De Finetti's control problem and spectrally negative Lévy processes

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Bath

Brussels 10th February 2011

Cramér-Lundberg processes

• A classic actuarial problem concerns the ruin problem centred around the surplus process defined by:

Cramér-Lundberg processes

- A classic actuarial problem concerns the ruin problem centred around the surplus process defined by:
- The stochastic process under $\mathbb P$

$$X_t^{(x)} = x + ct - \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} \xi_i$$

where x, c > 0, $\{N_t : t \ge 0\}$ is a Poisson process with rate $\lambda > 0$ and $\{\xi_i : i \ge 1\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables.

ヘロト 4日ト 4日ト 4日ト 日 900

Cramér-Lundberg processes

- A classic actuarial problem concerns the ruin problem centred around the surplus process defined by:
- The stochastic process under $\mathbb P$

$$X_t^{(x)} = x + ct - \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} \xi_i$$

where x, c > 0, $\{N_t : t \ge 0\}$ is a Poisson process with rate $\lambda > 0$ and $\{\xi_i : i \ge 1\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables.

• The ruin problem looks at the behaviour of the surplus process up to and on the event

$$\{\tau_0^+ < \infty\}$$

where

$$\tau_0^+ = \inf\{t > 0 : X_t < 0\}.$$

under the assumption that $c - \lambda \mathbb{E}(\xi_1) > 0$, i.e. $\lim_{t \uparrow \infty} X_t = \infty$.

11 /2 어ዖ안 로 《로논《로논《립》《 마 《 미 》

 Note that the Cramér-Lundberg risk process is an example of a Lévy process.

- Note that the Cramér-Lundberg risk process is an example of a Lévy process.
- For that reason we prefer the notation (X, \mathbb{P}_x) in place of $(X^{(x)}, \mathbb{P})$.

- Note that the Cramér-Lundberg risk process is an example of a Lévy process.
- For that reason we prefer the notation (X, \mathbb{P}_x) in place of $(X^{(x)}, \mathbb{P})$.
- In this talk, you have the option to think of X = {Xt : t ≥ 0} as a spectrally negative Lévy process.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ●

- Note that the Cramér-Lundberg risk process is an example of a Lévy process.
- For that reason we prefer the notation (X, \mathbb{P}_x) in place of $(X^{(x)}, \mathbb{P})$.
- In this talk, you have the option to think of $X = \{X_t : t \ge 0\}$ as a spectrally negative Lévy process.
- In either case, for $\theta \geq 0$ we may work with the Laplace exponent

$$\psi(\theta) := \log \mathbb{E}_0(e^{\theta X_1}),$$

which is strictly convex, respects the condition $\psi'(0+) > 0$, passes though the origin and so tends to $+\infty$ at ∞ .

・ロト・4月ト・4日ト・日、 りへの

de Finetti's view of the ruin problem

An 'old' actuarial problem of the 'modern' probabilistic age proposed by de Finetti 1957 (also Gerber 1969).

• Consider $L = \{L_t : t \ge 0\}$ is a stream of dividend payments or a 'dividend strategy': left continuous, non-negative, non-decreasing process adapted to the filtration generated by X.

de Finetti's view of the ruin problem

An 'old' actuarial problem of the 'modern' probabilistic age proposed by de Finetti 1957 (also Gerber 1969).

- Consider $L = \{L_t : t \ge 0\}$ is a stream of dividend payments or a 'dividend strategy': left continuous, non-negative, non-decreasing process adapted to the filtration generated by X.
- $U_t = X_t L_t$ is the residual surplus after dividends are paid,

$$\sigma^{L} = \inf\{t > 0 : X_t - L_t < 0\}$$

is the ruin time. (Also impose that L is such that ruin cannot be caused by a jump of L).

de Finetti's view of the ruin problem

An 'old' actuarial problem of the 'modern' probabilistic age proposed by de Finetti 1957 (also Gerber 1969).

