

4.1

Mori Dream Spaces

Geometric Invariant Theory

Let $R = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}} R_\lambda$ be a \mathbb{Z} -graded (with $R_\lambda = 0$ for $\lambda < 0$) finitely generated integral k -algebra, and let $f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n \in R$ be k -algebra generators with $f_i \in R_{a_i}$. The graded k -algebra homomorphism

$$\psi : k[z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n] \longrightarrow R$$

sending z_i (where $\deg(z_i) = \deg(f_i) = a_i$) to f_i induces

$$\psi^* : X = \text{Spec } R \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$$

sending x to $(f_0(x), \dots, f_n(x))$, and \exists compatible action of $\mathbb{C}^\times = \text{Spec } k[\mathbb{Z}]$. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X \setminus V(f_i) = \text{Spec } R[f_i^{-1}] & \xrightarrow{\text{open}} & X \setminus V(f_0, \dots, f_n) & \xrightarrow[\text{closed}]{\psi^*} & \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \text{Spec } R[f_i^{-1}]^{\mathbb{C}^\times} & \xrightarrow{\text{open}} & \text{Proj}(R) & \xrightarrow{\text{closed}} & \mathbb{P}_{R_0}^{n+1}(a_0, \dots, a_n)
 \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps identify points in the same \mathbb{C}^\times -orbit; here the affine open subsets $\text{Spec } R[f_i^{-1}]^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$ cover $\text{Proj}(R)$, where

$$R[f_i^{-1}]^{\mathbb{C}^\times} = \left\{ \frac{f}{f_i^j} \mid j \geq 0, f \in R_{j a_i} \right\}$$

Therefore, locally, taking Proj corresponds to taking Spec of \mathbb{C}^\times -invariant subalgebras.

4.2 Let G be an algebraic group acting algebraically on a variety X . We needn't assume G or X is affine here.

Def'n. A surjective morphism $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ that's constant on G -orbits is a good quotient if

- 1) $\forall U \subseteq Y$ open, $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_Y) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \Gamma(\pi^{-1}(U), \mathcal{O}_X)^G$ is an isomorphism;
- 2) $\forall W \subseteq X$ closed and G -invariant, $\pi(W) \subseteq Y$ is closed;
- 3) $\forall W_1, W_2 \subseteq X$ closed, G -inv. and disjoint, $\pi(W_1) \cap \pi(W_2) = \emptyset$.

Prop.

Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a good quotient. Then

- (i) if $\phi: X \rightarrow Z$ is constant on G -orbits, \exists unique morphism $\bar{\phi}: Y \rightarrow Z$ st. $\bar{\phi} \circ \pi = \phi$
- (ii) $x, x' \in X$ satisfy $\pi(x) = \pi(x')$ $\Leftrightarrow \overline{G \cdot x} \cap \overline{G \cdot x'} \neq \emptyset$
- (iii) $\forall y \in Y$, fibre $\pi^{-1}(y)$ contains exactly one closed G -orbit.

Remarks

By (i), if a good quotient exists then it's unique. If so, we write $\pi: X \rightarrow X/G$ for this good 'categorical' quotient.

By (iii), a good categorical quotient parameterizes closed G -orbits.

Sketch

For (i):

- for $y \in Y$, $\phi(\pi^{-1}(y))$ is a single point $z \in Z$ else we contradict ③, so $\bar{\phi}$ sends $y \mapsto z$ as map of sets.
- for $V \subseteq Z$ open, $\bar{\phi}^{-1}(V) \cong Y \setminus \pi(X \setminus \bar{\phi}^{-1}(V))$ is open by ②, so $\bar{\phi}$ continuous.
- for $V \subseteq Z$ open, ϕ constant on G -orbits and ① holds so $\phi^*: \Gamma(V, \mathcal{O}_Z) \cong \Gamma(\bar{\phi}^{-1}(V), \mathcal{O}_X)^G \cong \Gamma(\bar{\phi}^{-1}(V), \mathcal{O}_Y)$
so $\bar{\phi}: Y \rightarrow Z$ is algebraic.

4.3 For (ii), the direction \Rightarrow is immediate from ③; for the \Leftarrow direction, having $\pi(x) \neq \pi(x')$ forces x, x' to lie in distinct fibres, so $\overline{G \cdot x}$ and $\overline{G \cdot x'}$ also lie in different fibres so they're disjoint.

For (iii), if two closed G -orbits lie in the same fibre they must intersect which is absurd. To show each fibre contains a closed orbit, one shows that an orbit of minimal dimension is closed. \square

Remark.

