$

20/23

A bit of work

$$\infty > G_{\hat{Z}^{\circ}}(0,\overline{\mathbb{R}}) \approx G_{\hat{Z}^{\circ}}(x,\mathbb{R}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} G_{\hat{X}^{\dagger}}(x,\mathrm{d}y) \frac{\hat{h}(y)}{\hat{h}(x)} \sigma(1/y)^{-\alpha} |y|^{-2\alpha} \approx \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(x)^{-\alpha} |x|^{\alpha-1} \,\mathrm{d}x,$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

DIFFICULTIES IN OTHER REGIMES

- Two sided jumps
 - $\alpha \leq 1$ Cannot hit the origin, so cannot time reverse from the origin or condition to avoid the origin
 - $\alpha = 1$ Can time reverse from first entry into strip (-1, 1)
 - $\alpha < 1$ Can do the same as $\alpha = 1$ but cannot control the time change to explosion

One sided jumps

- In the (negative) subordinator cases, don't need to look at conditioned processes on time reversal
- For the unbounded variation spectrally one-sided case, end up looking at conditioning to stay positive or negative instead of conditioning to avoid the origin

<ロト < 置 > < 置 > < 置 > < 置 > のへの

DIFFICULTIES IN OTHER REGIMES

- Two sided jumps
 - α ≤ 1 Cannot hit the origin, so cannot time reverse from the origin or condition to avoid the origin
 - $\alpha = 1$ Can time reverse from first entry into strip (-1, 1)
 - $\alpha < 1$ Can do the same as $\alpha = 1$ but cannot control the time change to explosion
- One sided jumps
 - In the (negative) subordinator cases, don't need to look at conditioned processes on time reversal
 - For the unbounded variation spectrally one-sided case, end up looking at conditioning to stay positive or negative instead of conditioning to avoid the origin

EXPLOSION (EXIT AT INFINITY)

Theorem (Döring & K. (2018))

Suppose that $\sigma > 0$ and let

$$I^{\sigma,\alpha}(A) = \int_A \sigma(x)^{-\alpha} |x|^{\alpha-1} dx.$$

Then the following table exhaustively summarises finite time explosion for

$$dZ_t = \sigma(Z_{t-}) dX_t, \qquad Z_0 = z \in \mathbb{R}, t \ge 0.$$

Necessary and sufficient conditions for exit at infinite boundary points							
α	Jumps	$+\infty$	$-\infty$	±∞			
	only↓	X	✓ iff $I^{\sigma,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}_{-}) < \infty$	X			
< 1	only ↑	\checkmark iff $l^{\sigma,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}_+) < \infty$	×	X			
	$\uparrow \& \downarrow$	X	X	$\checkmark \text{ iff } I^{\sigma,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}) < \infty$			
= 1	$\uparrow \& \downarrow$	X	X	X			
	only↓	X	X	X			
> 1	only ↑	×	×	X			
	↑&↓	X	X	X			
= 2	none	X	X	X			

Thank you!