- Consider $L = \{L_t : t \ge 0\}$ is a stream of dividend payments or a 'dividend strategy': left continuous, non-negative, non-decreasing process adapted to the filtration generated by X.
- $U_t = X_t L_t$ is the residual surplus after dividends are paid,

$$\sigma^{L} = \inf\{t > 0 : X_{t} - L_{t} < 0\}$$

is the ruin time. (Also impose that L is such that ruin cannot be caused by a jump of L).

• de Finetti's control problem: find the value function and matching dividend strategy L^* such that

$$v(x) = \sup_{L} \mathbb{E}_{x} \left(\int_{0}^{\sigma^{L}} e^{-qt} dL_{t} \right) = \mathbb{E}_{x} \left(\int_{0}^{\sigma^{L^{*}}} e^{-qt} dL_{t}^{*} \right)$$

where q > 0 and the supremum is taken over all admissible dividend strategies.

• It has been shown that the optimal strategy is of a 'barrier type with reflection':

$$L_t^a = (a \lor \sup_{s \le t} X_s) - a$$

for some optimal level a. Below a realisation of $X_t - L_t^a$



・ロト ・ 母 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

These cases are:

• It has been shown that the optimal strategy is of a 'barrier type with reflection':

$$L_t^a = (a \lor \sup_{s \le t} X_s) - a$$

for some optimal level a. Below a realisation of $X_t - L_t^a$



▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

These cases are:

1 (Gerber 1969) Cramér-Lundberg process with exponentially distributed jumps $X_t = ct - \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} \mathbf{e}_i$,

• It has been shown that the optimal strategy is of a 'barrier type with reflection':

$$L_t^a = (a \lor \sup_{s \le t} X_s) - a$$

for some optimal level a. Below a realisation of $X_t - L_t^a$



These cases are:

- 1 (Gerber 1969) Cramér-Lundberg process with exponentially distributed jumps $X_t = ct \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} \mathbf{e}_i$,
- 2 (Jeanblanc & Shiryaev 1995 and many others) Linear Brownian motion: $X_t = \mu t + \sigma B_t$.

▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

• It has been shown that the optimal strategy is of a 'barrier type with reflection':

$$L_t^a = (a \lor \sup_{s \le t} X_s) - a$$

for some optimal level a. Below a realisation of $X_t - L_t^a$



These cases are:

- 1 (Gerber 1969) Cramér-Lundberg process with exponentially distributed jumps $X_t = ct \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} \mathbf{e}_i$,
- 2 (Jeanblanc & Shiryaev 1995 and many others) Linear Brownian motion: $X_t = \mu t + \sigma B_t$.

・ロト ・ 母 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

 However, it has also been shown that the above strategy is not optimal, even by straying not too far from the above models!

• It has been shown that the optimal strategy is of a 'barrier type with reflection':

$$L_t^a = (a \lor \sup_{s \le t} X_s) - a$$

for some optimal level a. Below a realisation of $X_t - L_t^a$



These cases are:

- 1 (Gerber 1969) Cramér-Lundberg process with exponentially distributed jumps $X_t = ct \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} \mathbf{e}_i$,
- 2 (Jeanblanc & Shiryaev 1995 and many others) Linear Brownian motion: $X_t = \mu t + \sigma B_t$.
- However, it has also been shown that the above strategy is not optimal, even by straying not too far from the above models!
 - 3 (Ascue & Muler 2005) Cramér-Lundberg process with gamma distributed jumps having density proportional to xe^{-x} .

Scale functions are a natural tool

 It turns out there is a very natural tool for analysing path functionals of spectrally negative Lévy processes (and in particular Cramér-Lundberg processes).

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ◆ ○ ◆ ○ ◆

Scale functions are a natural tool

- It turns out there is a very natural tool for analysing path functionals of spectrally negative Lévy processes (and in particular Cramér-Lundberg processes).
- For each $q\geq 0$ there exists a function $W^{(q)}:[0,\infty)\to [0,\infty)$ defined by its Laplace transform

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\beta x} W^{(q)}(x) dx = \frac{1}{\psi(\beta) - q}$$

ヘロト 4日ト 4日ト 4日ト 日 900

for β sufficiently large.