The notion of good quotient is local: if $U \subset X/G$ is open, then $\pi|_{\pi^{-1}(U)} : \pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is a good quotient; conversely, if $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ constant on G -orbits and $Y = \cup U_i$ is an open affine cover s.t. $\pi|_{\pi^{-1}(U_i)} : \pi^{-1}(U_i) \rightarrow U_i$ is a good quotient for each i , then so is $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$.

Theorem

Let G be quasi-torus acting algebraically on $X = \text{Spec } R$. Then R^G is a finitely generated integral k -algebra, and the map

$$\pi : X = \text{Spec } R \longrightarrow X/G := \text{Spec } R^G$$

induced by $R^G \hookrightarrow R$ is a good categorical quotient.

Sketch.

-Nagata

The first statement is Hilbert's theorem (this holds more generally for any linearly reductive G). The idea is to take a G -inv. subspace $V \subset R$ that contains k -algebra generators; can take V finite dimensional, and hence get surjective

$$\text{Sym}^*(V) \longrightarrow R$$

which, by linear reductivity, induces a surjective map

$$\text{Sym}^*(V)^G \longrightarrow R^G$$

so we may assume that R is a polynomial ring. Now apply Hilbert's basis theorem to the ideal in R generated by homogeneous

4.4 Polynomials of positive degree from R^G . Then any $f \in R^G$ becomes an R -linear combination of these generators, i.e. homogeneous

$$f = \sum_i h_i f_i \quad \oplus$$

where $f_1, \dots, f_n \in R^G$. Since G is linearly reductive, the action of G on $A^n = \text{Spec } R$ is completely reducible, hence too for the action on $R \cong k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$; then using a G -invariant subspace complementary to R^G , one can project away to get a linear homomorphism (not ring homo)

$$r : R \longrightarrow R^G$$

s.t. $r(fh) = f \cdot r(h)$ for $f \in R^G$ and $h \in R$; this is the 'Reynolds operator'. Apply r to \oplus gives

$$f = r(f) = \sum_i r(h_i) f_i$$

and now each $r(h_i) \in R^G$ so we can apply induction since they have degree smaller than the degree of f . □

Remark: The proof of the second statement needs the fact that for any $W_1, W_2 \subset X$ G -inv. closed disjoint subsets, $\exists f \in R^G$ s.t. $f|_{W_1} \equiv 0$ and $f|_{W_2} \equiv 1$.

Examples

1. For $\mathbb{C}^x \curvearrowright \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ with weights $(1, 1, \dots, -1)$,
 $\pi : \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \longrightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[z_0, \dots, z_n]^{\mathbb{C}^x} \cong \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}$
 is a good quotient!

2. For $\mathbb{C}^x \curvearrowright \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$ with weights $(1, 1, \dots, -1)$, the open affines $U_i = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[z_0, \dots, z_n][z_i^{-1}]$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_i : U_i &\longrightarrow U_i/G \cong \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[z_0, z_n, z_i^{-1}]^{\mathbb{C}^x} \\ &\cong \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[z_0/z_i, \dots, z_n/z_i] \cong \mathbb{C}^n \end{aligned}$$

is a good quotient; these patch to give good quotient $\pi : \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$.

4.5 We now go from local to global as in the last example. Let $G = \text{Spec } k[\Lambda]$ be a quasi-torus that acts algebraically on $X = \text{Spec } R$, giving

$$R = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} R_{\lambda}.$$

Fix $\lambda \in \Lambda = G^{\vee}$ and lift the G -action to an action on $X \times \mathbb{C}$ where

$$g \cdot (x, p) = (g \cdot x, \lambda(g) \cdot p);$$

this is a 'linearisation' of the trivial bundle \mathcal{O}_X w.r.t. G -action. The dual action of G on $R[t] = \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{X \times \mathbb{C}})$ is determined by the weight λ of the action on t , and for $j \geq 0$

$$f \cdot t^j \in R[t] \text{ is } G\text{-invariant} \Leftrightarrow f \in R_{j\lambda}$$

$$\therefore \text{the ring } \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} R_{j\lambda} \cong R[t]^G$$

so it's a finitely generated k -algebra by Hilbert-Nagata.

Defn. The GIT quotient of X by G , linearised by $\lambda \in G^{\vee}$ is

$$X //_{\lambda} G := \text{Proj} \left(\bigoplus_{j \geq 0} R_{j\lambda} \right)$$

Defn. For $\lambda \in G^{\vee}$, a point $x \in X$ is λ -semistable if $\exists j > 0$ and $f \in R_{j\lambda}$ s.t. $f(x) \neq 0$. Let $X_{\lambda}^{ss} \subset X$ denote the locus of λ -semi-stable points in X .

Theorem

The GIT quotient $X //_{\lambda} G$ is the good categorical quotient of X_{λ}^{ss} by G . It admits a projective map to the 'affine quotient' $X/G := \text{Spec } R^G$.