Scale functions are a natural tool

- It turns out there is a very natural tool for analysing path functionals of spectrally negative Lévy processes (and in particular Cramér-Lundberg processes).
- For each $q\geq 0$ there exists a function $W^{(q)}:[0,\infty)\to [0,\infty)$ defined by its Laplace transform

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\beta x} W^{(q)}(x) dx = \frac{1}{\psi(\beta) - q}$$

for β sufficiently large.

• For all a > 0,

$$v^{a}(x) := \mathbb{E}_{x} \left(\int_{0}^{L^{a}} e^{-qx} dL_{t}^{a} \right) = \begin{cases} \frac{W^{(q)}(x)}{W^{(q)'}(a)} & \text{when } x \leq a \\ (x-a) + \frac{W^{(q)}(a)}{W^{(q)'}(a)} & \text{when } x > a \end{cases}$$

もりゃん 聞い ふぼう ふぼう ふりゃ

•made two extraordinary observations by examining the HJB equations in more detail.

.....made two extraordinary observations by examining the HJB equations in more detail.

1 The refraction strategy at level

$$a^* := \sup\{a \ge 0 : W^{(q)'}(a) \le W^{(q)'}(x) \text{ for all } x \ge 0\}$$

is optimal as soon as one assumes that $W^{(q)}$ is a convex function on $(a^*,\infty).$

•made two extraordinary observations by examining the HJB equations in more detail.

1 The reflection strategy at level

 $a^* := \sup\{a \ge 0 : W^{(q)\prime}(a) \le W^{(q)\prime}(x) \text{ for all } x \ge 0\}$

is optimal as soon as one assumes that $W^{(q)}$ is a convex function on (a^*,∞) .

2 The above condition is satisfied if the distribution of the i.i.d. claims $\{\xi_i : i \ge 1\}$ has a density f which is completely monotone.¹ i.e. $(-1)^n d^n f / dx^n \ge 0$ for all $n \ge 1$.

¹For Lévy-friendly readers: the Lévy measure when projected onto $(0,\infty)$ has a completely monotone density.

•made two extraordinary observations by examining the HJB equations in more detail.

1 The reflection strategy at level

 $a^* := \sup\{a \ge 0 : W^{(q)\prime}(a) \le W^{(q)\prime}(x) \text{ for all } x \ge 0\}$

is optimal as soon as one assumes that $W^{(q)}$ is a convex function on (a^*,∞) .

- **2** The above condition is satisfied if the distribution of the i.i.d. claims $\{\xi_i : i \ge 1\}$ has a density f which is completely monotone.¹ i.e. $(-1)^n d^n f / dx^n \ge 0$ for all $n \ge 1$.
- The latter condition expands vastly the claim distributions in the Cramér-Lundberg model for which the reflection barrier strategy is optimal.

¹For Lévy-friendly readers: the Lévy measure when projected onto $(0,\infty)$ has a completely monotone density.

•made two extraordinary observations by examining the HJB equations in more detail.

1 The reflection strategy at level

 $a^* := \sup\{a \ge 0 : W^{(q)\prime}(a) \le W^{(q)\prime}(x) \text{ for all } x \ge 0\}$

is optimal as soon as one assumes that $W^{(q)}$ is a convex function on (a^*,∞) .

- **2** The above condition is satisfied if the distribution of the i.i.d. claims $\{\xi_i : i \ge 1\}$ has a density f which is completely monotone.¹ i.e. $(-1)^n d^n f/dx^n \ge 0$ for all $n \ge 1$.
- The latter condition expands vastly the claim distributions in the Cramér-Lundberg model for which the reflection barrier strategy is optimal.
- Moreover, it gives some hint as to why the Azcue & Muler example fails: In that case the claim distribution has a density which is not completely monotone!

¹For Lévy-friendly readers: the Lévy measure when projected onto $(0,\infty)$ has a completely monotone density.

Restricted class of control strategies

 Many variations on this theme have been examined for the case of diffusions (Jeanblanc & Shiryaev 1995, Elena Boguslavskaya's Ph.D. thesis) as well as the Cramér-Lundberg case with exponential jumps (Gerber & Shiu 2006) including the following:

・ロト ・ 母 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

Restricted class of control strategies

- Many variations on this theme have been examined for the case of diffusions (Jeanblanc & Shiryaev 1995, Elena Boguslavskaya's Ph.D. thesis) as well as the Cramér-Lundberg case with exponential jumps (Gerber & Shiu 2006) including the following:
- The class of admissible strategies is further restricted to the case that

$$L_t = \int_0^t \phi(s) \, ds$$

where ϕ is measurable and uniformly bounded by, say, $\delta>0.$ In the Cramér-Lundberg setting we need that $\delta< c.$ We should now think of ϕ as the control.

Restricted class of control strategies

- Many variations on this theme have been examined for the case of diffusions (Jeanblanc & Shiryaev 1995, Elena Boguslavskaya's Ph.D. thesis) as well as the Cramér-Lundberg case with exponential jumps (Gerber & Shiu 2006) including the following:
- The class of admissible strategies is further restricted to the case that

$$L_t = \int_0^t \phi(s) \, ds$$

where ϕ is measurable and uniformly bounded by, say, $\delta>0.$ In the Cramér-Lundberg setting we need that $\delta< c.$ We should now think of ϕ as the control.

シロシ ふぼう ふほう ふほう ふしつ

• What was the optimal strategy appeared in the aforementioned articles?

• A refraction strategy refers to the control $\phi(x) = \delta \mathbf{1}_{(x > b)}$ for some threshold level $b \ge 0$. Thus the controlled process would need to solve the stochastic differential equation

$$U_t = X_t - \delta \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{(U_s > b)} ds.$$



0/14

▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

• A refraction strategy refers to the control $\phi(x) = \delta \mathbf{1}_{(x > b)}$ for some threshold level $b \ge 0$. Thus the controlled process would need to solve the stochastic differential equation

$$U_t = X_t - \delta \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{(U_s > b)} ds.$$



 Note in the case that X is a general spectrally negative Lévy process the above SDE is highly non-trivial if there is no Gaussian component.

K., and Loeffen (2010)

• Existence and uniqueness of a strong solution to SDE established in the general Lévy case.

K., and Loeffen (2010)

• Existence and uniqueness of a strong solution to SDE established in the general Lévy case.

▲□▶▲□▶▲目▶▲目▶ = 目 - のへで

• Write $\mathbb{W}^{(q)}$ for the scale function associated with $X_t - \delta t$.

K., and Loeffen (2010)

- Existence and uniqueness of a strong solution to SDE established in the general Lévy case.
- Write $\mathbb{W}^{(q)}$ for the scale function associated with $X_t \delta t$.
- Suppose that

$$\kappa_0^- := \inf\{t > 0 : U_t < 0\}.$$

For $q \ge 0$ and $x \ge 0$

$$v^{b}(x) := \mathbb{E}_{x} \left(\int_{0}^{\kappa_{0}^{-}} e^{-qt} \delta \mathbf{1}_{\{U_{t} > b\}} ds \right)$$

= $-\delta \int_{0}^{(x-b)\vee 0} \mathbb{W}^{(q)}(z) dz$
+ $\frac{W^{(q)}(x) + \delta \mathbf{1}_{\{x \ge b\}} \int_{b}^{x} \mathbb{W}^{(q)}(x-y) W^{(q)\prime}(y) dy}{\varphi(q) \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\varphi(q)y} W^{(q)\prime}(y+b) dy},$

where $\varphi(q)$ is the unique solution in $(0,\infty)$ to $\psi(\theta) - \delta\theta = 0$.

K., Loeffen and Pérez (2010)

• Define the function

$$h(x) = \varphi(q) \int_0^\infty e^{-\varphi(q)y} W^{(q)\prime}(y+b) dy$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ □臣 = のへで

K., Loeffen and Pérez (2010)

• Define the function

$$h(x) = \varphi(q) \int_0^\infty e^{-\varphi(q)y} W^{(q)\prime}(y+b) dy$$

• Define further the constant

$$b^* = \sup\{b \ge 0 : h(b) \le h(x) \text{ for all } x \ge 0\}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ □臣 = のへで

K., Loeffen and Pérez (2010)

• Define the function

$$h(x) = \varphi(q) \int_0^\infty e^{-\varphi(q)y} W^{(q)\prime}(y+b) dy$$

• Define further the constant

$$b^* = \sup\{b \ge 0 : h(b) \le h(x) \text{ for all } x \ge 0\}$$

• The refraction strategy at level b^* is optimal amongst the absolutely continuous δ -bounded strategies as soon as we assume that the common distribution of the claims is absolutely continuous with completely monotone density.²

²For Lévy-friendly readers: the Lévy measure when projected onto $(0,\infty)$ has a completely monotone density.

• The results presented here have been possible thanks to an deep understanding of analytical properties of scale functions.

・ロト ・ 母 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

- The results presented here have been possible thanks to an deep understanding of analytical properties of scale functions.
- Whilst the conditions on the claim distribution (resp. Lévy measure) are very straightforward to check, the expressions for the optimal value can only be written in terms of a mysterious "scale function".

・ロト ・ 母 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

- The results presented here have been possible thanks to an deep understanding of analytical properties of scale functions.
- Whilst the conditions on the claim distribution (resp. Lévy measure) are very straightforward to check, the expressions for the optimal value can only be written in terms of a mysterious "scale function".
- There has been significant work recently in pushing forward methodology which allows one to develop either closed form or semi-explicit expressions for $W^{(q)}$. See the forthcoming review of the theory of scale functions in the springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics series "Lévy Matters": K., Rivero and Kuznetsov (2011).

- The results presented here have been possible thanks to an deep understanding of analytical properties of scale functions.
- Whilst the conditions on the claim distribution (resp. Lévy measure) are very straightforward to check, the expressions for the optimal value can only be written in terms of a mysterious "scale function".
- There has been significant work recently in pushing forward methodology which allows one to develop either closed form or semi-explicit expressions for $W^{(q)}$. See the forthcoming review of the theory of scale functions in the springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics series "Lévy Matters": K., Rivero and Kuznetsov (2011).
- How close are the sufficient conditions of a completely monotone density to necessary?

- The results presented here have been possible thanks to an deep understanding of analytical properties of scale functions.
- Whilst the conditions on the claim distribution (resp. Lévy measure) are very straightforward to check, the expressions for the optimal value can only be written in terms of a mysterious "scale function".
- There has been significant work recently in pushing forward methodology which allows one to develop either closed form or semi-explicit expressions for $W^{(q)}$. See the forthcoming review of the theory of scale functions in the springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics series "Lévy Matters": K., Rivero and Kuznetsov (2011).
- How close are the sufficient conditions of a completely monotone density to necessary?
- Two more papers, K., Rivero, Song (2010) and Loeffen and Renaud (2010) explore this idea further and the final word from the latter papers shows that insisting that the tail of the jump distribution is a log-convex function is still sufficient.

- The results presented here have been possible thanks to an deep understanding of analytical properties of scale functions.
- Whilst the conditions on the claim distribution (resp. Lévy measure) are very straightforward to check, the expressions for the optimal value can only be written in terms of a mysterious "scale function".
- There has been significant work recently in pushing forward methodology which allows one to develop either closed form or semi-explicit expressions for $W^{(q)}$. See the forthcoming review of the theory of scale functions in the springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics series "Lévy Matters": K., Rivero and Kuznetsov (2011).
- How close are the sufficient conditions of a completely monotone density to necessary?
- Two more papers, K., Rivero, Song (2010) and Loeffen and Renaud (2010) explore this idea further and the final word from the latter papers shows that insisting that the tail of the jump distribution is a log-convex function is still sufficient.